1	OLD FORGE BOROUGH COUNCIL
2	OLD FORGE, PENNSYLVANIA
3	
4	
5	IN RE: REGULAR MEETING OF COUNCIL
6	
7	
8	
9	NOVEMBER 15, 2016
10	7:00 P.M.
11	OLD FORGE MUNICIPAL BUILDING
12	314 SOUTH MAIN STREET
13	OLD FORGE, PENNSYLVANIA
14	
15	
16	
17	COUNCIL MEMBERS:
18	COUNCIL MEMBERS.
19	ROBERT SEMENZA, PRESIDENT JOSEPH LENCESKI, VICE-PRESIDENT
20	JOSEPH LENGESKI, VICE-PRESIDENT JOSEPH FERRETT RUSSELL RINALDI
21	LOUIS FEBBO RICK NOTARI
22	WILLIAM RINALDI, ESQUIRE, SOLICITOR MARYLYNN BARTOLETTI, BOROUGH MANAGER
23	MARIETHE DARTOLLITZ, DOROGON MARIOLA
24	

Mark Wozniak Official Court Reporter

25

table, hear from our councilmen, see if they have anything to address us with. There's a public sign-in sheet if anybody would like to address council.

At this time the chair would entertain a motion to approve the minutes from the prior meeting.

MR. FEBBO: I'll make that motion.

MR. SEMENZA: Motion by Councilman

Febbo. Can I have a second?

MR. LENCESKI: I'll second that.

MR. SEMENZA: Seconded by Councilman Lenceski. On the question?

MR. NOTARI: I have a question.
What minutes are we passing? Previous
meeting? I don't have a copy of those
minutes. From the October meeting?

MS. BARTOLETTI: The one before that.

MR. NOTARI: When do we approve the October minutes?

MR. SEMENZA: Where are the October minutes? Oh, you didn't do them yet. I'll rephrase that motion. Motion to approve the minutes from November 1, 2016, and to

	
1	approve the minutes from September 27, 2016.
2	MR. FEBBO: Do I have to revise that
3	motion?
4	ATTY. RINALDI: Amend your motion.
5	MR. FEBBO: I'll amend my motion
6	from the last month's meeting to the
7	previous one just mentioned, November 1st
8	and September 27th.
9	MR. LENCESKI: I'll second that.
10	MR. SEMENZA: Second by Councilman
11	Lenceski. On the question? Public input?
12	Roll call.
13	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Notari?
14	MR. NOTARI: Yes.
15	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Febbo?
16	MR. FEBBO: Yes.
17	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Ferrett?
18	MR. FERRET: Yes.
19	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Rinaldi?
20	MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Yes.
21	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman
22	Lenceski?
23	MR. LENCESKI: Yes.
24	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Semenza?
25	MR. SEMENZA: Yes. The chair would

1	entertain a motion to approve the
2	treasurer's reports. Note that this does
3	not include sewer accounts.
4	MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: I'll make that
5	motion.
6	MR. SEMENZA: By Councilman Rinaldi.
7	Can I have a second?
8	MR. LENCESKI: I'll second that.
9	MR. SEMENZA: Seconded by Councilman
10	Lenceski. On the question? Public input?
11	Roll call, please.
12	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Notari?
13	MR. NOTARI: Yes.
14	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Febbo?
15	MR. FEBBO: Yes.
16	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Ferrett?
17	MR. FERRETT: Yes.
18	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Rinaldi?
19	MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Yes.
20	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman
21	Lenceski?
22	MR. LENCESKI: Yes.
23	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Semenza?
24	MR. SEMENZA: Yes. Third motion is
25	a motion to approve invoices. Note this

1	does not include sewer accounts.
2	MR. LENCESKI: I'll make that
3	motion.
4	MR. SEMENZA: By Councilman
5	Lenceski. Can I have a second?
6	MR. FEBBO: I'll second that motion.
7	MR. SEMENZA: Seconded by Councilman
8	Febbo. On the question? Public input?
9	Roll call, please.
10	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Notari?
11	MR. NOTARI: Yes.
12	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Ferrett?
13	MR. FERRETT: Yes.
14	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Febbo?
15	MR. FEBBO: Yes.
16	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Rinaldi?
17	MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Yes.
18	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman
19	Lenceski?
20	MR. LENCESKI: Yes.
21	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Semenza?
22	MR. SEMENZA: Yes. Fourth motion is
23	a motion to approve sewer department
24	financial reports.
25	MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: I'll make that

