```
MS. BARTOLETTI: Yeah.
1
                   CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Gets bigger and bigger
2
     every year; right?
3
                   MS. BARTOLETTI: Yeah.
4
                   MR. NOTARI: School-aged kids?
5
                   MS. BARTOLETTI: Kindergarten up.
6
     Usually falls off at around 13, age 13 they stop
7
     coming.
8
                   MR. NOTARI: Stop coming and becomes a
9
     counselor. Have to be 13 to be a counselor.
10
                        FEBBO: Stealing bikes and stuff.
                   MR.
11
                   MS. BARTOLETTI: Only thing is, if it's a
12
     hundred degrees, we don't get as many.
13
                                Should put a pool in.
                   MR. NOTARI:
14
                   MS. BARTOLETTI: Otherwise, they just
15
     keep coming and coming.
16
                   CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Mayor, anything else?
17
                               I just have July 21st is our
                   THE MAYOR:
18
     snow forge fundraiser for adults. Only fundraiser that
19
     we have throughout the year.
20
                   CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: July 21st?
21
                   THE MAYOR: July 21st at Acaro and
22
                It's the only fundraiser that we have that
     Genell's.
23
     makes the December event a free event. So, the public
24
     is welcome.
25
```

Council is more than welcome. Everyone 1 July 22nd is the Lion's Club bocce ball is welcome. 2 tournament at Acaro and Genelle's. 3 I know they're looking for teams. So, a 4 lot of people here. I should expect three or four 5 teams to night, so sign up, especially you, Lenceski. 6 The Lion's Club, they're a great 7 community group. And they're doing a bocce tournament 8 as a fundraiser but also as a way to get information out there on the Lion's Club. 10 So, even if you can't play, just try to 11 stop and visit throughout the day. That's all. 12 CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Councilman Brown. 13 MR. BROWN: The only thing I have, Steve, 14 when you have a chance, Gray Street, where the stop 15 sign is, there's a house, like they have hedges, 16 people were complaining. 17 I don't know if they're obstructing the 18 line of sight from the stop sign there. 19 MR. BIERYLA: You said Grace? 20 MR. BROWN: G-r-a-y. 21 That's the only thing I have. 22 Thank you. Councilman CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: 23 Notari. 24 MR. NOTARI: Nothing. 25

CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Councilman Rinaldi. 1 MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: No. 2 CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Councilman Ferrett. 3 MR. FERRETT: I have nothing to say. 4 CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Councilman Febbo. 5 MR. FEBBO: I'm good. 6 CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Councilman Lenceski. 7 MR. LENCESKI: I'm good tonight. Thank 8 9 you. CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: I've got nothing 10 myself, I don't think. I'm going to go to public 11 comments. Patrick Lavelle. 12 MR. LAVELLE: Good evening, everyone. 13 I'm Attorney Patrick Lavelle. I'm here this evening on 14 behalf of Louis Ciuccio and The Villa Inc. 15 In May, I prepared and presented a 16 petition to Judge Carmen Minora, who, as you know, has 17 been supervising the activities of the proposed 18 dedication of the roads at Rosemont Estates and 19 Mountain Road. 20 The purpose that I had in mind was 21 attempting to streamline getting these roads dedicated 22 to Old Forge Borough, because we have been at this 23 since, really, July of 2014. 24 Judge Minora chatted us to continue with 25

the process. So, on behalf of Mr. Ciuccio and Villa Inc, George Parker and I attend the June 1 meeting of your Planning Commission.

1.0

2.1

At that Planning Commission, it was maintained by members of the Planning Commission that a completed final set of plans had not been submitted.

I can assure this Council that, following that meeting, a complete set of plans was submitted to the Borough.

There was an issue with respect to the fencing. The fencing has been an issue because we maintain that the fencing was erected in order to satisfy a requirement with PennDOT.

The long and short of it is, we were successful, with Mr. Rinaldi's assistance, in speaking with a fellow by the name of Bob Kopaz. Mr. Kopaz is assistant chief counsel to PennDOT.

Mr. Kopaz gave us some written authority to remove a portion of the fence. As a consequence of receiving the written authority from Mr. Kopaz, a portion of the fence is started to be removed.

I want to make it clear that the fabric of the fence has been removed. We just have not been able to get a contractor to come in and to remove the posts.

I have been told what we can do is, we can cut the posts. I thought they had -- honestly, never participated in removal of a fence like this before. And the length of the fence, I thought that all the apparatus had to be removed.

1.5

Apparently, they can just be cut. We're prepared to do that. I want to make it clear that the fabric itself that created the barrier has been removed.

Next issue as the ponding of water located at the exit lane of Mountain Road and Milwaukee Avenue. It's remained outstanding. It was very difficult to address primarily because the area in which the ponding was occurring was, for all intents and purposes, a level area.

Admittedly, it was at the bottom of

Mountain Road, immediately adjacent to the right-of-way

for Milwaukee Road, which is a state highway. And what

happened was that Mr. Parker met with Dave Lopatka,

your engineer, and decided that they would do some

cutting in the pave which, in essence, allowed the

water to be redirected to an area where it would no

longer pond.

The next set of issues were the necessity of -- we were told to address primarily to this Borough

Council, a proposal for an easement area, which would provide an emergency exit, if you will, or exit way, off of the area of the ball field and in the vicinity of Villa Drive.

We have submitted to, I believe, Mr.

Rinaldi and we have submitted to do, I believe, Mr.

Lopatka copies of a drawing which I would like to share with you right now.

I have a small version of it. But, in any event, I believe that there's ten copies here. And .

I think there's ten of you. So, if we can take them and pass them down, I'd be most grateful.

What it depicts is, and I think it's better if you're looking at it, while I'm explaining it to you, this is a drawing which Mr. Parker prepared.

The essence of the drawing on the first sheet in a very abstract way, shows the ball field, emergency entrance and exit, which is a ten-foot wide easement and it is past the end of what I will call the building lots in Rosemont Estates.

of the proposed easement, which would go from Villa Drive into the ball field. And that I think replaces what you've been missing since the storm in 2006. And that was our understanding what we were being asked to

do.

I think the remaining issues that I just bring to your attention are that there's necessity for formal acceptance of the roads.

And I have previously prepared and submitted copies to your engineer of proposed deeds of dedication for all of these roads.

And I think that would probably be the last piece of business that you want, want the other issues resolved first.

Then the other issue is the posting of a bond by Villa in the payment of the sums to Villa Inc. Now, Mr. Ciuccio maintains that these roads have been in existence since 2015.

Here we are in 2017. In most instances, a road, if it's asked to be bonded, is bonded for a year or two, at the most.

We were required to post a bond at this time that we would be bonding, in essence, for the third year of its existence, which we think is unreasonable.

But I will be very candid with you. If you read the fourth paragraph of the stipulation, from July of 2014, the handwritten language which is supplied in it specifically states that the bond is to

```
be posted. So, if that's what is to be done, it is to
1
     be done.
2
                   What has been the delay? Well,
3
     obviously, the delay has been getting any kind of
4
     approval of these roads by the Planning Commission.
                   And the last issue to be resolved would
6
     be the financial issue which remains for the payment of
7
     sum through Villa Inc, as agreed by the parties, and I
8
     have submitted something previously to Mr. Rinaldi.
9
     And I think that's something that will require some
10
     negotiation.
11
                   CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Anybody have any
12
     questions for Mr. Lavelle on any of these matters?
13
                   MR. NOTARI: Attorney Lavelle, this
14
     easement was presented today?
15
                   MR. LAVELLE:
                                 Yes.
16
                   MR. NOTARI: To our solicitor and to our
17
     engineer?
18
                   MR. LAVELLE:
                                 Yes.
19
                   MR. NOTARI: Have you received it, Dave?
20
                   MR. LOPATKA: I received it from Bill.
21
                                 I quess my question is, why
                   MR. NOTARI:
22
     has it taken so long for us to be --
23
                   MR. LAVELLE: To receive the easement.
24
                                 Why have we been given it
                   MR. NOTARI:
25
```

today?

