1	OLD FORGE BOROUGH COUNCIL
2	OLD FORGE, PENNSYLVANIA
3	
4	
5	IN RE: REGULAR MEETING OF COUNCIL
6	
7	
8	
9	MAY 23, 2017
0	7:00 P.M.
11	OLD FORGE MUNICIPAL BUILDING
12	314 SOUTH MAIN STREET
13	OLD FORGE, PENNSYLVANIA
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	account MEMBERO
19	COUNCIL MEMBERS:
20	ROBERT SEMENZA, PRESIDENT
21	JOSEPH FERRETT RUSSELL RINALDI
22	DEVON BROWN LOUIS FEBBO
23	RICK NOTARI WILLIAM RINALDI, ESQUIRE, SOLICITOR
24	MARYLYNN BARTOLETTI, BOROUGH MANAGER
25	Mark Wozniak
	Official Court Reporter

MR. SEMENZA: Good evening, ladies and gentlemen. We'll start the meeting with the Pledge of Allegiance.

(The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.)

MR. SEMENZA: Once again, welcome, ladies and gentlemen, to the May 23, 2017 Old Forge Borough regular council meeting. Roll call, please, Marylynn.

MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Brown?

MR. BROWN: Here.

MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Notari?

MR, NOTARI: Present.

MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Febbo?

MR. FEBBO: Here.

MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Ferrett?

MR. FERRETT: Here.

MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Rinaldi?
Councilman Lenceski? Councilman Semenza?

MR. SEMENZA: Here. We'll go
through some housekeeping items, hear from
our department heads, go down the table and
see if council has anything to report.

There's a public sign-in sheet if anybody would like to address council.

	"
1	MR. BROWN: I'd like to make that
2	motion.
3	MR. SEMENZA: By Councilman Brown.
4	Second?
5	MR. FEBBO: I'll second that motion.
6	MR. SEMENZA: Seconded by Councilman
7	Febbo. On the question? Public input?
8	Roll call, please.
9	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Brown?
10	MR. BROWN: Yes.
11	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Notari?
12	MR. NOTARI: No.
13	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Febbo?
14	MR. FEBBO: Yes.
15	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Ferrett?
16	MR. FERRETT: Yes.
17	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Semenza?
18	MR. SEMENZA: Yes. The next motion
19	is a motion to approve invoices for payment.
20	Note that this does not include sewer
21	accounts.
22	MR. BROWN: I'd like to make that
23	motion.
24	MR. SEMENZA: By Councilman Brown.
25	May I have a second?
	li de la companya de

(Mr. Papi read his report, which is

attached.)

(Councilman Russell Rinaldi arrived.)

MR. PAPI: I met with Matty Brown to replace the storm sewer in Meade Street behind Burger King and we were stopped by a gentleman who said he was the contractor for Bruger King and they were going to redo everything. They're going to tear most of the building down and build a new building.

What we found out was our sewer line runs directly underneath Burger King into

Main Street. So the developer said take a look at his plan, and he asking the borough -- he said he'd do all the work if we would pay for the pipe. What he's asking for is about 160 feet of plastic PVC sewer line. We happen to have more than that at our garage.

MR. SEMENZA: So it won't cost us anything?

MR. PAPI: No. He's asking for the pipe or the payment of the pipe.

MR. NOTARI: When is this project going to begin?

MR. PAPI: As soon as he gets through the planning commission. I think he wants to start June, early June. He's actually separating all the storm water, too, from the sewer. When we do ever do sewer separation down Main Street it's ready

MR. SEMENZA: Paul, what size pipe,

MR. PAPI: Eighteen is what we have. We have a big sewer trunk going through the

Does it matter what size they use or is that what they're

I thought they were requesting sewer line, six or eight inch.

Six or eight inch, but --

The storm wasn't part

They're taking care of

MR. SEMENZA: So we don't have the

MR. PAPI: He said if we have the

18, that will work. Actually we have bigger than an 18-inch line in the alley.

MR. SEMENZA: So it doesn't matter if there's a bigger line?

MR. LOPATKA: A bigger line is not going to be a problem.

MR. SEMENZA: Even tying into Main Street, there's not going to be an issue with it?

MR. LOPATKA: They're actually putting a manhole right in front of his building and bringing both pipes into that and tying into the existing line.

MR. SEMENZA: Just tap into the Main Street line.

MR. LOPATKA: He's not doing anything on Main Street. He's tapping into the existing sewer. You should bypass everything and tie into the existing sewer in the front.

MR. SEMENZA: So it's not going to matter what size line?

MR. PAPI: No, just I have to tell him.

MR. SEMENZA: Is that something we

we have, which we can donate. 1 MR. PAPI: Right. 2 MR. SEMENZA: Paul, who is the 3 contractor? 4 MR. PAPI: I don't know. Out of 5 town. 6 MR. LOPATKA: The owner is Jim 7 Backus. 8 MR. FEBBO: That's their 9 10 responsibility, all the lines on their property, sewer lines? 11 MR. LOPATKA: The other thought was 12 should the borough have an easement through 13 his property in case you ever have to fix 14 that line. That line technically -- it 15 carries public sewer from the other side. 16 It's always gone through that property. 17 It used to be the silk MR. PAPI: 18 mill line, Butler. 19 How do we go about MR. SEMENZA: 20 getting an easement on the property? 21 MR. LOPATKA: Or you can have him 22 show it on his plan. 23 ATTY. RINALDI: It's not shown on 24 You're talking the hashmark going 25 here.

through the property?

MR. LOPATKA: No, this. Reroute it around. This they want to take out, reroute around and put a manhole in. There's houses on Jones Street.

 $\label{eq:ATTY.RINALDI:} \textbf{I} \ \ \text{guess we should}$ have an easement, then.

MR. SEMENZA: Bill, could you take care of that?

ATTY. RINALDI: Yes. You have the paperwork, Paul?

MR. PAPI: No, I don't have that information.

MR. LOPATKA: I have it. I don't have it with me but I have his card.

MR. PAPI: And the mileage is on the last sheet.

MR. SEMENZA: Anybody have any questions for Paul?