	, the state of the
1	motion.
2	MR. SEMENZA: Motion by Councilman
3	Rinaldi. Can I have a second?
4	MR. NOTARI: I'll second that.
5	MR. SEMENZA: Seconded by Councilman
6	Notari. On the question? Public input?
7	Roll call, please.
8	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Notari?
9	MR. NOTARI: Yes.
10	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Ferrett?
11	MR. FERRETT: No.
12	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Febbo?
13	MR. FEBBO: Yes.
14	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Rinaldi?
15	MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Yes.
16	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman
17	Lenceski?
18	MR. LENCESKI: Yes.
19	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Semenza?
20	MR. SEMENZA: Yes. The chair would
21	entertain a motion to approve sewer
22	department invoices for payment.
23	MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: I'll make that

MR. SEMENZA: By Councilman Rinaldi.

motion.

11	
1	Can I have a second?
2	MR. FEBBO: I'll second that.
3	MR. SEMENZA: Seconded by Councilman
4	Febbo. On the question? Public input?
5	Roll call, please.
6	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Notari?
7	MR. NOTARI: Yes.
8	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Ferrett?
9	MR. FERRETT: Yes.
10	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Febbo?
11	MR. FEBBO: Yes.
12	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Rinaldi?
13	MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Yes.
14	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman
15	Lenceski?
16	MR. LENCESKI: Yes.
17	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Semenza?
18	MR. SEMENZA: Yes. Now we'll go to
19	our department heads. Paul Papi, DPW
20	report, please.
21	(Mr. Papi read his report, which is
22	attached.)
23	MR. SEMENZA: Anybody have any
24	questions for Paul?
25	MR. NOTARI: Paul, the leaf truck,

is that out regularly, every day?

MR. PAPI: Yes.

MR. NOTARI: I know I saw it today.

Is it certain days of the week?

MR. PAPI: Whenever we get a chance we get it out there. It was out all day Saturday. Sunday was the only day it wasn't out last week. The last day of pickup of yard waste is usually December 1st only because when it gets wet, stuff gets wet and it freezes, then the guys come around in the morning they're banging the cans, breaking the cans, then people come home in the afternoon and it's warm and it all melts and it looks like they only took half the cans. I talked to Joe in Taylor. They do the same thing.

MR. SEMENZA: If weather allows we'll go around.

MR. PAPI: Right.

MR. SEMENZA: Anybody have any other questions for Paul?

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Paul,

Josephine and Grace Street last night,

around midnight, maybe even nine to midnight

they had the road blocked off. I think it was the water company.

MR. PAPI: Yeah, I had to go mark that. That was another water problem.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Was it a water break?

MR. PAPI: I went the day before to mark it. There wasn't water coming out of the ground that I could see, but it was definitely the water company. I remember the PA-1 call.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: I noticed when I went down the road in the morning. I just saw it patched up.

MR. PAPI: That's our new section of road, too. Fairly.

MR. SEMENZA: Thank's, Paul. Steve, zoning code enforcement.

(Mr. Bieryla read his report, which is attached.)

MR. BIERYLA: What I'd like to bring to council's attention is the gas line cut down on George Street. Our ordinance -- and it's not only because it's in front of my house, but just the way the ordinance reads.

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It says within 100 linear feet or four cuts within that hundred linear feet constitutes a total reclamation.

So what they're actually saying is if you have four cuts within a hundred feet, if you would take a resolution to this and say if two cuts were made within 25 feet a total reclamation would be because that would be an even number between 25, 50, 75 So I'd just like to bring it to and 100. council's attention that maybe we should revise this to read because those two cuts are within 50 feet, and this says if any cuts are made within a hundred linear feet, if you have two cuts or four cuts. So maybe just because of it's a newly cut road it should be if two cuts are made within 25 feet of each other.

MR. SEMENZA: Did we talk to anybody about that, about repairs on that?

MR. LOPATKA: I have not. That was the gas company?

MR. BIERYLA: The gas company.

MR. LOPATKA: I'll call them.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: According to

our ordinance, if there was the way you suggested it to be they would have to repave that whole street.

MR. BIERYLA: Repave the total part.

If you do a --

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Repave it from cut to cut.