2.1

MR. LAVELLE: Giving it to you today because it was as a result of one of the issues that we raised in front of Judge Minora. There was a proposal that we had a 50-foot wide easement here.

Why was that given to us at the last minute?

MR. NOTARI: I'm not sure. I don't know what the footage was for the easement. But I know, in October, that we asked for an easement. And I thought we had come to an agreement, as Council, to ask for this section. I don't know how much. I don't know how wide. I've never heard a number thrown around.

I've only heard that we asked for an easement at that area. And, again, I'm thrilled if we're going to get it because that's where I personally would like it.

And I'm glad it's there. But I'm kind of curious why it has taken 8 months, 6 months to have this presented to us. And it's being presented to us tonight.

MR. LAVELLE: For myself, I've only had knowledge of it since April. In April, what I saw was a proposed 50-foot wide easement in approximately this area which --

```
That was something I never
                   MR. NOTARI:
1
2
     saw.
                        LAVELLE: The reason that would be
                   MR.
3
     unsatisfactory is, it's just too close to the last
4
     building lot.
(5)
                   MR. NOTARI: I understand that.
6
                   MR. LAVELLE: So, this is 50 feet away.
7
                                                           Ιs
                                That is a road, correct?
                   MR. NOTARI:
     it not a paper road? It is not a road at all?
9
                   ATTORNEY RINALDI:
                                      No.
10
                   MR. LAVELLE: I don't think it is.
11
                   MR. NOTARI: Asking for clarification.
12
                   CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: I believe we had
13
     talked at one point in time about a pretty wide
14
     easement, believing maybe we could get buses to drop
15
     kids off up there and stuff.
1.6
                   I believe, and I don't want to say, Rick,
17
     this is before you were on Council, but I think in our
18
     last couple of discussions, talked about for an
19
     emergency in case kids got hit or -- correct, Mayor?
20
                   THE MAYOR: Correct.
21
                   CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: I mean, if that's --
2.2
     is that good enough, Bill, for what we're looking for,
23
     in your opinion? I'm just asking. I mean --
24
                   ATTORNEY RINALDI: Up to you guys.
25
```

```
Ten-feet wide. Is that wide enough?
1
                   MR. LOPATKA: To get a vehicle in there
2
     would be tight, 10 feet. Is that what's --
3
                   CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Could we get a vehicle
4
     in there?
5
                   MR. PAPI: Ten feet is the size of a
6
     parking space.
7
                   MR. LOPATKA: Ten feet is tight for an
8
     ambulance. Probably 15 feet is more appropriate.
9
                   CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Mr. Ciuccio or Mr.
10
     Lavelle, I don't know who would speak. Would we be
11
     able to get a 15-foot easement? Would it be an issue?
12
     I don't know if it's something you would want to
13
     discuss.
14
                   MR. CIUCCIO: If the other issues go
15
     favorable, I don't have a problem with that.
16
                   CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: So basically that
17
     wouldn't be a deal-breaker, basically?
18
                   MR. LAVELLE: The goal was to keep it as
19
     far away from the building lot as possible. That is
20
     the goal.
21
                   CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: I mean, I don't think
22
     that -- I understand. And I don't think that -- I can
23
     only speak for myself, is what I'm saying, I wouldn't
24
     want pedestrian access to that. I think it would be
25
```

emergency.

I think I can speak for residents up there. They wouldn't want -- I have two sisters that live there. Wouldn't want traffic for access to that park up there. I mean, I don't know.

MR. LAVELLE: That was the other reason why the earlier -- Mr. Notari, that was the reason that the earlier recommendation, which I think may have come from Council, was not acceptable because we thought it would create a parking problem and create unwanted situations for the people that have already invested in homes in the area.

MR. NOTARI: I realize that and I've had that discussion many times. Once they become public roads, we aren't going to be able to tell people they can't park there, just like any other road in the Borough.

ATTORNEY RINALDI: You can make any street you want no parking.

CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: If we don't make it non-parking.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: You can make it no parking one side, no parking the other side. You can do that.

MR. NOTARI: A lot of people that are

going to want no parking on their street. Mr. Semenza, 1 your street is a mess with parking. 2 CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Absolutely. 3 MR. NOTARI: Tough to navigate. 4 CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: That's why, if we keep it emergency assess only, I think that would kind of 6 settle that problem. Maybe. 7 Anything else for Attorney Lavelle? 8 Anybody have any questions for Attorney Lavelle? 9 Yes, I have a question. MR. FERRETT: 10 MR. LAVELLE: Yes, sir. 11 MR. FERRETT: My understanding, what you 12 have just said a moment ago, that there's a problem --13 one of the problems would be on the end of Mountain 14 Road, the water problem, said our engineer met with 15 your engineer and they come up with what should be 16 done. 17 But, consequently, it seems that 18 everybody doesn't seem to be satisfied that the problem 19 is being alleviated. 20 I'm just wondering, at this point, is it 21 possible that their engineer could get with our 22 engineer and see if you can come up with some kind of a 23 solution that we can really try to do something? 24 MR. LAVELLE: Have you seen a depiction 25

```
of what they came up with?
1
                   MR. FERRETT:
                                Yes.
2
                   MR. LAVELLE: You have. Okay. I can't
3
     say that they can't meet. I mean, that's your
4
     pleasure. We can certainly ask them to meet.
5
                   MR. FERRETT: I'm sure there must be some
6
     solution to the problem. It doesn't have to be a great
7
     solution. It can be a solution that you can count on
8
     your fingers.
9
                   MR. LAVELLE: Mr. Ferrett, I went up and
10
     looked at this myself. I would say that the longest
11
     width of a puddle that I saw was about 18 inches.
                                                         Ιt
12
     was no wider than about a foot.
13
                   And approximately an hour and a half
14
     after a storm, when I visited, it was gone. We're
15
     spending an awful lot of time and effort on a puddle.
16
                   MR. FERRETT: I don't disagree what
17
     you're saying. The thing is, I'm only going by what
18
     I'm hearing.
19
                   And I'm just wondering about coming up
20
     with a solution.
21
                   MR. LAVELLE: I think these are two very
22
     intelligent, well-respected engineers and that's what
23
     they came up with.
24
                   I don't think either one of them was
25
```

```
looking for expediency. It's my understanding that
1
     this area was remilled previously. As a matter of
2
     fact, it was remilled twice.
3
                   Due to the angle of incidence, and I'm
4
     not an engineer, due to the angle of the incidence at
5
     the bottom there, it's bound to collect some water.
6
7
                   MR. FERRETT: Well, I don't believe that
     I said anything about remilling the street. I didn't
8
     say anything of that nature whatsoever. I just said,
9
     is it possible that they can come up with a solution
10
     that might be acceptable?
11
                   MR. LAVELLE: I think that Mr. Parker
12
     would be glad to meet with Mr. Lopatka.
13
                                 Dave, would you meet with
                   MR. FERRETT:
14
     him?
15
                   MR. LOPATKA:
                                 Sure.
16
                                 Make it clear that they met
17
                   MR. LAVELLE:
     at the site after the June 1st Planning Commission
18
     Meeting, and that's what they came up with.
19
                   MR. FEBBO: It's still puddling.
2.0
2.1
                   MR. LAVELLE: It is. Okay.
                   CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Anything else for
22
     Attorney Lavelle?
23
                   MR. FERRETT: No, it's all I have.
2.4
                       RUSSELL RINALDI: I just want to add
                   MR.
25
```

```
and I'm no road engineer. But there's about 365
1
     different areas in Old Forge that puddle.
2
                   Just so everyone is aware of that,
3
     there's puddles everywhere. That's just all I wanted
4
     to add.
5
                   MR. FEBBO: I think we talked about that
6
     earlier, Russ we don't always have puddles at an
7
     intersection like that, especially in the winter
8
9
     months.
                    I grant it, we do have puddles all over
10
     the place. But that particular, because it's a slope
11
     coming down and you have black ice in the wintertime,
12
     three months out of the year.
13
                   We've had many people come to us and
14
     complaining and slide into the intersection.
                                                     That
15
     being said, that creates dangerous --
16
                   MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: We had people
17
     coming and complaining that they slid in the
18
     intersection?
19
                   MR. FEBBO:
                               Yes.
20
                   MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Just started
21
     puddling three months ago.
22
                   MR. FEBBO: No. It's been there.
                                                       Been
23
     there constantly.
24
                   MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: It's been there?
25
```

```
How long has it been there?
1
                  MR. FEBBO: Address Planning in the back
2
     there.
3
                  MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Who complained to
4
     us?
                   MR. FEBBO: Residents down there.
6
                   MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: No one came to the
7
     meetings though, you mean?
8
                   MR. FEBBO: No. Planning.
9
                   MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: People came to the
10
     Planning meetings and complained?
11
                   MR. FEBBO: People told me, yes.
12
                   MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Are they on the
13
     record?
14
                   MR. FEBBO: We didn't keep records on
15
     these.
16
                   MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: There's minutes.
17
                        LAVELLE:
                                  There's a stenographic
                   MR.
18
     record of all the Planning Commission meetings. I'd be
19
     glad to review them.
20
                   MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Me too.
21
                   MR. FEBBO: Let's put it this way, I've
22
     had complaints to me about it, okay, myself. And I'm
23
     sure Planning has the same thing. 10 months out of the
24
     year the road is fine up there in a rainstorm.
25
```