MR. FERRETT: Paul, at the last meeting I think Mr. Notari, if I read my prints right, asked you a question about that water problem up on Stewart Street, by Mr. Kania. I know there was some discussion kicked around as to ideas, what should be

done and how it should be done. Where do we stand on that? Have you looked into this?

Have you done anything? Is anything being done on this problem?

MR. PAPI: Council had asked our engineer to give us a price on how to fix it.

MR. FEBBO: Before you get ahead of that --

MR. FERRETT: From what I understand, like I said, there was temporary fixes that were actually put out at the last meeting as to whether we could do this and whether we can't do this temporarily until we find out what the engineer wants.

MR. PAPI: I remember the discussion but nothing was ever finalized. The only thing I remember being finalized is putting it on for a vote for tonight's meeting.

MR. FERRETT: What's going to happen if this is going to be another one of those situations where it will be another year before anything gets done by this council? In the meantime, we're going to keep hearing this guy come to this meeting, when are you

going to do something, when are you going to try to do something. Are you trying to tell me there's not a temporary thing we can do to try to help the people out?

MR. PAPI: We talked about a couple different things, Joe.

MR. FERRETT: Well, why isn't anything being done if we talked about it?

MR. PAPI: It was never finalized.

MR. NOTARI: I thought Dave was going to go out and take a look at that and come up with a solution.

MR. FEBBO: According to the minutes that we had here from our last meeting we discussed that and we had talked about starting at Dunn Avenue. The temporary fix, we also made mention of the fact that we would see about putting a small catch basin on top of Corcoran and running pipe towards the vegetation, and we were supposed to decide on that this evening. The last thing on page 21 of the minutes states that, to make it a temporary solution into that vegetation.

If it does not work then, of course,

we would move forward with that project over on Reilly Street all the way down to Dunn.

But in the interim, because of the cost of it, we said that we would start over there and run that pipe across Dunn before the paving project, and then, based on Mr. Ferrett's recommendation, see if we can gradually, with the money coming in, move forward all the way up Reilly to Corcoran. Again, not that one cost of --

MR. PAPI: We had talked about a macadam curb, a pipe and box but nothing was never -- nobody ever told me what to do.

MR. SEMENZA: We went further after that. Dave wasn't at the meeting at that point in time. Now, on page 41 to 44, where Dave is involved in the conversation, we're talking about the storm water project that we had him --

MR. LOPATKA: We looked into what we had surveyed and you can't go up to Sampson because of the grade change.

MR. FEBBO: We eliminated that, but again, the temporary part with the water coming -- instead of going down towards the

left side there, because it is a dead road, not a property owned by someone, run a pipe with a small catch basin to the other side, towards the middle, where there's vegetation, and see if that would absorb any kind of water coming out of there. If it did not Dave would move forward with this major project.

Again, that was just a temporary solution to help Mr. Kania out. That's the way we left it. To quote you, Mr. Lopatka, "I don't know if you can put a pipe in there. You'd probably put it in there, divert the water somewhat. Water finds its way eventually."

But again, as a temporary solution
we should take a look at trying that.

Again, I know it's not a permanent fix, but
until we --

MR. LOPATKA: We can do something, I'm sure, temporarily. If we can divert it to the other side we can see where it goes. Maybe it will just go down the middle and won't bother anybody.

MR. FEBBO: Again, even if it didn't

it might be absorbed in a lot of vegetation unless you got a real heavy rain.

MR. SEMENZA: On 44, Dave: "I got the survey information on it. I can take a look at what we can potentially do for the short term. Then we can talk about the other portion of it."

MR. LOPATKA: Okay, I'll get over there. I apologize.

MR. SEMENZA: My mind is blank. I don't know if we came to a decision we were going to have him put a temporary curb at that point in time.

MR. FEBBO: I believe Mr. Ferrett was supposed to go take a look with Paul and maybe myself.

MR. NOTARI: From what I recall is what Mr. Semenza just said, is that Dave was supposed to go with whomever and see if there was a temporary fix, if you suggested a temporary fix would work or not. We're waiting on Dave's report on that. That's how I take it.

MR. LOPATKA: I'll get over there.
MR. PAPI: Do you want us to

temporarily fix it or do you want us to look at it?

MR. SEMENZA: I'd rather Dave look and see what a short-term fix would be first before we do anything, instead of having the DPW guys go and throw cold patch down.

Dave, can you get over there within the next day or so?

MR. LOPATKA: Absolutely.

MR. NOTARI: Can we agree now if

Dave feels there is a short-term fix we

don't have to wait until the next meeting,

they can go ahead and do it?

MR. SEMENZA: Yes, I think that's what we're discussing now. Whatever the short-term fix you think is, Dave, Paul can go right ahead and do it.

MR. PAPI: Will do.

MR. SEMENZA: That okay, Joe?

MR. FERRETT: Yeah, whatever.

MR. SEMENZA: Anybody else have questions for Paul?

MR. NOTARI: Paul, on your report
you said "emptied a large trailer at Marion
Street park."

MR. PAPI: From what I understand, it was donated to the borough on the project for the school park, and when the contractor was finished he just left it filled with debris and it's been parked there all this time. I didn't know about it until somebody called the borough manager and she called me. We went and cleaned it all out and called whoever it belonged to and they came and picked it up. Couple hours of work.

MR. NOTARI: Where's the trailer now?

MS. BARTOLETTI: Gone.

MR. PAPI: It's their trailer. They came and took it.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}.$ NOTARI: We had to empty it for them.

MR. PAPI: He called the borough and said he lent us a trailer a few years ago and nobody knew anything about it. So I went and checked around and it was all full of garbage. We got rid of all the garbage and called and said come and get it. That's when he told us that it was lent to us.

MR. NOTARI: My other question is

the deposition. Attorney Rinaldi, am I allowed to ask what that was for?

ATTY. RINALDI: The borough.

MR. PAPI: I had a deposition. It was about a person who is suing the borough for an accident that occurred approximately eight years ago. I was the zoning officer at a time. I didn't even know it happened. But being that Leonard is not around they needed to talk to someone. He should be suing the state because it's a state intersection, state stop sign. Nothing to do with the borough. I didn't understand it but I had to go through it anyway.

MR. SEMENZA: Anything else?