MR. BIERYLA: Right. If you did a street 100 feet, like, in a straight line, that whole portion of the street would have to be --

MR. FEBBO: Milled?

MR. LOPATKA: Yes, repaved.

MR. BIERYLA: Milled, reclaimed and paved. Or if you did four cuts within that hundred linear feet. They did two cuts within 50 feet. So if the ordinance says four cuts within a hundred I would like to recommend to council to consider --

MR. SEMENZA: Well, it should be done from cut to cut. For the 50 foot it should be done. Right?

MR. BIERYLA: But it's not in our ordinance that says that because they didn't do a hundred linear foot and they didn't do

four cuts in the linear foot. They did two within 50.

MR. SEMENZA: Bill, do you understand what he's talking about on that?

ATTY. RINALDI: I'm trying to think who -- did Sal Luzio do that pave cut ordinance for us? Maybe talk to Sal. If you want to change it, I'll change it.

 $\label{eq:mr.state} \text{MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: He doesn't} \\$ work there anymore.

MR. SEMENZA: Do you have a contact with Geoscience?

MR. LOPATKA: Yes.

MR. SEMENZA: Will you talk with them and try to revise that.

ATTY. RINALDI: Whatever it is, I'll write it up, we'll advertise it.

MR. SEMENZA: We can advertise that.

MR. BIERYLA: Unfortunately, like I stated, where the original hookup was, the neighbor across the street has all concrete on that side of their house. So what they did was they went up to where he has some grass on the other side of his house, which was 50 foot way, property line to property

line, and they went across and went up his grass and come in. So they had to retire the old service and then they cut the road right across with the new service. That's why I would recommend 25 feet. In case this does happen again somewhere else you'll have something in writing to back yourself up.

MR. FEBBO: Since we just did all the roads -- and I'm glad it happened in front of your house so you can bring it to our attention.

MR. SEMENZA: Anybody have any questions for Steve?

MR. NOTARI: Steve, what's our next step with Orchard Street, Mr. Perone's property? Because that roof is falling in.

MR. BIERYLA: Well, he did the clean out, so now his next step is to demolish or repair the roof. So that's why I said we'll keep on it, see where he is, because the dumpster got there within two days after he addressed council, and now this is about two weeks. So we'll keep monitoring the situation.

MR. NOTARI: You talked about you

stopped five different contractors from working without permits. Do contractors have to get a permit to work in Old Forge?

MR. BIERYLA: It depends on what work they do. These guys were roofs, sidewalks, and I think it was a ductless AC or --

MR. NOTARI: So they don't need a permit to work in the borough?

MR. SEMENZA: A license?

MR. NOTARI: A license.

MR. BIERYLA: The only time they need that, because of the way the Pa. registration reads, the Pa. registration, when you pay the \$50 to the attorney general that is your two-year quota for total reclamation of the house or the Home Revising Act. If you're remodeling a house. If you go to the commercial end of it or to a brand new house they do not cover that. Old Forge had contractors registration or licensing prior to the Pa. attorney general putting that in place. So that still can be enforced.

MR. NOTARI: My question is if we

find out that we have contractors that are repeat offenders can we prevent them from doing work in town?

MR. BIERYLA: Yes, I can deny.

MR. NOTARI: So you keep track of who these contractors are that --

MR. BIERYLA: As soon as they see me coming they got the money out because they know they're not getting away with it. They try.

MR. NOTARI: Last thing I have, has the Miles Street lighting project started yet, do we know? The little league project.

MR. SEMENZA: I don't believe so.

MR. NOTARI: Because they were in a big hurry to get money from us because they wanted to start the project by the end of the year.

MR. BIERYLA: There has been no prints that came in to me to give to BIU to review.

MR. NOTARI: I was going through the September minutes and I noticed that. They were in a big hurry to get the money from us. They couldn't wait until next year so

we can put it in the budget. Now it's mid-November and --

MR. PAPI: No work was started.

MS. BARTOLETTI: There was a request, an unofficial request to me for the money, and the way I looked at it was we are not paying to the little league, we are paying it to the company. Our portion will go directly to the company. I'm sure we're not going to do anything with that until we have an invoice. So if that makes you feel any better.

MR. NOTARI: I understand that, but it doesn't because we have people coming here saying we need it now because we want to start the project. Let's follow through on that. Let's not force our hand because you're giving us a timeline or else it's not going to happen, and then it's not happening. That's all I'm worried about. That's all I have for Steve.