MR. LAVELLE: Like I said at the outset, we have a stipulation which was entered into in July of 2014.

And I have no idea how much money Council has spent on lots of activities to try to satisfy what was agreed to in that stipulation.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Too much.

MR. LAVELLE: I can assure you that Mr. Ciuccio and Villa Inc. have spent a considerable amount of money. I believe well in excess of what Council has in an attempt to satisfy what we believe was a very unjust situation, roads that were previously dedicated with a deed, handed to the Borough and never recorded.

As a consequence of inaction by this Borough Council, Mr. Ciuccio is still suffering the consequences.

And, now, we feel that we have satisfied or addressed very fairly all the issues which were recently addressed by the Planning Commission, I'm first to admit to, very unsatisfied with what we did. I can't help that they're unsatisfactory.

I'm telling you that we put a lot of time and effort. We are trying to resolve this, but we don't seem to be able to get to a solution.

Now, I maybe jumped the gun in going --

in no way threatening by saying this, jump the gun by going to see Judge Minora in May.

I honestly believed in May that this would not be resolved. Unfortunately, my opinion hasn't changed. I think the only way we're going to get it resolved is down there, unless somebody takes the bull by the horns here and let's come to a final understanding and get this resolved.

You have four good roads and a new road already put in. They're built to the best specifications that the Borough wanted. We've done that.

I'm doing this for 46 years, Planning Commission meeting. I've never had a struggle like this getting four roads, three built and a new one built. Never anything like this.

And I just think that we're at a point now, what other choice do we have? We're here seeking your support to get this job completed to give these roads to you.

I think all the people that live up in Rosemont Estates, they deserve to have these roads dedicated. They do.

Right now, they're still private roads, eighteen years after they should have been dedicated.

```
Really just look to your assistance. Thank you.
1
                   CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Attorney Rinaldi, have
2
     the July '14, July of 2014 stipulations been met that
3
     Attorney Lavelle is representing July what --
4
                   MR. LAVELLE: I think July 17th, 2014.
5
                   MR. NOTARI:
                                2014.
6
                   ATTORNEY RINALDI: I think we're down to
7
     the last --
8
                   CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Down to the fence
9
     post, correct?
10
                   ATTORNEY RINALDI: When we went back to
1.1
     court, there were nine remaining. So, without going
1.2
     back to that one there, we had the widening of the
13
     right-of-way, the remnant piece descriptions.
14
                   MR. NOTARI: Is that work that the
15
     Borough had to do?
16
                   ATTORNEY RINALDI: No.
                                           That was what
17
     they had to do.
18
                   MR. NOTARI: All due respect, you're
19
     questioning the time line of the stipulations, whereas,
20
     it was not work that the Borough had to do to meet
21
     those stipulations.
22
                   MR. LAVELLE: Roads have been built since
23
     2015.
24
                   MR. NOTARI: It was the developer.
                                                        Were
25
```

```
there stipulations from that? You're the one that
1
     said, Attorney Lavelle, that the Court handed down
2
     stipulations of July --
3
                   MR. LAVELLE: We agreed.
4
                   MR. NOTARI: You agreed on stipulations
5
6
                   MR. LAVELLE: Yes, sir.
7
                   MR. NOTARI: -- July of 2014.
8
                   MR. LAVELLE: Yes.
                   MR. NOTARI: Who had -- we agreed to those
10
                    Those stipulations had to be carried
     stipulations.
11
     out.
           Who did they have to be carried out by?
12
                   MR. LAVELLE: Both parties.
13
                   MR. NOTARI: Both parties?
14
                   MR. LAVELLE:
                                 Yes.
15
                   MR. NOTARI: What stipulations did the
16
     Borough have to carry out?
17
                   ATTORNEY RINALDI:
                                      Borough had to approve
18
     Mountain Road, preliminary plan, final plan.
19
                   MR. NOTARI: So, approvals. So work that
20
     needed to be done was work that had to be done by the
2.1
22
     developer.
                   MR. LAVELLE: Supervised the construction
23
     pursuant to the terms of the stipulation.
24
                   MR. NOTARI: The work had to be done by
25
```

```
the developer. We could approve until the work --
1
                  MR. LAVELLE: Excuse me. Done by a
2
     private contractor. Who was approved by the Borough.
3
                   MR. NOTARI: Correct. When was that
4
     work completed?
5
                   MR. LAVELLE:
                                2015.
6
                   MR. NOTARI: The work was completed in
7
     2015?
8
                   MR. LAVELLE: Yes, sir.
9
                   ATTORNEY RINALDI: I believe so.
10
                   MR. LOPATKA: Somewhere around there.
11
     I'm not sure the exact date.
12
                   MR. NOTARI: Why are we waiting on
13
     stipulations then to be met if the work was done in
14
     2015 and completed in 2015?
15
                   MR. LAVELLE: I said --
16
                   MR. NOTARI: I'm here 8 months. Right?
17
                   MR. LAVELLE: I say that we satisfied
18
     them.
19
                   MR. NOTARI: Okay. Okay.
20
                   CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Council, at this time,
21
     the Chair is going to put a motion to the floor,
22
     looking for a motion to approve final approval of
23
     Mountain Road Subdivision contingent the posts from the
24
     fence get cut down.
2.5
```

```
MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Make that motion,
1
     Mr. Chairman.
2
                   CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Motion on the floor by
3
     Councilman Rinaldi.
                   MR. BROWN: Mr. Chairman, I'll second
5
     that motion.
6
                   CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Seconded by Councilman
7
     Brown on the question.
8
                                I have a question.
                   MR. NOTARI:
9
     Lopatka, has the ponding issue at the bottom of
10
     Mountain Road been addressed to your satisfaction?
11
                                 It has. Based on a work
                   MR. LOPATKA:
12
     session meeting in which we represented an option in
1.3
     which Council --
14
                                You've been down there since
                   MR. NOTARI:
15
     the work has been complete and you're happy with the
16
     results?
17
                   MR. LOPATKA: I haven't seen -- I was --
18
                   MR. NOTARI: Let Mr. Lopatka answer.
19
                   MR. LOPATKA: I haven't been there when it
20
     rained. I was out of town yesterday.
21
                   MR. NOTARI: So you haven't been there
22
     since the work has been completed.
2.3
                                 I've been there. I was
                   MR. LOPATKA:
24
     there today. I saw that it was complete.
25
```