MR. NOTARI: Nothing from me.

MR. SEMENZA: Thanks, Paul.

MR. HUGHES: The borough donates the pipe for that contractor. Now, that pipe breaks further down the road, does that make the borough liable to fix it?

MR. SEMENZA: It would be our line,
Bob. It is our line. That's why we asked
attorney Rinaldi about an easement for that.
It already is currently taking sewage from

Jones Street to Main Street.

MR. HUGHES: So it's our responsibility.

MR. SEMENZA: Yes, even if it's brand new pipe. Steve, zoning officer/code enforcement report, please.

(Mr. Bieryla read his report, which is attached.)

MR. SEMENZA: Anybody have any questions for Steve?

MR. FERRETT: Steve, correct me if I'm wrong. Back somewhere around January or February we discussed the situation with the -- I believe the lady's here today, about the wall up on Winter Street, and I understand that there was a hearing on this and everything and that the hearing was extended. A permit was issued to those --

MR, BIERYLA: A permit was issued.

I did speak with the contractor last

Wednesday, Joe. He was to get there by this

weekend or early this week. So if he's not

there by tomorrow or Thursday I will contact

him, because I know every time they had

scheduled to go there we had that rain. So

they took on other work and that other work continued, and when I spoke with him last week he said he would get there, if not to demolish the entire wall but remove a portion of it this week.

MR. FERRETT: My only question is that you're looking into this and that you're on top of this and not just --

MR. BIERYLA: Right.

MR. FERRETT: That's all I'm interested in.

MR. BIERYLA: I spoke with him and I told him it's too long. This one's a priority.

MR. SEMENZA: How long is the permit good for?

MR. BIERYLA: One year to start, two years to finish.

MR. SEMENZA: Do we have any kind of stipulation that he has to have it done at a certain point in time?

MR. BIERYLA: I said it can't go past July 4th. It has to get done.

MR. FERRETT: First of all, you have to understand this is an order by the

magistrate. It's not --

MR. SEMENZA: I understand that.

There's a judgment against him. I know.

MR. FERRETT: The magistrate says the work has to be done, not that he's got a permit and it's good for a year.

MR. SEMENZA: I understand that, but I'm just asking if there was a time frame on it by the magistrate.

MR. FERRETT: There is. It should have been done within a week's time or something, give a week extension, but with the weather conditions you have to understand it's physically impossible to get it done at that time. But like you said, you're on top of it. Next week he's going to do it. That's fine. I don't think the lady -- I think she would concur with the whole thing.

MR. BIERYLA: He should be there tomorrow with a jackhammer and excavator. If he's not, then I'll be right on top of him.

MR. NOTARI: Was there a deadline imposed by the magistrate?

MR. BIERYLA: No, just for him to get a permit. Not to get the wall done, but for him to secure a contract to get it done because at that time he had -- the magistrate's words were stuck his head in the sand and ignored all the letters we had sent him up to that point. So then he procured the contractor and he got a signed contract and the contractor was to start. That's when we had all the heavy rains. Like I said, they have to work, too. Now he's back. When I spoke with him last Wednesday I told him he's got to get there this week.

MR. SEMENZA: You'll stay on him, make sure it gets taken care of ASAP?

MR. BIERYLA: Yes.

MS. MACHESKA: Nadia Macheska. I'm the resident where the wall fell on my property. For over a year it's been there. At the magistrate's hearing, Magistrate Gallagher -- their notes -- the hearing at Gallagher's office on 2/28/17. "Holding for one week to see if the defendant will fix the wall."

yet, either, since last year. It's this high. Your yellow sign fell out. It's in the -- I tried to put it back in the thing.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Steve, I'm going back three months of permits. I don't see one anywhere issued to Joe Mancuso. Do you have a copy in the office?

MR. BIERYLA: Yes.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Can we see it, please?

MR. BIERYLA: Yes.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: I'm looking back. I don't see any permits issued to him, unless you forgot to mark it down under the permit section of your notes. Would it be under Joe Mancuso or --

MR. BIERYLA: It would probably be under Don Guida instead of Mancuso. He's the one that had to pull the permit. Look maybe March.

MS. MACHESKA: March 8th, I believe he told me.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: I'd like to see a copy because I want to match the date.

I want to match the date on the permit with

the date on the magistrate's notice.

MR. SEMENZA: Anybody have --

MS. MACHESKA: Can I be notified when they're going to start? Because, like, it's my property. I would like to know when they're going to come on and start jackhammering and doing stuff like this. I mean, it's not a problem, but I would like to know as the property owner when this is going to happen.

MR. BIERYLA: When I get done with my report I'll walk out and get that permit. I'll personally call the contractor up and ask him what his date is, tomorrow or the next day. I'll let you know.

MR. SEMENZA: Anybody have any other questions for Steve?

MR. NOTARI: Bill, whose responsibility is it to enforce the magistrate's order?

ATTY. RINALDI: Well, the magistrate didn't say he had to do the work in a week. He told the guy I'm going to fine you a thousand dollars. I'd rather see you spend the thousand dollars to fix the woman's

wall. If you don't do anything and they come back and tell me you haven't done anything in a week I'm fining you.

So what he did is he got a contractor, got a contract and got a permit.

Okay?

MR. NOTARI: He did something.

ATTY. RINALDI: Now, if Steve called the magistrate and said that's all we got and nothing in the last 30, 40 days the magistrate would certainly schedule a hearing and fine him a thousand dollars or just actually fine him a thousand dollars.

MR. FERRETT: I think the best thing is we should move forward, give Steve enough -- just give him those couple extra days and see if that guy's going to do something. If he's not going to do anything within the next three days or so, then I think council should take action, or else she should go back to court and --

MR. SEMENZA: I don't believe we need to take action. All I believe is a phone call needs to be made to the magistrate. Correct?

ATTY. RINALDI: Yes, Steve can call.

MR. BIERYLA: I know the contractor

did receive a down payment to start.

 $$\operatorname{ATTY}.$$ RINALDI: So he spent the money.

MR. SEMENZA: Give him until the end of the week. If it's not started somebody should call. Everybody in agreement with that?

MR. FEBBO: Who becomes responsible for that?

ATTY. RINALDI: The judge.