MR. FEBBO: Steve, Stockey's job up there with Gilchrist, I've been getting a lot of complaints and I'm sure a lot of you guys have been hearing about it. I've been

working with Steve on that for, what, six months now with Stockey on just about an every week basis up there, he's tough to get hold of, but Billy Gilchrist is working with him to get him evicted.

I looked at Google, if you look at that place on the Google map it's a mess.

And now with the leaves off the trees and he has expanded up Keyser, towards Sibley. He has no business being there.

It's just a matter of time before we can catch him to have him move that stuff out of there. We did talk to his foreman, I guess, last week. He said he would start moving, but I don't see any action up there. Perhaps this week we'll get up there again. Just to let everybody know that it's not going without being dealt with.

MR. BIERYLA: He kind of was a little intimidated when he just was going to see his worker and he seen us there. Walter isn't intimidated but his guy in charge was. He seemed like he was a pretty level-headed person to deal with. He said he would move on it. I'll make my way up there tomorrow

and see what's been done.

MR. SEMENZA: Anything else for Steve?

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: To backtrack, on your street, the way the ordinance reads, when they do officially patch that they're going to have two cuts, the cut in front of your house and down by your street -- I'm sorry, down the road?

MR. BIERYLA: Here's the way it is, Councilman Rinaldi. My property line -- if you're coming down George Street, my property line at the top and my property line at the bottom, that's where the two cuts are. It's perfect. It's a perfect 50 foot. So that's where they are at. The other cut, the top cut goes right across the street, right through the sidewalk, right up the neighbor's property.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: So when they come to fix -- are they leaving it the way it is now? I drove over it. They're not leaving it that way.

MR. BIERYLA: I don't know what their timeline is on fixing it. I've been

Ι

That paving isn't getting tested because it's just temporary. That will actually start to sink a little bit.

MR. BIERYLA: I'm sure if we get some snow and the plow goes over it it will take it right off.

MR. SEMENZA: Anything else for Steve?

MAYOR MULKERIN: I just want to call you tomorrow on the 1234 South Main. I got a letter about it. I'll give you a copy of the letter and talk to you about it.

Nothing major.

MR. SEMENZA: Thanks, Steve. Dave, engineer's report?

MR. LOPATKA: Good evening,
everyone. Engineer's report for October.
On the Old Forge paving program, we have
punch list items mostly addressed at this
point with the exception of 310 McClure,
which was some concrete curb that was
damaged as a part of the milling process. I
did reach out to Pennsy and they're supposed
to be fixing that. He said it was today. I
didn't get over there, I didn't hear from

him. But I will check into that, make sure that's finished.

Roberts Road, which needed full depth base replacement added to the project, work was completed the week of 11/7/16, which was last week. Pennsy submitted their first payment application, which was for 100 percent of the project minus retainage. So their payment application was for \$818,111.83 with the remaining retainage of \$90,901.31. Retainage will be withheld until punch list items are completed. Hopefully they'll be finished. I think it's just that one item left to finish, then they'll basically be done.

Road, I just attended the hearing on behalf of the borough. A couple of councilmen were there. I guess Bill probably can elaborate a little bit more on that. If you have any questions I can answer them for you also.

The Old Forge Police Station, again, a final layout was prepared and agreed upon by the chief. Currently I'm trying to get, schedule wise, the architect and Marylynn

together so we can set up another meeting.

I did send you an e-mail. So if you get
back to me we'll try to get something set up
shortly on that so that doesn't sit there.

Main Street inlet replacement project that we were going to do was canceled due to the paving project starting at the same time. We just couldn't fit it in in time. We couldn't get anything done.

Kohler Avenue rehab project, that's the OECD project through the county. The site has been surveyed and base plans prepared and we're getting ready to start design work. Hopefully we'll have that done and that will get bid out and done.

Main Street sewer replacement

project. Project was taken over by Popple.