MR. NOTARI: Okay. To your satisfaction? 1 Yeah. MR. LOPATKA: MR. NOTARI: Mr. Potosky. 3 CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: State your name for the record. 5 MR. POTOSKY: Mr. Potosky, Bob Potosky, chairman of the Planning Commission. I'd like to make 7 8 a few comments. First of all, Attorney Lavelle, 9 straighten out some things. Attorney Lavelle said on 10 the June 1st meeting, final prints were presented. 11 That's not true. 12 The plans were not available on the June 13 1st meeting. He did not have them available. 14 extended our meeting because nothing was available at 1.5 that time, for two additional weeks. 16 At that time, we gave two additional 17 weeks to present final plans, because he did not remove 18 the fence as required by numerous extensions that we 19 had given. 20 And Attorney Minora said you have, and I 21 was at the hearing, you have one more week to get 22 everything in order and get it done. You better hurry 23 up. And he didn't do it. 24 So, at that time, we were ready to vote

25

to deny him. So we granted him two more weeks to get 1 it done. We extended our meeting. 2 And then when he came back in two more 3 weeks, he said that he had removed the fence but not 4 the piping. 5 We asked Dave if he had gone there and witnessed, he said no, he didn't, to see if it was done 7 properly. And we asked about the ponding. He said 8 that it was cut and that should resolve the issue. He did not go up there and see it. 10 Based on those two answers, decided to 11 deny it. And that's what we did, we denied it. In 12 addition to that, I was there yesterday, after the 13 14 rainstorm. I was there at 6:00. The rain had 15 stopped for quite a bit of time. There was a lot of 16 water there. 17 CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Lot of water 18 everywhere, with all due respect, Bob. 19 MR. POTOSKY: If that happens in the 20 wintertime, that water is not going to dry up. 21 MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Do you have any 22 23 pictures? MR. POTOSKY: No, I do not. I just drove 24 by and said, hum, a lot of water. So, I'm just telling 25

```
That's my opinion.
1
     you.
                   You people want to vote on it, that's
2
     fine. I'm telling you what I saw. I'm surprised Dave
3
     didn't go up there. I really am.
4
                   MR. NOTARI: Mr. Potosky, how many
5
     extensions has the Planning Commission granted?
6
                   MR. POTOSKY: An awful lot.
7
     Attorney Minora was making the comments, Judge Minora.
8
     More than any other developer we ever had.
9
                   MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: So he finally met
10
     all the conditions.
11
                   MR. POTOSKY: I'm electrical background,
12
     not mechanical or civil. Dirt is going to fill in
13
                    That's my opinion.
14
     those cracks.
                    So, if unless you have any questions for
15
     me, it's up to you people now. Okay.
16
                   MR. NOTARI: Mr. Potosky, the motion has
17
     been made that the Mountain Road be accepted contingent
18
     on the poles being removed or cut down.
19
                   MR. POTOSKY: Yes.
20
                   MR. NOTARI: Would that satisfy Planning
21
     in terms of accepting Mountain Road?
22
                   MR. POTOSKY: As long as it's up to the
23
     spot that they said it should be. As far as the fence
24
     is, that would be fine, yes.
25
```

```
MR. NOTARI: But still a concern about
1
     the ponding, ponding of the water?
2
                  MR. POTOSKY: That would be up to our
3
     engineer.
4
                  MR. STASSI: Louis Stassi, senior member
5
     of the Planning Commission. Gentlemen, we've done
6
     everything for this Borough.
7
                   Take a look up and down Main Street.
                                                         Wе
8
     don't hold no grudges or nothing. You were at the
9
     meeting, Bob, when the guy came from Burger King and we
10
     told him, you have to get the sewer cleaned. We held
11
     him up. And he's a businessman. Look at Main Street.
12
     We do everything for you. We do everything right.
13
     the Mountain Road --
14
                  CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: For me?
                                               Everything
15
16
     for me?
                   MR. STASSI: No, not for you, for the
17
     Borough. We try to do everything for Council and Old
18
     Forge. And I can't say nothing else. But me and my
19
     friends and partners on the Planning Commission are not
20
     happy with that road. Looking at the well-being of the
21
     people that live there.
22
                   Sure they dry up in the summer. You get
23
     the winter when it's 10 degrees and turn into ice and
24
     be right on Keyser Avenue. Thank you, gentlemen.
25
```

COURT REPORTER: Hold on one second.

I'm having a problem and need a break. One second.

(Off the record.)

MR. MANCUSO: Louis Mancuso. Member of the Planning Commission.

Just trying to make a simple point.

If you hired a contractor, you have a

concern and find someone to do a driveway

for your home for yourself, your own home.

You hire the contractor who comes in and puts your driveway in and you have a puddle laying in front of your garage door.

And you have a puddle laying in front of your garage door. So you call him about it and the repairman then comes back with a saw and cuts slits in the blacktop driveway. And that's the resolution. And that's something that the taxpayers paid for.

The Borough is now going to maintain these roads and half of that money is taxpayer money.

CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: I agree. But you know what the problem is, it was the Borough's engineer that either made the

mistake or Council messed up.

It's the seven guys that sit back here to blame for that, because you're right, you're absolutely right.

And I'll take the blame for it.

I'll take the blame for that, me, president of Council, I'll take the blame for that.

Not you, not our engineer. I'll take the blame for it.

Mr. Ciuccio was told -- his contractor was told to do that, our engineer that we paid for. Whether we made the mistake --

MR. MANCUSO: I'm just saying, I'm speaking as a taxpayer.

CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Right. And I agree with you.

MR. MANCUSO: If I paid for it, if I had to pay for that out of my own --

CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: I agree with you. Wouldn't want it done. Want it ripped out. I understand.

And I agree with you. And like I said, I'll take the blame for it. My fault. Whether we up here didn't understand what

the fix totally was when Dave presented it to us, it was our mistake.

I mean, like I said, and I said this back to everybody, I said, our engineer told the developer's contractor what his thought was for the best way to do the fix.

Gentlemen, I understand what you're saying, but I'm sorry. I mean, I'll take the blame for that.

But my opinion on this whole thing is it's been going on way too long. You talk about water laying. You drive around town. We're focused on one situation, It's Rosemont and Mountain Road right now. You drive around town --

MR. MANCUSO: It's a new road -CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: You drive around
town. We just did a million-dollar paving
project, Lou.

We just did a million-dollar paving project in this Borough. There's water all over it.

MR. POTOSKY: That's your opinion.

CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: It's a fact. Not opinion. It's a fact. It's a fact.

MR. DIANDRIOLE: Can I say something?

CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Yes, you can.

MR. DIANDRIOLE: Guy Diandriole. I lived at their estates for the last 20 years. And ten years of that, I've lived with roads that were unbelievably bad.

I came here to this Council meeting over and over and over and fought to try to get you to pave the roads.

Finally, the roads, in my opinion, are perfect. I don't have a problem. I go in and out of there everyday. It rains when it rains.

I haven't had any problem. I haven't had any problems whatsoever of what's going on.

All of a sudden, a full Planning

Commission tells you that the roads

shouldn't be sanctioned because of a puddle.

Come on.

I've waited and waited. And we all waited forever. And finally happy with what we got. And I think it's time that this should be completed.

And I think it's about time. These guys don't live there. We're satisfied.

Where are the people from complaining that live there now? Why aren't they here complaining about it?

Because the roads are good.

Everything is okay. Let's get it finished.

It's about time.

CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Councilman

Notari, any more on the question?

MR. NOTARI: No.

CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Public input,

Joe? I'm sorry. Joe, on the question.