MR. FEBBO: Guida bid to hire a contractor. They're fulfilling their part.

MR. NOTARI: They're really not.

ATTY. RINALDI: It's still their problem. The contractor didn't start, then, yeah, they get nailed.

MR. SEMENZA: They should hire a contractor that's going to be able to start immediately.

MR. FERRETT: If you hire someone to do the thing and the guy didn't come to do the work you're going to call him all day, get over here because I'm going to get fined

if you don't do the work. If you already paid him he's liable.

MR. SEMENZA: He knows the situation he's in. He should have called somebody that could come immediately.

MS. MACHESKA: Would the contractor have a certain length of time to finish the project or can it just go on and on and on?

MR. BIERYLA: The contractor said once he knocks the wall down the wall would be up within two days.

MR. NOTARI: It's not really up to us to set the deadline.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: The contractor is not the one responsible, it's the property owner's the one responsible. We can't blame the contractor. He can hire seven contractors. If they don't come you better hire an eighth one. If he don't come he better hire a ninth. It's the property owner's fault. That's why I want to see a permit, number one. I want to see the date.

Secondly, this is going on for two years. This is crazy.

MS. MACHESKA: Since 2008.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: We first addressed this a year and a half ago when you came to this meeting.

MR. SEMENZA: If nothing's done by the end of the week take action on it. Rick, anything else?

MR. NOTARI: The improvement for the outdoor area at the Maxim, is that in the parking lot?

MR. BIERYLA: Yes. There was one, the way he laid it out, in front of the building, and then there was one, as you face the building, to the left of the building along Susquehanna Avenue.

MR. NOTARI: Our building at the corner of Oak and Stewart, there's been some complaints that it's not moving along quick enough from the residents. How are we making out?

MR. BIERYLA: I noticed that myself.

I have my own personal issues that I'm

dealing with. I noticed that today. I

don't know if it's the contractor's fault or

the guy putting the dumpster, but you can't

load without having a dumpster put in. That

was my question to him. Whether he's got to get the guy to give him more dumpsters or you're only paying for two dumpsters and when you load them he has to go away. I'll find out.

MR. NOTARI: Again, like this issue we spoke about. It's the property owner's responsibility. Not so much the contractor or the dumpster guy.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Three dumpsters sat there empty for four days.

The machine wasn't started once. So it's not the dumpster's fault, it's not the dumpster guy's fault, it's the owner's fault again. Property owner.

MR. BROWN: A question in regard to that. In order to tear down or demolish a building, structure do we have a requirement that somebody be licensed, bonded and insured in order to do such work?

MR. BIERYLA: As long as they have a Pa. contractor's license they're able to do the work.

MR. BROWN: Do we know who -MR. BIERYLA: Shawn Quinn, yes.

Quinn's Construction.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Is he any relation to anyone over there?

MR. BIERYLA: He is Bridget, who owns the property, I think he's the nephew.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: That's what I heard.

MR. BIERYLA: I know right now, even though it's Bridget's property, I think the power of attorney goes to her daughter. Bridget's about 86 right now. It was kind of like talk to one but you should talk to another. I had to run around and get phone numbers to even find his phone number out.

MR. NOTARI: What do we have in our arsenal to expedite this?

MR. BIERYLA: I'll put a fire underneath him.

MR. BROWN: One more. The fire on Sussex Street, that house there, same thing.

MR. BIERYLA: That's still in the hands of the insurance. We haven't received a check yet. They're looking at rebuilding that because I know they had some calls to Joe Zapolski about it.

MR. SEMENZA: That addition in the back, rebuilding or remodeling?

MR. BIERYLA: Remodeling the whole house. I know they had some questions as to the sale of the magazines in back and then the home itself, and they were looking at Joe for some direction as to --

MR. SEMENZA: Who said that's structurally safe, that home? Do we go and inspect that?

MR. BIERYLA: No, the insurance company will.

MR. SEMENZA: And they will let you know if the structure is safe to remodel?

MR. BIERYLA: Right. I think that's the dilemma right now, demolish or repair. Like I said, they did have questions of Joe Zapolski as to the business portion end of it even though it's in an R-2 zone, and underneath his ruling what category does it fall under for the specifications to rebuild.

MR. NOTARI: Speaking of that property, those two pods that are parked on the street, is that legal?

MR. BIERYLA: Same as a dumpster.
We don't have any ordinances on dumpsters on
the street yet.

MR. SEMENZA: Anybody else?

MS. MACHESKA: I have another question. Does that wall have to be inspected at a certain time before it's -- to see if it will be okay and not fall over again? Say they're doing the work right.

MR. BIERYLA: It's under 30 inches.

If it's anything over 36 or 38 inches it

doesn't have to go through BIU, which it

Does that have to be inspected?

didn't.

MR. SEMENZA: So it's low enough it doesn't have to be inspected.

MR. BIERYLA: Right. I believe the contractor said he was going to put rebar in and then put that versa block on top of the wall. But I know he has to cut the block in the back because the rest of the wall is not his, it's the neighbor's behind.

MS. MACHESKA: Somebody asked me to ask that. Just so they did it right. I don't want to go through this again.

MR. SEMENZA: Thank you. Thanks, Steve. Lucille, sewer department report, please.

MS. YEAGER: I don't have a report but I do have a question. Bill, it's going to come to fruition in a couple months. If there is a mini-mart and a True Value store next to it and they're sharing one bathroom for the two businesses do I bill for one or two? You don't have to answer that right now.

ATTY. RINALDI: That's going to depend on what the floor plan is, who needs what bathroom.

MS. YEAGER: They have a fitness center on one side, and on the other side -- it's not rented yet but it will be. I don't know whether to charge them for two or one unit. I charged the people that owned that before for two units.

MR. SEMENZA: Repeat that again.

MS. YEAGER: Hypothetically, because this is going to come to fruition, say you have a business, and attached to that business is a separate business. They're

sharing one bathroom.

MR. SEMENZA: I would say both businesses need their own bathrooms.

MS. YEAGER: They're sharing one.

MR. SEMENZA: I don't think you could share one.

MS. YEAGER: They'd been sharing one for years before. They were billed for two units.