It was taken away from Wince, who was kind

of unresponsive to getting it finished and

getting the final pavement finished. So we

talked to Popple, they gave us the number,

we agreed to it. I think they invoiced us

at this point. They removed the temporary

pavement that was put in last year, put new

base course in over the entire road. The

wearing course was installed as part of
Popple's Main Street paving project. So
that whole thing is done. I did put a call
in to them to check to see if they fixed the
pipe. I believe Paul said he saw them down
there. I didn't hear back from them so I'm
not sure if they fixed that one section of
pipe downstream of that manhole at the
intersection. Hopefully I'll hear from them
shortly and I can let you know if that was
taken care of. That's all I have.

MR. SEMENZA: Anybody have any questions for Dave?

MR. NOTARI: Do we have a cost estimate on the police department plans yet?

MR. LOPATKA: We do not have a cost estimate because we are going to hold off until we met with Marylynn.

MR. FEBBO: Fred Street?

MR. FERRETT: Dave, do you have any idea of what the Kohler Avenue project is going to cost?

MR. LOPATKA: There was an estimate somewhere around \$200,000. I mean, that's paid for by the OECD money, county money.

Fred Street, I will get that finalized. We have started looking at it.

I just didn't get a chance to finalize it.

ATTY. RINALDI: Kohler we own. They were laid out in the '20s. That's how far back I found the subdivision.

MR. LOPATKA: Right. We have the whole thing surveyed. We have to do the design on it.

MR. FERRETT: The project wasn't done until 1966.

ATTY. RINALDI: The project was done within the roadway. They only bought the land within the roadways. Those roads were laid out. They were all individual lots that were bought at a sheriff's sale and combined to put in the development.

MR. FERRETT: So why are we going to spend taxpayers' dollars for roads that we don't even own or we have no jurisdiction with?

MR. SEMENZA: We own that road, Joe. We own Kohler Avenue.

MR. FERRETT: We don't own Kohler Avenue. No, we don't. We don't. Then

where's the deed of dedication? I want to see them. We don't own those roads.

MR. FEBBO: I think we went through all that when you were absent.

ATTY. RINALDI: Those roads were laid out prior to 1920, I think. So, I mean, I didn't even go back looking for deeds because it would take a lot of time. So like a lot of roads here that have been laid out we don't have deeds for, because they were laid out back in the '20s or '10s that's kind of our road now.

MR. FERRETT: Bill, back in 1966 I remember very distinctly -- I've been around -- there was a contract that was dealt between the county and the borough.

ATTY. RINALDI: I have it.

MR. FERRETT: Right.

ATTY. RINALDI: And I have the deed.

MR. FERRETT: So do I have the deed, and in that deed it specifically states that if the county wanted to get the roads, sidewalks, everything paved they can turn them over to the borough with the understanding that the borough gets ten

percent of the rental income that comes in. We're the taxing body.

ATTY. RINALDI: The only problem is here's the tract that they bought. There's no roads in there. They put the houses facing the road. They only bought this land here. This land here was not included in their deed. Kohler and whatever the other one is. They put all the houses facing out.

MR. SEMENZA: Kohler and Dunn.

ATTY. RINALDI: Kohler and Dunn were not in their deed. He checked it and found the actual map where the guy laid out this whole neighborhood -- I think it was Pohutsky.

MR. FERRETT: If you're saying -ATTY, RINALDI: So there's a map
record that shows this particular block and
all little lots.

MR. FERRETT: No streets?

ATTY. RINALDI: No street.

MR. FERRETT: How do people travel if there's no streets?

ATTY. RINALDI: Because the streets were there. They don't go within the

property that the county developed. There's no streets down the center. I'll show you the plan. I have a copy of it. It was recorded in the courthouse. So I got a copy of it. This whole area was a bunch of little lots. For some reason these went in a tax sale and they were bought and then sold to the county, and the county put houses the way you see them laid out.

MR. LOPATKA: Also, Joe, what's paying for that is the CDBG money from the county.

ATTY. RINALDI: They were laid out and approved by the borough sometime in the '20s, '21 or '22, if I recall.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Do we have documentation that we own the road?

ATTY. RINALDI: Back then, you know, some of them put deeds in, some didn't. But after so many years they're yours.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: So we don't have documentation we own the roads?

ATTY. RINALDI: I can't find deeds for a lot of these streets.

MR. FERRETT: 1920 that area was

nothing but woodland and never developed.

There was never no roads or nothing, no matter what you or whoever you said over there checked these and sees that these were plotted out. I don't buy it. Maybe somebody else will.