MR. FERRETT: Yeah. I was going to ask you a question that I asked Mr. Lavelle when he spoke before.

People are complaining about the water on the Mountain Road, that they're not satisfied with the cuts that are being made.

Would it be possible for his engineer to meet with our engineer to see if there's some way that we could alleviate this problem so we can move forward and do what we have to do and have the answers that's actually necessary?

Dave, do you think there's a possible way that we can get rid of that water, other than what's being done?

MR. LOPATKA: Sure.

CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Okay.

MR. FERRETT: Okay. Why can't their engineer meet with our engineer and resolve this problem and keep everybody satisfied and get this over with and let them have the roads and everything?

CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: I don't have an issue with it, Joe.

MR. FERRETT: What's the problem?

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: I'm not saying there's water or not water. Does anybody have a picture of this water? Where is the picture of the water? It's 2017. Made of camera phones. No one has a picture of this.

VOICE: Dave Lopatka has it.

MR. LOPATKA: Of prior.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Prior. I mean of yesterday, after the fix. Nobody has a picture?

VOICE: Right here.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Wait. I'm not in charge of the meeting. Chairman Semenza is. I'm just asking if there is a picture. Everyone is talking about water. He said 18 inches and 12 inches.

He said the hole opening. I haven't seen one picture of water yet.

MR. DIANDRIOLE: You know, Mountain Road has been paved. And then they came back and milled it and then they paved it again.

Then they put the divider in the road, was put in in concrete. They tore it out and put it back in again. Come on.

When does it come to an end? When? That's a shame what's going on because this is -- it's no longer just, let's do it the way things are supposed to be done.

It's, let's see what we can do to go aggravate and create this turmoil forever.

And the turmoil is all I'm asking.

CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Public input.

Joe first.

MR. RUSSICK: Joe Russick. Before the Council will accept any road, ordain a road,

okay, it must meet a standard, which is outlined in the Pennsylvania Municipal Planning Act of 1968, with subsequent revisions.

In saying that, the Borough engineer has to okay every aspect of that development or any development, any infrastructure, the Borough engineer has to okay it. Am I right, Dave?

MR. LOPATKA: Correct.

MR. RUSSICK: Also, your solicitor has to review any legal aspects of that development or any development in this town.

And that goes for the whole Commonwealth of PA. It's the Pennsylvania Municipal Planning Act that drives land development. It's not Old Forge code. Old Forge adopted this code.

So, if you look at your code, land development guarantees, it's going to state exactly that he has to sign off as a Borough engineer and the solicitor has to sign off on it before you can accept it.

They're guaranteeing that it meets all the standards not only for a puddle in

the road, every infrastructure part that goes into that development or any development in this town.

So, just take a peak at past developments. I'm telling you the law is the law. If you do it any other way, you're in violation. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Thank you, Joe.

MR. FERRETT: Bobby, go ahead.

CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: State your name for the record, please.

MS. WIERNUSZ: Fran, F-r-a-n, Wiernusz, W-i-e-r-n-u-s-z. And I would just like to say I've lived in Rosemont for about nine years.

The roads were horrendous. My dog loved them because she loved the deep mud puddles. She misses them.

And believe me, we walked those roads a couple times a day. I drive in and out of there sometimes two or three times a day.

I just have never seen water at the end of Mountain Road. And I'll be happy to give somebody my phone number so they can

call me when it's there.

I probably have the worst car on the planet for the winter. It goes nowhere.

It's a 1996 Mercedes, and it doesn't matter if you put snow tires or what on it.

So, believe me, if there were ever going to be an issue on that road in the winter, I'd be the first one to complain.

And I really agree with Guy.

Enough is enough. This is totally nonsense.

How much money has been spent on this?

You're talking about putting a policeman part-time at the park. Like, hello. How many policeman could you add if you weren't spending money wastefully?

Could you have built a community center? Could you have given senior citizens a rebate on garbage? Think about it.

I would really like to know how much money, tax dollars has been spent. So, get it settled.

CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Thank you.

MR. FERRETT: Bobby.

CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Yes, Joe.

MR. FERRETT: A moment ago we heard

the engineer say that he thinks there's a solution to get rid of this water.

A moment ago, we heard Mr. Lavelle say that his engineer is willing to meet with our engineer.

CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Correct.

MR. FERRETT: I just want for myself a clear understanding. I'm going to go by what our engineer says.

And if that's possible, that it can be done, then I will accept the road based on that. Because the fence and contingent upon the removal of the thing and the easement to the park, I don't think is a problem.

The problems that everybody seems to be talking about is a water problem. And we got the engineer. We got our engineer saying there's a way to fix it. And their engineer is willing to meet. What is the problem?

CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: I don't think anybody has a problem with it, Joe.

MR. FERRETT: Then why are we going to put a motion on the floor to accept the

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

roads now?

CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: There already is a motion on the floor and seconded.

> MR. FERRETT: There is?

CHAIRMAN SEMENZA:

ATTORNEY RINALDI: The motion is approve the final plan. We didn't get to the road acceptance yet. Motion to approve the final plan for Rosemont.

The motion for the acceptance of the deeds would come at the next meeting. So, we're moving the ball down the field.

MR. FERRETT: Okay. In other words, there's enough time for the two engineers to meet together and resolve that. At the next meeting, there wouldn't be a problem with whatsoever. Correct?

ATTORNEY RINALDI: If you were going to add -- right now, the only motion that's contingent upon the poles being removed.

You would have to add -- somebody who have to amend the motion or move to amend that you would include the puddle to be resolved.

MR. LAVELLE: Again, I would like to

point out, Mr. Ferrett, you've already heard an explanation as to what it took with this road.

And this was remilled twice. This is the third coat that's there. The puddle is there. It's approximately 18 inches wide by a foot long.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Does anybody have a picture of this puddle again? I need to see this puddle. This is crazy.

MR. DIANDRIOLE: Send it to the Smithsonian, baby. You're stopping it for a puddle. Come one.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Oh my God.

MR. STASSI: Louis Stassi,

S-t-a-s-s-i. One year on the Planning Commission, we heard from Dave Lopatka, the only way it can be fixed, you got to mill it up and pitch it. Are you sure, Dave? Yeah.

Okay. We went by that. All at once, everything has changed. Why? We only went by what our engineer said. And then he goes down and puts five cuts in it. And we don't know nothing about it.

And we're the ones that are taking

the beating. So what do you want, guys? Do you want us to do things right, or do you want it to be a slipshot outfit? That's all. That's all we ask. Have some consideration for us.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Shouldn't we have some consideration for the engineer, Lou?

MR. STASSI: What?

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Shouldn't we have some consideration for the engineer?

MR. STASSI: Yeah, we have consideration for the engineer. We listened to him for one year.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: No. But shouldn't we have some for him as well?

MR. STASSI: Yeah.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Okay. We have consideration for both of you, at least I do.

MR. STASSI: I have nothing against Dave. But I just flipped out because everything was done. There's cuts in the road. There's everything and nobody tells anything to the Planning Commission.

MR. MINELLO: Jim Minello. The cuts were puddles. The cut and all the other puddles, even in the concreting going out to the road.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Jim, do you have a picture?

MR. MINELLO: No, I don't have pictures. The next time it rains, go down and see it.

We're all gonna meet. It's not a 12-inch puddle.

CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Roll call, please.

COURT REPORTER: Gentlemen, I can't take everybody. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Roll call.

MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Brown.

 $\label{eq:mr.matrix} \mbox{MR. BROWN: Restate. Solicitor,} \\ \mbox{restate the motion.}$ 

ATTORNEY RINALDI: The last motion that was put out was motion to approve Mountain Road -- motion to approve final approval of Mountain Road division contingent upon the poles being removed.

Poles being the fence poles. That

was the last motion that was made.

MR. LAVELLE: Excuse me. I have a photograph which has a depiction on it as to what these cuts are.