ATTY. RINALDI: That would be a BIU issue, especially if they're remodeling.

MS. YEAGER: They're not remodeling. The one unit is vacant. On the other side there's a business. Now, I'm told separating the two businesses is a bathroom.

MR. SEMENZA: Both businesses share one bathroom? Shouldn't each business have its own bathroom?

MS, YEAGER: Probably grandfathered.

MR. BIERYLA: That's the way it was with the convenient and the store. They went into the convenient and used the bathroom.

ATTY. RINALDI: You shouldn't be

billing by bathrooms.

MS. YEAGER: I'm not. I'm billing by units. I should be billing two. I always did bill two. Now the question is coming up they're sharing the bathroom, two businesses.

MR. SEMENZA: I believe both places get billed.

MR. FEBBO: Is the key word separate businesses?

ATTY. RINALDI: I don't recall it being a separate business. There's only one line going into the building. Some buildings have a line for each business.

MS. YEAGER: One bathroom facility, two businesses. The door takes you into the bathroom, and on the other side the door takes you into the bathroom.

ATTY. RINALDI: You shouldn't be billing by bathrooms. You could have 15 bathrooms.

MS. YEAGER: I've always billed the property two units. They're commercial, two commercial. One right now is a fitness center. It used to be a True Value store.

The other side is going to be rented as a business.

ATTY. RINALDI: If it was constructed with one sewer to a commercial building they can subdivide it 50 times and keep adding bills. There's one sewer bill. The landlord's responsible for it no matter how many units there are in there.

MR. SEMENZA: You're saying at one time the lumber yard and the convenient store --

MS. YEAGER: The lumbar yard doesn't have facilities. I always charged for two. I never had a problem charging for two. The business has changed hands and I'm being questioned. They have one bathroom, two separate businesses. I don't think that's right.

MR. SEMENZA: We charge per unit.
You should be billing two, my opinion.
Correct?

MR. NOTARI: I don't know.

ATTY. RINALDI: I have to double-check.

MR. FERRETT: You have to understand

there's a technicality there because of the fact that you only have one unit but you only have one bathroom. So it's not like you have two bathrooms going into one unit. Then you have to have two different bills because you have water coming from both ends. Now you only have one and you have two businesses sharing one.

MS. BARTOLETTI: How did two businesses share one bathroom?

MR. FERRETT: I agree with you.

There's no question or doubt about it. But as a rule, if you have one line and there's only one water going into that line that's what they're charging, only one.

MS. BARTOLETTI: There's only one business there now. When they come for an occupancy permit or whatever for the second business, at that time it should be up to code. That's not up to code.

ATTY. RINALDI: You can't have a building that has one bathroom for all the units in there. Depends on how he built it, when he built it and what statutes were in place at the time.

properties going into the same line. That's two units.

MR. SEMENZA: Years ago one family owned both places and they shared the bathroom. They owned the True Value and the mini-mart.

MR. FERRETT: If they have water going into it and the other person has water, I'll bet you the sewer authority is going to tell you charge --

MR. SEMENZA: Absolutely to charge two bills. I'll tell you that right now.

Absolutely. Shouldn't even be a discussion.

MR. FERRETT: Having a renter and both parties are going into the same line.

That's two units.

MR. SEMENZA: Lucille, I'll talk to Tom and make sure what they're going to do there when it comes to blow, but we'll talk to Steve about it. My personal opinion is that each unit should be charged a sewer bill. Whether they're sharing a bathroom or not doesn't matter.

MR. RUSSICK: When you're remodeling you have to go with UCC.

MR. SEMENZA: They're going to have to put a bathroom in. They're going to want it to be handicap accessible. This shouldn't even be a discussion.

MR. FERRETT: It's not only determined by the bathrooms. If you have water --

MR. SEMENZA: It's unit. We charge by unit.

MR. FERRETT: If you have water coming from both sides you're going to pay two units. Bathrooms don't mean nothing.

MR. SEMENZA: When it comes time we'll take care of it. Anybody have any questions for Lucille? Thanks, Lucille. Dave, engineer's report, please.

MR. LOPATKA: First off, Lackawanna County Housing Authority. This is kind of just a rehash of the meeting that we had and previous meetings that I had. Some of this stuff would probably be repetitive. Give you highlights.

Based off the meeting they had a couple estimates. I think they wanted to come through and go with option number one,

which was \$98,000 worth of fixes which wouldn't really fix the problem. I think at that meeting at the time council kind of said no to that. I would prefer option two, which is actually fix the roads all together.

At this point I guess nothing really else is happening. At this point we're waiting to hear back from them. That's kind of a quick rehash. Most of you guys were at that meeting. I don't mean to beat that to death.

Kohler Avenue, the project was bid and has been recommended for award.

Contract to M.E. Snowdon, \$216,807.50. Our recommendation letter went out last week, so I'm assuming the county is probably in the process of issuing that contract. I haven't heard anything from the county, but I'm assuming that will be starting relatively soon.

The Old Forge paving project, we met with the road committee, drove the streets and whittled the list down to a certain number of streets that we think would fall

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

within the \$600,000 limit you guys set for it. We have revised our drawings. I have to modify a couple of specs. I'll be getting it to you, hopefully by the end of the week.

Corcoran Street sanitary sewer, we kind of went over this at the work session. We actually had it surveyed between the two manholes in question. The sewer is collapsing there because of Pa. American's water line is right over the top. They have I have a call in to Jim been surveyed. Hoover. Hopefully we can get some kind of answer from him as to when they're going to relocate their water line. Once they relocate their water line we can put a bid together for replacement of that sewer line between those two manholes, which I think it's about 600 feet or something like that -- 400 feet of line.

Sampson, Corcoran and Reilly storm project, we kind of touched on that already. As I said, I believe at the work session we did have the survey. We can't go up Sampson. Too much of a grade change. So

installing a line, the most cost-effective way would be Reilly Street, which we did do a cost estimate on about a year ago.

In the meantime, based off our earlier conversation I will call Paul tomorrow and go out and try and get a temporary fix on the top side of Sampson Street where that low spot is.