ATTY. RINALDI: I'll get you the documents. The county didn't buy the roads. Let's put it that way. They don't own the roads. So you either go back and try to dig up who is the estate of Pohutsky and find an heir to sign them over to the borough, but he's not going to do anything with them other than you get a quitclaim deed from them. They were laid out so long ago with that big subdivision there.

MR. FERRETT: Who was the original owner of all that land?

MR. STASSI: It switched over to Lithwik.

ATTY. RINALDI: Those lots went to a sheriff's sale because I have a sheriff's deed. I guess Pohutsky bought it from the sheriff and Pohutsky sold it to the county. They were laid out before that because they

all had lot numbers. Go and find the plot plan to match it up but there was no road. Then Dave plotted it out and surveyed it.

MR. SEMENZA: Joe, we went through this with the county over a year and a half ago.

ATTY. RINALDI: They own nothing on Kohler and nothing on Dunn. Only what's between those roadways. They weren't responsible for doing any development there. The lots were already there and subdivided.

MR. SEMENZA: Anybody have any other questions for Dave? Thanks, Dave. Bill, solicitor's report?

ATTY. RINALDI: Other than the legal items, there's nothing, really. There was a trial date posted for the Jane Doe versus Old Forge Borough January 30, 2017.

I attached a copy of the stenographer's transcript which was given to both attorneys, to attorney Lavelle to prepare a written agreement and get back to the judge with a timeline for resolution of the Old Forge Borough/Villa/Rosemount Estates matter.

MR. SEMENZA: Anybody have any questions for Bill?

MR. NOTARI: Bill, when the Villa hearing and everything -- when should work begin on that? Are we waiting?

ATTY. RINALDI: I think some of the work now is weather issues because of the partial paving fix. The plans are getting completed. We had a meeting last night.

MR. STASSI: We gave him a 90-day extension.

MR. NOTARI: Do you have to wait for the plans to be complete before they can start work? Like the two-foot drainage areas?

MR. LOPATKA: I'm actually going to go out there and mark that out. I talked to George, he asked me to go mark out the location. So I'm going to mark that out. The puddle, I've been waiting for it to rain.

MR. NOTARI: So right now they're waiting for you.

MR. LOPATKA: Just to mark it out, yes. Then we're waiting for his final plan

to come through. He did present it to the planning commission last night. He has a lot of the items worked out, so it is progressing.

MR. NOTARI: I just don't want to have to revisit this again in June and nothing's being done.

MR. STASSI: He has until February 2nd to submit his plans.

MR. LOPATKA: Whatever the work is that he has, that can be done. The shoulder work can be done anytime. The paving work naturally is a little more time sensitive.

MR. NOTARI: Have we met with PennDOT yet?

MR. LOPATKA: Bill, I believe, has a call in.

ATTY. RINALDI: I had conversations with two of their attorneys and we're trying to put something together for a conference call and a meeting, if necessary.

MR. NOTARI: We're almost three weeks since that hearing.

MR. LOPATKA: Plus, we were kind of waiting, too. Hopefully we would have had a

stipulation back with time frames on it to make sure. But we are moving forward with items.

MR. NOTARI: Ledge Street. Have you done any --

anything. I really could just go up and see what the mapping has on it. Then that depends on how much time you want me to spend trying to trace back property owners to see what happened.

MR. NOTARI: Well, basically I'd just like to know if it's a borough street or not. Do you have to go back and see who owns the property? I would probably venture a guess it's the Mariotti-Marlee Valley area because all those homes, that's where they border.

ATTY. RINALDI: If it shows up on a subdivision plan I can tell you. But they haven't dedicated it, at least since I've been here. I think that street goes back further.

MR. FEBBO: What about Lawrence Street, the one way?

MS. BARTOLETTI: I have all that information. I did all the research on that.

MR. NOTARI: Can we get your reports before the meeting? I know the last two we got the day of the meeting.

ATTY. RINALDI: I send them over here on Friday before 2:00.

MS. BARTOLETTI: I was out of town and my staff did the packets and sent them out and then Bill's report got here. So instead of sending another packet out they held them.

MR. NOTARI: There's a lot of important stuff in his reports, and a lot of it we can't talk about, has to be done in executive. I think if we knew about it before we got here that night and we needed to schedule an executive --

ATTY. RINALDI: I get them here.