I think that the copy of this was given to Mr. Loptaka earlier. If I may, why don't I give this to the Chairman.

Simply shows the area where the cuts were made. I believe that it came from Mr. Lopatka.

MR. LENCESKI: I am no engineer, but I think that that was a terrible solution. If we had a program where we tarred and patched, those would get filled in instantly. All that is going to create is a pothole.

MR. MANCUSO: This road, they could have went --

MR. LENCESKI: I used it as an example and --

COURT REPORTER: I didn't hear what he said. I don't know your name.

MR. MANCUSO: Louis Mancuso. I just asked, where was the last time you saw this for a new road, someone went and put sawdust

in it? Would that work in a state application?

Did any other Borough ever do this for a solution on a brand new road?

MR. LENCESKI: No one would accept it at their own house if you did that, right? No one would even pay you. Get lost. Get going.

MR. LOPATKA: Brought through the Council meeting and work sessions. And you guys --

MR. LENCESKI: We did --

MR. LOPTAKA: It was a suggestion on my part. And that was what was approved.

MR. LENCESKI: But I couldn't -- I would never fathom that you would actually saw cut the road like that.

MR. LOPATKA: That right there in front of you was brought to Council meeting.

MR. LENCESKI: Not that picture.

ATTORNEY RINALDI: That's part of the saw cut.

MR. LOPATKA: We talked about that at the work session. It was a suggestion that we made that was approved by Council.

MR. DIANDRIOLE: What's their answer?
They're the Planning Commission. What's the
answer for that?

MR. MANCUSO: For what?

MR. DIANDRIOLE: The roads.

MR. BROWN: Mr. Chairman, I have a quick question or two for the engineer.

COURT REPORTER: Excuse me. I have to take this down. You have to pay attention.

MR. BROWN: Quick question. There seems to be an issue with this puddling, correct?

Prior to the roads being constructed, was there a plan presented for Mountain Road?

MR. LOPATKA: Yes. I wasn't here at the time.

MR. BROWN: The Planning Commission,
Chairman Potosky, did the Planning
Commission accept the plans for Mountain
Road, the detailed plans that laid out
Mountain Road?

MR. POTOSKY: Yes. The plans were approved and Council approved them.

MR. BROWN: I'm getting there. And then they came before us, so they went before two boards, two governing bodies.

CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: One governing body.

MR. BROWN: And one recommending board, two boards that were both approved by I don't know how many, seven members are on your board, Mr. Potosky? Seven members are on your board?

MR. NOTARI: Bob, come to the microphone.

MR. POTOSKY: Yes.

CHIEF DUBERNAS: Doesn't have his hearing aid.

MR. BROWN: And there's seven members here. So, there's 14 people. It went by 14 people. No one had any objections with the plans that were drawn out and presented for Mountain Road.

Now there's an issue. And the issue is rectifying that. It shouldn't be -- yes, it should be with rectifying it. But it should be on both bodies for not having a detailed plan.

I mean, if there was a detailed plan, there would be no puddle. We both approved a plan that had no detail. And now there's a puddle.

MR. PICCOLINI: Vince Piccolini.

There was a detailed plan given to the

Planning Commission on a preliminary basis
approved by the engineer.

That plan was supposed to work. It doesn't -- our opinion and Dave's opinion was that it didn't work. That's where the puddling came from.

It wasn't designed to have puddles there. Simple as that.

MR. BROWN: We shouldn't have approved the plan, if it wasn't going to work.

MR. PICCOLINI: You have to approve what your engineer asked you to approve.

MR. BROWN: I totally get that.

MR. STASSI: The bases weren't right on the --

MR. BROWN: So why --

COURT REPORTER: Hold on, hold on a second. I can't remember everybody's name.

What did you say about the plans? Wait a minute.

MR. BROWN: My issue is --

COURT REPORTER: Okay. I'm not getting any of this. You realize that, right, because everybody is talking. I don't know everybody's name. I do know your name. Go ahead.

MR. BROWN: So, to Mr. Stassi, Stassi is in the blue.

MR. STASSI: As we passed it on, the recommendation from Dave Lopatka that the elevations would work and the pitch was there, we said okay.

MR. LOPATKA: I didn't --

MR. STASSI: Comes back once, came back and it's still not right. So, we couldn't approve it.

MR. MANCUSO: Harold Ash.

MR. STASSI: Harold Ash.

MR. BROWN: So, it went through two engineers. It went through two sets of millings to rerectify this issue.

MR. STASSI: Right.

MR. BROWN: And there still seems to

be an issue.

MR. STASSI: Right.

MR. BROWN: Mr. Lopatka, we tried with your cuts, correct --

MR. LOPATKA: Yes.

MR. BROWN: -- with the newest plan presented here. We have residents here today who live in the development, who are saying that there's no puddle.

You guys are saying there's a puddle. This guy, Mr. Lavelle, the attorney is saying there's a puddle. Residents who don't live there have reached out to me, called me. I could use their names.

Ben Tomasetti, saying there's no puddle. What is the issue with this puddle?

MR. PICCOLINI: I live there. There is a puddle.

MR. STASSI: All looking for the safety of the people.

MR. BROWN: I totally get you.

MR. STASSI: That's all. We rest our case.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Quick question.

Bill, quick question. If this motion gets

passed tonight and there's still a puddle tomorrow and the Borough owns that road, can we go in and fix it?

ATTORNEY RINALDI: You don't own the road yet. All that means is it's one step closer to getting the roads.

So once you own the roads, you can go in and do whatever you want to them.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Okay. So that if -- if it were to be passed tonight, and maybe it's not going to be passed, I'm just saying, if it were to be passed and then the Borough could go in and fix it or no?

ATTORNEY RINALDI: Correct.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: So, if the puddle happens afterwards, since we can't see the puddle --

MR. STASSI: You married it. You married the problem.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Nobody has proof or evidence of a puddle, Lou. So if the puddle comes afterwards, then we can fix it. And if there's no puddle, we don't got to fix it.

MR. STASSI: Fix it at our money.

And the Planning Commission is telling you it's not right. So fix it.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Show me the puddle.

MR. DIANDRIOLE: You're not going to repave the whole road just to fix the puddle. How much is it going to cost to fix the puddle?

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Dave, if this puddle showed up, what would it cost to fix it? Put in a manhole or a storm drain?

MR. LOPATKA: You'd have to tie into something. Figure out where it would need to go.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: 2 bucks or 3,000 bucks?

MR. LOPATKA: Just to purchase the inlet, talked earlier, probably, 6, somewhere in that range.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: 6,000?

MR. FERRETT: Mr. Lavelle, would that be a problem for your client? Would that be a problem with your client? If the problem with the engineer said that it would cost less than \$5,000.00, would that be a problem

with your client getting involved?

MR. LAVELLE: Yes. I mean, let's assume we try that. We spend \$5,000.00 and you still have a puddle. What do we do then?

MR. FERRETT: No, no. We're saying this, that if what the engineer says is going to work and we do -- if your client does what has to be done, that's it. That's the end. There is no more fix.

MR. LAVELLE: I'm no engineer. But they remilled this previously.

MR. FERRETT: We're not talking about remilling the road.

MR. LAVELLE: What else are you going to do?

MR. LENCESKI: Storm water drain and put a pipe into the Saint John's Creek. Get it connected to the other one, right where that puddle is.

MR. LAVELLE: Water going in the other direction.

MR. CIUCCIO: 18 by 12, the puddle.

You put a quart of water in it. It drains.

It's saw cut. They said it works.

Nobody

Put

MR. LENCESKI: That's going to fall

1

slit, a quick solution Dave came up with.

We did what he said. And he said it works.

MR. LENCESKI: Can't see it working.

MR. LOPATKA: I haven't been there since it rained. So, I'm not saying that it works.

MR. CIUCCIO: Maybe you, Joe, maybe you should go up there.