Rosemount, met with the developer's engineer to do a sanitary video of Villa Drive and Stone Hill Drive, visited the site, inspected the two-foot shoulders. Work has been completed in accordance with the markup by Ruether-Bowen. Also, a short pave curb was installed in front of the driveway at 9 Stone Hill Drive to keep water running along the gutters instead of down the driveway.

Developer's engineer resubmitted a complete set of meets and bounds description for dedication of the roads. Ruether-Bowen will be reviewing the latest court order punch list items to make sure they're addressed prior to the June planning commission meeting. There were some items

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that I did pull out today and started looking at. If you guys have questions on Rosemount, if you want to wait I'll go over the other two items quickly.

The one is Burger King, which I guess Paul already touched on. So that part of it is waiting for, I guess, your decision on the pipe. Other than that, I really don't have anything else to add to that.

Then the Old Forge Borough Building master plan, I did meet with the architect about two weeks ago, I believe. They did provide some additional floor plans in addition to the rendering of what the outside may look like. At this time I think Marylynn is kind of looking at those. don't know if they've been circulated or They have some pretty good ideas as to not. what we can do out there. That's kind of moving along. I think the floor plans are almost solidified. Now we're just waiting to kind of figure out how you guys want to handle the front of the building. have them move forward with the design. That's all I have.

MR. FERRETT: Dave, you talked about the Rosemount situation. Is everything all taken care of up in that place?

MR. LOPATKA: I don't believe everything is taken care of. The fence is supposed to come down. I don't think it's down yet. I don't believe they fixed anything with regards to the puddle accumulating at the exit driveway.

MR. FERRETT: I'm talking about the judge's order, everything that he says they were supposed to comply with. The fact is a month ago or something the deeds of dedication were in there.

ATTY. RINALDI: Those things are in the stipulation.

MR. LOPATKA: They're part of the order. There's like eight or nine items all together.

ATTY. RINALDI: I think that was it.

MR. LOPATKA: Couple of them -well, one of them has to do with the meets
and bounds description which they did get
us. We're in the process of reviewing them.
We've had them prior. Most of the roads

were good. We were waiting for them to redo
the right-of-way on Mountain Road. There
was some issues with their creating some
small parcels which we kind of didn't want
to see. We'd rather have it as one
right-of-way and then the rest of it taken
care of. So they did submit that stuff.

One of the other items, I believe, is a bond will have to be posted. A maintenance bond, Bill, has to be posted. I believe it's on page four. It's agreed that a bond will be posted in accordance with the stipulation of July 17, 2004. That's part of the dedication process. I believe it's a maintenance bond for a certain amount of time.

The milling of that area in question where the puddle is, that hasn't been done.

The other is the shoulders, which were done.

Another one deals with the laterals.

Comment from Tom McDermott. It's agreed that the laterals that he had addressed will be trimmed so there's no potential for blockage in the collector line. As far as I know that hasn't been done.

MR. FEBBO: When you talk about these laterals, we discussed prior to coming to the meeting, we just touched on it, and you said you looked at the film and there's no lateral obstructions. I'm going to go back to my original comment, here, that all of Rosemount needs to be cameraed. We're talking about mysterious missing tapes, that it was done. We don't have them, they can't be found anywhere. So I think for the sake of council as well as planning, before we move forward that place gets cameraed. All the sewers up there are cameraed.

Now, whether there is film and somebody has it, nobody here ever saw them.

MR. LOPATKA: I never saw them.

MR. FEBBO: So that being said, we do not have a set to look at. The other part is there is a hearing, as we talked about earlier, Thursday that we are not overly familiar with and neither is planning.

That being said, we've asked for planning to get together because they're in the dark, as we are, as to where we're going

with this and what he wants to get out of
it. I understand it's a planning issue, but
planning knows noting about them being
accused of being harsh on Mr. Ciuccio.
Correct?

ATTY. RINALDI: That's his impression, that planning was getting involved in areas that were council's areas to decide.

MR. FEBBO: So that being said, I think it's only fair to planning that they're aware of the fact that they're subject to those accusations. Therefore, we are going to have a meeting tomorrow night at 6:30 with the planning commission, and you had requested that council and planning attend the hearing Thursday. Again, that being said, I think council should be made aware of the fact that there's a hearing Thursday morning at 10:30 related to this whole matter.

So knowing the people involved with this, I want to make sure that everything stays on course, on track and everybody here on council as well as planning is up to date

on everything that's going on. We're getting down to crunch time. June 1st is approaching very rapidly.

MR. NOTARI: Why was this hearing called?

ATTY. RINALDI: They filed a petition.

MR. NOTARI: Against us?

ATTY. RINALDI: Asking the Court to make the borough take over the streets.

They're saying we're dragging it out. They didn't do everything they were supposed to do. We know that.

MR. NOTARI: From that October hearing that we were all at.

MR. SEMENZA: The October hearing the Judge set what he said had to be done. We all agreed on it. As of right now is he finished with everything on his list?

MR. LOPATKA: No.

MR. FEBBO: That being said, I want everybody aware of the fact we do have a meeting tomorrow night at 6:30.

MR. LOPATKA: The next one is the easement he's supposed to provide to

Pagnotti Park.

MR. SEMENZA: I spoke briefly with Bill about that.

MR. LOPATKA: We had highlighted something on the map. I haven't seen anything.

MR. SEMENZA: I don't know if -- I see a few people in the room here. I know Joe Ferrett agrees with me. That easement was supposed to be at the end of Oakwood Drive. The easement was supposed to go right towards the fence.

ATTY. RINALDI: That's the problem.

Nobody here knows where it is and nobody

told me where it is. We can mark something

up.

MR. PICCOLINI: In February we sent him a recommendation and Dave has yet to hear anything back. That was the markup. We gave an L-shaped easement that you would be able to access from Villa Drive if there's a bridge or there's not a bridge.

MR. SEMENZA: When we sat here and talked with him, I don't know who was here but I know Joe Ferrett was. He said I'll

Is it

right-of-way was.

ATTY. RINALDI: It doesn't affect his recorded plans.

MR. SEMENZA: That's fine.

MR. LOPATKA: Somebody mentioned that that's where the gate is you can access.