MR. NOTARI: On that note, you know, the packets are delivered, and I was told it was kind of busy Friday so my packet I didn't get until -- really I didn't get it until Monday because I was away Saturday and

Sunday. It wasn't in my mailbox at 8:00 Friday night. So if it was delivered overnight, I understand it's busy and of that nature.

MS. BARTOLETTI: I can't control that part. That's the police.

MR. NOTARI: You can't control that part and I think we need to find a solution, maybe, and my solution would be we go paperless and that everything can be e-mailed. Then you have instant access to any reports instead of us killing a tree every two weeks with paper. So I don't know if that's something that we could look into for the future. Obviously that's not a quick fix. It would be easy to just hit send, attach a PDF, minutes, reports. I don't know if we need to make that decision as a council, if a committee needs to look into that.

MS. BARTOLETTI: You guys need to discuss that because I'm sure that not everyone has immediate availability to something like that.

MR. SEMENZA: So what would you do

at the table, have a laptop or a tablet or something?

MR. NOTARI: Have a tablet at the table that you take with you. It would be borough property. Maybe the councilmen, the borough manager and the mayor and the solicitor would have one. It would be borough property, for borough use only. So instead of having all this paper we would have a tablet with us.

ATTY. RINALDI: My reports are for council only, not to be gone on the internet. So I wouldn't like sending my report e-mail, having it posted somewhere where it can float around out there. It's for council's eyes only. I give it to Marylynn only, not to anybody else in the office, and she disseminates -- I e-mail it to Marylynn only.

There's also an open records law.

That's why I scan my reports as attorney/client privilege. They're not for a councilman to send to somebody else.

MR. NOTARI: I realize that, and that would be the councilmen's

responsibility. Councilmen should respect that, that it's for him only. It really doesn't matter -- Bill, in my eyes it doesn't matter if it's e-mail or this piece of paper. I can run it off 20 times and give to 20 of my friends. Whether it's an e-mail or whether it's a piece of paper -- you know, I understand what you're saying, but there's lots of ways to disseminate information. So, you know, giving me a piece of paper doesn't prevent me from showing it to anybody as opposed to giving me an e-mail. Save us some money, too, in the long run. That's all I have.

MR. SEMENZA: Anybody else for Bill? Thanks, Bill. Marylynn, borough manager's report, please.

MS. BARTOLETTI: First, you have all my financial reports. You got them today.

Does anyone have any questions on them?

MR. NOTARI: How are we looking, Mare?

MS. BARTOLETTI: Good. We will be good through the end of the year. Any questions?

The

until a contract has expired to begin negotiations?

MS. BARTOLETTI: No, theirs is usually done. Theirs expires December 31st. Once they get to us with their desires, then we'll meet with them. Their contract usually goes very quickly. I don't have any worries about that.

I did some investigating about the issue of Lawrence Street because it's been back and forth, and I can give you some information about the history of what happened there. So there was an ordinance in June of 1992 to put four-way stop signs on Grace and Lawrence.

An ordinance in 2000 to put stop signs at Lawrence and Mary.

There was an ordinance in 2002 which made Lawrence Street one way. Then in December of 2007 it was changed to two-way. Voted on at a council meeting but never done by ordinance. So that's why it's not recognized in this book or anything because it was never done by ordinance. It was voted on, it was a unanimous vote at a

council meeting, but there was never an ordinance set forth.

MR. SEMENZA: So it was voted on and it was passed as a two-way street?

MS. BARTOLETTI: To put it back to two-way, but every other step before that, the four-way stops, was done by ordinances. Then the stop sign at Lawrence and Mary was done by ordinance.

Then in 2002, to make Lawrence Street one way it was done by ordinance.

But in 2007, when it was decided to make it back to two way it was voted on in a council meeting, but there was never an ordinance that was passed. So that's why it was never recorded in this book, because this is all ordinances. That's why those people came here and said it wasn't reflected as going back to two ways because it was done as a vote but not as an ordinance.

MR. SEMENZA: Bill, how long does that stand? Can we just pass the ordinance now?

ATTY. RINALDI: Which way do you

want it?

MR. SEMENZA: I don't know. I'm just saying it's one way now without an ordinance.

ATTY. RINALDI: So technically on an ordinance police officers can cite somebody because somebody came to a meeting and asked for it to be done and council just voted on it.

MS. BARTOLETTI: It came up in several different council meetings, but then in December of 2007, that's when they voted on it and decided to turn it back to two way.