MR. LENCESKI: Seen pictures of puddles. Everyone has seen pictures of puddles. They brought it here to Council.

MR. LAVELLE: The analogies that they used, if something is in front of somebody's garage door, not in an area where you have two feet thick of a road. That's what we have. We have a much different situation.

The erosion that you have in a paved driveway is substantially different than what you would have here.

MR. LENCESKI: Got your base and your ware. How many inches are those, blacktop?

MR. LAVELLE: I can't answer that.

MR. LENCESKI: All I know,

	137
1	completely redone, about two feet thick of
2	new road.
3	MR. DIANDRIOLE: 8 to 10 inches of
4	blacktop at least.
5	MR. LENCESKI: Is that true?
6	MR. LOPATKA: No.
7	MR. DIANDRIOLE: They did it twice,
8	there's maybe eight inches of gravel.
9	MR. LOPATKA: Six and a half inches.
10	MR. LENCESKI: Of blacktop?
11	MR. LOPATKA: According to the plan,
12	yes, that was installed. 8 inches of
13	subbase. So the total structure is
14	MR. LENCESKI: 14.
15	MR. LOPATKA: 14 inches.
16	MR. LENCESKI: All right.
17	CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Motion on the
18	floor. Second. Marylynn, roll call,
19	please.
20	
21	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Brown.
22	MR. BROWN: Yes.
23	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Notari.
24	MR. NOTARI: I'm not comfortable
25	right now with our engineer, Mr. Lopatka,

not seeing if the solution works.

He did give us that solution. We gave him the go-ahead at the last meeting. There's no doubt about that. It's in the minutes.

But I can't, in good conscience, vote yes if we don't know if that solution works or not.

If you come back and tell me that solution works and we're ready to go, I'm ready to accept the roads. There's nobody more than me that wants this over with.

Maybe you, Mr. Ciuccio.

But I can't, in good conscience, until Dave tells me it works, vote yes. So I vote no.

MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Febbo.

MR. FEBBO: I'm not ready to throw all these guys in the back under the bus, the Planning Commission.

Mr. Diandriole, you mentioned being in and out of there. These guys don't live there. You're right, they don't live there. But these guys put a lot of time in studying it.

We go to all the Planning meetings.

It's a thankless job that they have. They
get nothing for their time and services.

That being said, I'm not going to throw them under the bus tonight. But, because of the nature my situation, I'm going to abstain from voting on that issue.

MR. DIANDRIOLE: Okay. They've been fighting this situation --

CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Mr. Diandriole, vote on the table.

MR. DIANDRIOLE: I'm not allowed to speak?

CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Not when there's a vote on the table.

MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Ferrett.

MR. FERRETT: I'm going to abstain and base my opinion on the fact of what I said before that based on what the engineer's opinion was on the matter, I would like to see it tried one time to see if that works.

MR. BROWN: Joe, is there a conflict

1	of interest for your vote?
2	MR. FERRETT: The what?
3	MR. BROWN: Conflict of interest for
4	your vote?
5	MR. FERRETT: Is my
6	MR. FEBBO: Conflict of interest.
7	MR. BROWN: He'd had to vote if
8	there's not conflict of interest.
9	MR. FERRETT: Do you have a conflict
10	of interest?
11	MR. FEBBO: Yes or no. You can't
12	abstain.
13	MR. FERRETT: I vote no.
14	MS. BARTOLETTI: No. Okay.
15	Councilman Rinaldi.
16	MR. BROWN: Joe, I couldn't hear your
17	vote for the record.
18	MR. FERRETT: No.
19	MR. BROWN: No.
20	MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Bill, why
21	couldn't he abstain? I'm just curious.
22	ATTORNEY RINALDI: He doesn't have a
23	real reason to abstain. And what he was
24	saying was pretty much a no. He abstained.
25	He doesn't have a conflict. He was not

your reasoning going to be?

ATTORNEY RINALDI: He wanted to know if it worked, I guess it was.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Joe, could I ask you before I vote, what was your reasoning?

MR. FERRETT: I already cast my vote already. I can't say nothing. So what I say doesn't mean anything.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: I'm curious though what your reasoning was going to be for abstaining.

MR. FERRETT: I've been sitting here listening to you guys going on for this thing for 15 years that I sat on this Council.

And you know what? It's the same thing over and over and over again. And you know what, all it is is a little simple thing to go ahead and try what the engineer said is going to work and nobody wants to listen.

And now you're asking me a question why I abstained. Why don't put it in your motion.

ATTORNEY RINALDI: Poles.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Mr. Ciuccio did what the engineer told him to do. Mr. Ciuccio took the fence down. The poles are only thing there?

ATTORNEY RINALDI: Poles and up to you guys to accept the puddle resolution.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: As of right now, there is no puddle resolution. No offense to the guys in the Planning Commission, but I didn't see no puddle.

I asked everyone to show me, not you guys, even myself or anyone here. So, if all the stipulations have been met, I'm going to have to vote yes.

MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Lenceski.

MR. LENCESKI: I'm voting no.

MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Semenza.

CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: I vote yes. I'm voting yes, because this has gone on way too long.

The Borough -- this is on the Borough's dime, this litigation and going to get drug on for years and years more. You

1	
1	MR. NOTARI: Nobody yells at me.
2	CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: gave him the
3	fix.
4	MR. NOTARI: We don't know if that
5	fix works.
6	CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: It's our fault.
7	Our fault. You're faulting this developer
8	for them
9	MR. NOTARI: I'm not.
10	CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: You want to know
11	
12	MR. NOTARI: Did you listen to what
13	I said? Did you listen to do you listen
14	to what anybody says?
15	CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Yeah, I do.
16	MR. NOTARI: What did I say?
17	CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: A lot of people
18	
19	MR. NOTARI: What I did say? If he
20	comes back and says that it works, I'm
21	voting
22	CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Next motion I'm
23	putting to the table.
24	MS. BARTOLETTI: Wait. You're tied.
25	You have 3 yeses, 3 nos. And you have one

abstain.

CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: I thought it failed. I apologize.

MS. BARTOLETTI: 3 to 3, with one person abstaining.

CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: So what does it go to, the Mayor?

MR. BROWN: Go to the Mayor.

THE MAYOR: I vote no.

MR. FERRETT: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Yes.

MR. FERRETT: If I may. Bill, being that we voted no on the subject, I understand that it's a dead issue. Can we resurrect this issue with the understanding we tried two engineers getting together, and if this is going to cost less than \$5,000.00, you're making a million dollars and you're afraid of \$5,000.00 to do a little project that we're going to accept your road based on this thing? Shame on you.

MR. CIUCCIO: Joe, spent over \$700,000.00.

MR. FERRETT: I understand that.

MR. CIUCCIO: No, you don't. 1 2 MR. FERRETT: I understand that. A11 these arguments here back and forth. 3 MR. CIUCCIO: Okay. MR. FERRETT: For what? 5 MR. CIUCCIO: We'll split it. Put 6 7 \$2,500.00 towards the fix. Right? We're 8 partners in it. I have no problem with that. 9 Approve it and I'll give you the 10 \$2,500.00. We're partners in it, 50/50. I just told you to put 11 MR. FERRETT: 12 it in the resolution and he didn't put it 13 in. So what are we going to do? 14 CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Motion on the 15 floor. ATTORNEY RINALDI: Already first and 16 17 seconded, Joe. Now you can make a new --18 MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Make a motion. 19 MR. CIUCCIO: You can make a motion. 20 MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Joe, you can 21 make a motion now. Add it in. Joe, you 22 don't want to make it? 23 MR. FERRETT: You make the motion. 24 You made the first motion. 25 MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: I didn't make

the first motion.

MR. FERRETT: You didn't? Who did?

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: The Chairman

called the motion. I just -- I just made the

motion -- I didn't word the motion.