MR. SEMENZA: We knocked around the idea of putting a walking bridge going from --

MR. PICCOLINI: Actually Bill had the idea of making the easement L-shaped so when the time comes for this bridge that we hope to put some day you can access it from across the creek or from Villa Drive, where the development is now.

MR. SEMENZA: Right.

MR. LOPATKA: Here's the map. I do have a copy of it here, if you want to take a look at it.

MR. SEMENZA: We have to be clear on that, too, when he's giving us an easement, that he knows about that and he knows about clearing for the easement.

MR. PICCOLINI: It's all part of the

order.

MR. SEMENZA: Things get forgotten and lost, twisted around.

MR. FEBBO: This is why we have to keep redigging it.

MR. LOPATKA: It's right in the order, too. The next one had to do with an extension. They requested an extension from the planning commission, which the planning commission did grant up until June 1st, which is fast approaching.

The last item is with regard to the fence. That item was resolved -- on paper it was resolved. Bill did talk to an attorney from PennDOT. They had no issue with it. Plan was revised showing the fence extending straight out to the upper portion of Mountain Road.

MR. FEBBO: Iacovazzi Drive.

MR. LOPATKA: They were supposed to remove the fence along the right hand side of Mountain Road. That hasn't happened yet. I mean, out of the nine items that were listed in the court order probably half of them or more haven't been completed yet.

MR. FEBBO: What happens if June 1st comes and all these things aren't accomplished?

ATTY. RINALDI: That's why we're having the hearing Thursday.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: He'll drag it out another nine years.

ATTY. RINALDI: June 1st, if it wasn't done we'd be the one filing to be back in court. We're there either way.

MR. FERRETT: Is there any reason why he doesn't comply with all these things after all this time? It would be another year or two years.

MR. LOPATKA: I can't answer for him.

MR. SEMENZA: Maybe longer.

MR. MINELLA: What is Minora going to say? I don't get Lou doing this. I don't get it.

ATTY. RINALDI: I agree with you there. Since eight years ago.

MR. SEMENZA: We'll see Thursday.

ATTY, RINALDI: In regards to the Kohler Avenue rehabilitation project, can

you authorize him to coordinate Lackawanna County? Because when we did Sampson Street it turned into a disaster because our engineer wasn't involved. People doing change orders that didn't have authority to do change orders.

MR. LOPATKA: We should be inspecting it.

ATTY. RINALDI: Because the county doesn't care.

MR. SEMENZA: Authorize who?

ATTY. RINALDI: Authorize him to coordinate with the county to inspect the work. Dave. Make sure it goes smoothly.

MR. SEMENZA: Anybody have any questions for Dave? Thanks, Dave. Chief, police report.

CHIEF DUBERNAS: Good evening. I have a few things. First thing is we're fully in production with out e-file citations.

Our Click It or Ticket is in full swing until June 3rd. I think to date we have 130 citations written already. So easy. It's just generated right to the

magistrate. The only issue we're having with our computers right now is actually on the county's end. For our scanners, the county's VPN is blocking our board, I have a call in to get that squared away. Now all of our MDTs will all be up in service.

MAYOR MULKERIN: What he's talking about, the tablets that we got the grant for last year. We have the computers in the cars, they're all up and running. They e-file a citation when they're on a traffic stop. A copy goes electronically to the magistrate's office.

CHIEF DUBERNAS: As soon as I hit send. We'll have the bikes Memorial Day.

I'm trying to get a copy of the little league schedule to try to schedule officers when there's games.

But the addressing of Keyser Avenue,
I went and I spoke to multiple residents,
multiple businesses, and some of those
businesses were actually in a little bit of
shock because when they would tell people to
come to their business and they would put it
in their GPS they would actually end up at

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Eagle-McClure Hose Company. They could never find the 300 block of South Keyser Avenue because the 300 block of South Keyser Avenue is at Milwaukee and Franklin, where it all joins.

So they're all aware of it. letter will be sent out tomorrow. Lackawanna County Communication Center, he's on board, he knows what we're doing. only thing we're going to have to do is get two North Keyser signs, one at the corner of Sibley and Keyser and one at the corner of West Sibley and Keyser. There is a North Keyser sign at Oak. I pulled the tax assessor's office and everybody below Oak to Eagle-McClure, on their deeds it says South Everybody above there, except for Keyser. the Bericki residence, only says Keyser Avenue.

I'm not sure where the confusion ever came in of who is South Keyser, who is North Keyser, but everybody's numerics are going to stay the same. The only thing they're going to switch is an S to an N on their license. The postmaster's aware of

it. So that's going to be effective July 1st with Keyser Avenue.

Oak Street, only one address, the Vitali residence. We got a call there the other day regarding a truck running.

Dispatched us to 128 West Oak. They said the name. That's how we knew where it was at. He's going to get a letter saying his address is going to be 906 West Oak. He has two properties right on the 900 block, so his house is actually the second property in. We're going to make him 906. He's going to be addressed as 906 to the postmaster and to our 911 center.

The final one right now that I have done is the elderly couple here on Railroad Street, 332 Railroad-231 Pine. I already talked to the county 911 center. They're just going to leave it the way it is.

They're going to put in their dispatch notes if, unfortunately, an ambulance or somebody has to go there. They're going to say 332 Railroad is next to the ambulance building instead of trying to have them readdress everything. They've been there 50-some

years, they told me.

But other than that, I don't have anything. We're moving along with our streets.

MR. NOTARI: Chief, thanks for your work on that, we can get that finished.

MR. SEMENZA: Two questions. First, stop sign on Grace and George.

CHIEF DUBERNAS: I haven't had a chance.

MR. SEMENZA: Please, when you can do a traffic study there. Also, this isn't so much a question as it is a request -- or I don't even know if I should go through the mayor for an order. The packets. The packets came to us late once again and it's happened time after time again to us. It's important for council to review these packets.

CHIEF DUBERNAS: I understand. They were delivering them Friday and they got jammed up.

MR. SEMENZA: They've been in the police department's hands since Friday morning.

CHIEF DUBERNAS: Friday afternoon.

MR. SEMENZA: I received mine 10:00 at night on Sunday. Other people received them on Monday, I believe. This can't happen no more. If the police department can't handle handing out packets -- I understand there's emergencies and they have to do things. I don't know where else to go. But they have to have it done. If it happens again, Jason, somebody's going to be written up or something, whoever is told to do it. Got to take care of it for us.