ATTY. RINALDI: Did they turn it back to two way?

MS. BARTOLETTI: It was turned back to two way but there was never an ordinance set forth. So it's not official in our code book because it's not voted on.

MR. SEMENZA: Do you remember that?

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Yes.

MR. SEMENZA: So what do we do?

MR. FERRETT: Despite the fact it was voted on it's still legal.

ATTY. RINALDI: They can never cite anybody. It's not legal but it's posted that way. I know what happened. Nobody said they wanted to change it before the meeting. There was no ordinance advertising done and they just did it at the meeting.

So how do you want it? I think that's where we started.

MS. BARTOLETTI: Two way or one way?

Because if you want it one way, technically

since there was no ordinance, technically

that's an easy thing. But if you want it

two way you need to do an ordinance to make

it --

MR. NOTARI: And it was one way from Grace to Mary?

MS. BARTOLETTI: Grace to Mary, yes.

MR. SEMENZA: Anybody have any suggestions?

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Bill, one way or another it would have to go to a vote?

ATTY. RINALDI: Yes. You can rescind that resolution, just so you have it in the minutes that you rescinded it.

MR. SEMENZA: There's no resolution.

ATTY. RINALDI: If you want to cite somebody for going the wrong way it wouldn't hold up because you don't have an ordinance.

MR. NOTARI: We do have an ordinance for it to be one way.

MS. BARTOLETTI: You have an ordinance making it one way, you have a vote making it two ways and no ordinance.

MR. NOTARI: If you went tomorrow and took the signs down to make it one way everything would be kosher.

ATTY. RINALDI: That's it.

MR. PAPI: You have to add new signs.

MR. SEMENZA: What about that vote on the two way?

ATTY. RINALDI: You can vote to correct the signage on the street for a resolution that was passed but not by ordinance. If you want to keep a record of the minutes if they're ever looking back to see what happened.

MR. FERRETT: Why not just go to work and offer a resolution to put it back to where it was originally, one way, by

ordinance?

ATTY. RINALDI: I think it was going back and forth because of the school and the church, then the school closed and it went the other way. So it was always a school issue and traffic.

MS. BARTOLETTI: 2007 technically, I believe, was the year the school closed. So that's why it was voted on to turn it back to two way. But where the problem came in is there was no ordinance. So the fact that there is no school there, do you feel that it's beneficial to continue it to be one way or --

MR. FEBBO: Didn't we discuss that?
Russ, didn't you bring that up about the church being heavily used?

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: I think we all brought it up. It's very heavily used.

MR. FEBBO: And then the weekends, too. Junior basketball uses it as well.

Even at night.

MR. LENCESKI: Or yesterday.

MR. RUSSEL RINALDI: Just put it to the floor and end it.

MR. GRECO: If you make it a one-way street and somebody gets stopped going the wrong way can they be cited by the police?

Because there's no ordinance saying it's a one-way street.

MR. SEMENZA: Right now there is an ordinance saying it is a one way.

MR. SEMENZA: But in 2007 it went to the table to a vote to change it to two way and they never passed an ordinance to have it two way. The vote was there but the ordinance wasn't there. Do you want to put that on the agenda?

MR. FERRETT: The question here is how does council want it? Does council want it a two way or one way?

MR. RUSSEL RINALDI: I think it would have to go to a vote.

MR. NOTARI: We've been asked to look at this.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Numerous times.

MR. NOTARI: To turn it back to a one way.

MS. BARTOLETTI: But we didn't have

notice on Henderson?

MR. PAPI: I think we did that in the paper when you changed the street.

ATTY. RINALDI: You have to advertise the ordinance, then you pass it as an ordinance. That's how they get notice.

MS. BARTOLETTI: Not as an individual thing but in the legal section.

MR. BIERYLA: I think what you did on Henderson was you gave so much time for no tickets, just warnings to let everybody know that it changed over. Then two weeks or three weeks, after that it was you know enough not to be going the other way.

MR. SEMENZA: I know on nights of catechism is pretty hectic there. Now that basketball started there's some traffic in and out.

ATTY. RINALDI: You decide what you want to do.

MR. SEMENZA: Church, too. The worst problem is Grace Street.

MS. BARTOLETTI: Sometimes I think doing that as a one way doesn't fix the problem because Grace Street is such a