CHIEF DUBERNAS: Like I said, they started delivering them and they got crushed all weekend.

MR. SEMENZA: I understand, but there's --

CHIEF DUBERNAS: I'll tell them to deliver them at 3:00 in the morning.

MR. SEMENZA: Put them on somebody's porch 3:00 in the morning. I wouldn't mind. I don't know if anybody else would.

CHIEF DUBERNAS: That's fine. I'll tell night shift to deliver them.

MR. NOTARI: Sunday morning at 9:00

I ran into an officer at Turkey Hill having a cigarette.

CHIEF DUBERNAS: He might not have known. It was the officers on Friday night that were supposed to deliver them.

MR. SEMENZA: Get it coordinated.

CHIEF DUBERNAS: They were left on the officers' desk and they don't know what they were. When I walked in on Monday and seen the two of them sitting there they had no clue.

MR. SEMENZA: And the only reason

I'm really adamant about it right now is

because Councilman Notari didn't have a

chance to review the reports and he couldn't

vote yes on them. He had to vote no, which

is the right thing to do. We had trouble

getting a second. I had a motion fail on me

today. I don't know the last time a motion

failed. Never, since I've been here.

MR. NOTARI: Personally, I would like to take it out of the police department's hands. I think I've been harping on this probably since I was appointed. I would like our finance

committee to look into a technology option for us where this information is e-mailed to us. We could not only save paper, but save time and take this task away from the police department, which in my opinion they probably shouldn't even be tasked with.

MR. SEMENZA: Well, until that point in time.

MR. NOTARI: I understand that, but hopefully we can look into a better solution in the future.

MR. SEMENZA: Can we further look into what we talked about?

MS. BARTOLETTI: Yes, but it has to be for everyone and not everyone was on board with it. I think the majority thing is it's forced on everyone, but that's for you guys to decide.

One thing with our building remodeling. There was going to be a room specifically for council where council has keys to get in, and that's going to be the contact room for anything that I need to get council to go in there. You'll have your own mailbox. No one else will have access

to that room, basically, except for council and my assistants to be able to put stuff in. Once we get the building done that will be an answer to it, too, for now.

MR. SEMENZA: Okay, we'll talk about this further, Rick. Anybody have any questions for the chief?

MR. BROWN: Just to echo off what Mr. Semenza said, the intersection of Pann Court and Sussex Street for a traffic study there to see if a stop sign could be placed up there.

CHIEF DUBERNAS: Pann Court, that's the old Gillotti store?

MR. BROWN: Yeah, and then I don't know if this goes to you or Paul, but a resident reached out to me in regard to a crosswalk near Miles Street park, where the stop signs were put up two years ago.

MR. NOTARI: First Street.

CHIEF DUBERNAS: First and Susquehanna.

MR. BROWN: Paul, crosswalks in residential neighborhoods, are there any restrictions on them?

MR. PAPI: I don't believe so, no.

You put crosswalks wherever you want to put crosswalks.

MR. BROWN: It was just asked to me --

CHIEF DUBERNAS: It might be a little bit easier to put the crosswalks at the end of Miles and First Street, where the accident was. Coming off a sidewalk to cross the road to go into the park. You would have an angle there. When you come down Miles, where they're talking about.

MR. NOTARI: Where Mr. Brown is talking about there's nothing but crosswalk there. There's no sidewalk there.

MR. SEMENZA: On either side?

MR. NOTARI: There might be one in front of the Cusamano residence.

CHIEF DUBERNAS: You just have the grass. But I'm saying if you come off of Miles and First at an angle you come off the sidewalk and you can have a crosswalk at an angle right to the park entrance, if that's what they're looking for.

MR. SEMENZA: Take a look, see where

we can put them there.

MS. BARTOLETTI: Are you looking just at where to cross or are you looking for something that traffic stops when somebody is there to cross?

MR. BROWN: To have it marked, but to let the drivers know to stop if there's people coming in and out of the park there.

 $\label{eq:MAYOR MULKERIN: There's stop signs} \\$ there.

CHIEF DUBERNAS: But where the people use to park it's right by the concession stand. They park there. If they're parking down the street further at Miles, which would be legitimate for a football game, but I'm not sure if they park along the fence and walk in by the concession stand. I'll take a look at it.

MR. BROWN: I was only asked, so I'm just asking what the proper steps are if it were to be allowed.

MR. NOTARI: People don't stop at stop signs. They're not going to stop at crosswalks.

MR. PAPI: I called PennDOT about

our crosswalk out the front on the state road. They told me that it's up to the driver. When the traffic is flowing you're not supposed to walk out there. You're supposed to stand there until there's no traffic and then cross. Once you're in the crosswalk the car is supposed to yield to you.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: I walked through tonight, everybody stopped.

Otherwise they won't stop.

MAYOR MULKERIN: Chief, you have here about the meeting with the rep on the T & E.

CHIEF DUBERNAS: The body cameras.

I haven't heard anything back.

MAYOR MULKERIN: We're looking into a grant.

CHIEF DUBERNAS: There's a trial going on right now for a one-year body cam. They will upload, download all of our evidence and store it for one year. I haven't heard back from the guy.

 $\label{eq:MAYOR MULKERIN: We're working on } \\$ that.

That's all.

MR. SEMENZA: Was anything addressed with the 32-hour rate when they dropped part-time?

CHIEF DUBERNAS: I haven't done anything yet.

MS. BARTOLETTI: That's all going to take place when the new contract is settled.

MR. SEMENZA: Anything else for the chief? Thanks, Chief. Bill, solicitor's report.

ATTY. RINALDI: Not really much to add to my report. You all know about the hearing. As Steve said, it appears that the Chelland property was sold at the sheriff's sale.

MR. RUSSEL RINALDI: Who bought it?

ATTY. RINALDI: The guy who was

trying to buy it. He's probably waiting for his deed. They take a while. That's all I have.

MR. SEMENZA: Anybody have any questions for Bill? Thanks, Bill.

Marylynn?

MS. BARTOLETTI: Go ahead. You have