The Chairman worded the motion the way the solicitor gave it to him.

CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Chair put a motion to the floor to approve final approval of Mountain Road, contingent to the poles getting cut down and both engineers get together to come to a suitable solution to get rid of all water that lays in the bottom of Mountain Road.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: With a little bit of God's help, I'll make that motion.

CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Motion on the floor by Councilman Rinaldi.

MR. NOTARI: I'll second it.

CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Seconded by Councilman Notari on the question.

MR. BROWN: What is this motion going to -- what's going -- what's the outcome going to be?

CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: That the

puddling, if it goes away and the poles get cut down.

ATTORNEY RINALDI: Means you approved it. Okay.

MR. BROWN: The outcome, if it doesn't happen?

ATTORNEY RINALDI: No. Explain it to you. This means that you're giving final approval contingent upon two things being finished.

And those, when your engineer tells you those two things are finished, the Chairman then signs the final plan so that they can be recorded.

MR. BROWN: Basically the stipulation. Why would we need a motion for what the stipulation is?

ATTORNEY RINALDI: Moving the ball down the field is the best way to describe it.

MR. BROWN: We're just talking to hear ourselves talk. I have a motion, when this motion is gone. I don't know if you'll like it. Go on.

CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Public input,

You

We

Still

1 roll call, please. 2 MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Brown. 3 MR. BROWN: Since the previous motion did not pass, it would automatically go to 4 5 the stipulations which were met. So we don't need a motion on the table to vote on 6 7 to follow the rest of the stipulation. 8 ATTORNEY RINALDI: Yes, you do. 9 still have to get final approval of the So it's coming back here no matter 10 plans. 11 what you do. 12 MR. BROWN: The motion died. 13 have to get final approval. 14 ATTORNEY RINALDI: He's making a new motion with another contingency on it. Okay? 15 16 So it was same as your motion with one more 17 contingency. 18 MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: He's right. 19 got to vote one way or the other. 20 MR. BROWN: Yes. 21 MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman 22 Notari. 23 MR. NOTARI: Would Planning be satisfied if those conditions are met? 24 25 MR. MANCUSO: That's what we've been

	102
1	looking for.
2	MR. POTOSKY: We just recommend to
3	you people, yeah.
4	MR. NOTARI: Yes, yes.
5	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Febbo.
6	MR. FEBBO: Abstain.
7	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Ferrett.
8	MR. FERRETT: Yes.
9	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Rinaldi.
10	MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Yes.
11	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman
12	Lenceski.
13	MR. LENCESKI: Yes.
14	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Semenza.
15	CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Yes.
16	The next motion that the Chair is
17	going to put to the floor is that Council
18	accepts the roads in Rosemont Estates.
19	ATTORNEY RINALDI: Can't do that.
20	CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Why can't we do
21	that?
22	ATTORNEY RINALDI: Because the final
23	plan isn't done yet. Okay?
24	MR. FERRETT: Can't do it.
25	ATTORNEY RINALDI: Got to get

	104
1	Notari.
2	MR. NOTARI: Yes.
3	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman
4	Febbo.
5	MR. FEBBO: Yes.
6	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Ferrett.
7	MR. FERRETT: Yes.
8	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Rinaldi.
9	MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Yes.
10	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman
11	Lenceski.
12	MR. LENCESKI: Yes.
13	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Semenza.
14	CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Yes.
15	The next motion the Chair is going
16	to put to the floor is to hire Sandy Capasso
17	as administrative assistant to the Borough
18	manager.
19	MR. LENCESKI: Chairman, make that
20	motion.
21	CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: On the floor by
22	Councilman Lenceski.
23	MR. FEBBO: Second that motion.
24	CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Seconded by
25	Councilman Febbo on the question.

MR. BROWN: What's the question?
MR. FEBBO: Wait.
MR. BROWN: Hiring her full-time,
right?
CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Yes. Full-time,
yes. No pay ratio, just receive full
benefits?
MS. BARTOLETTI: Benefits, right.
MR. NOTARI: Her title has not
changed, right? No. Okay.
CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Administrative
assistant.
MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: What's her
pay?
MS. BARTOLETTI: \$15.50 an hour.
CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Roll call.
MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Brown.
MR. BROWN: Yes.
MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman
Notari.
Notari. MR. NOTARI: Yes.
MR. NOTARI: Yes.
MR. NOTARI: Yes. MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Febbo.

- 1	
1	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Rinaldi.
2	MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Yes.
3	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman
4	Lenceski.
5	MR. LENCESKI: Yes.
6	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Semenza.
7	CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Yes.
8	That's it, right, Mary?
9	ATTORNEY RINALDI: No. Work session
10	and special meeting.
11	CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: The Chair will
12	entertain to change the work session what
13	was the date again?
14	ATTORNEY RINALDI: July 7 11.
15	CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: From July 4 to
16	7/11/17 at 7 p.m.
17	MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Make that
18	motion.
19	CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Motion on the
20	floor by Councilman Rinaldi.
21	MR. NOTARI: Second.
22	CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Second by
23	Councilman Notari on the question. Public
24	input. Roll call, please.
25	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Brown.

	15
1	MR. BROWN: Yes.
2	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Notari.
3	MR. NOTARI: Yes.
4	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Febbo.
5	MR. FEBBO: Yes.
6	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Ferrett.
7	MR. FERRETT: Yes.
8	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Rinaldi.
9	MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Yes.
10	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman
11	Lenceski.
12	MR. LENCESKI: Yes.
13	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Semenza.
14	CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Yes.
15	What was the other one, Bill?
16	ATTORNEY RINALDI: You want to have
17	a special meeting to adopt the Lackawanna
18	County Kohler Avenue agreement and the
19	paving.
20	CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Chair put a
21	motion to the floor to have a special
22	meeting on the 11th to pass the agreement
23	with Lackawanna County Kohler Avenue
24	Improvement Project and what?
25	MR. FERRETT: And the paying.

	150
1	CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: And the
2	paving.
3	MR. NOTARI: Didn't you say open,
4	kind of an open meeting or no?
5	CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Yes, a special
6	meeting.
7	MR, NOTARI: Special.
8	MS. BARTOLETTI: You have to say
9	exactly what it's for and then any and all
10	other business.
11	MR. NOTARI: Any and all other
12	business. I'm just saying, if Rosemont is
13	done, it can get approved that night,
14	correct?
15	CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Right.
16	MR. LENCESKI: Make that motion.
17	CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Motion on the
18	floor by Councilman Lenceski.
19	MR. FEBBO: I'll second that.
20	CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Seconded by
21	Councilman Febbo on the question. Public
22	input. Roll call, please.
23	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Brown.
24	MR. BROWN: Yes.
25	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman

- 1	
1	Notari.
2	MR. NOTARI: Yes.
3	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Febbo.
4	MR. FEBBO: Yes.
5	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Ferret.
6	MR. FERRETT: Yep.
7	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Rinaldi.
8	MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: I'm abstaining,
9	and I'm not telling anybody why.
10	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman
11	Lenceski.
12	MR. LENCESKI: Yes.
13	MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Semenza.
14	CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Yes. At this
15	time, the Chair would entertain a motion to
16	adjourn.
17	MR. RUSSICK: Excuse me. What's the
18	latest on the roads on the senior
19	Lackawanna County Housing?
20	CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Bill is working
21	on it. Bill. We spoke about it in this
22	meeting, Joe.
23	MR. RUSSICK: Do you know how long
24	you're working on it?
25	CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Spoke in the

meeting, Joe.

COURT REPORTER: I can't hear anybody. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: Chief, don't talk.

MR. RUSSICK: Lackawanna Housing but was not addressed, where we're at with this. This is a saga, this whole thing is. I'm finished for today.

MR. FEBBO: Second that motion. CHAIRMAN SEMENZA: All in favor. We're adjourned.

(Whereupon, at 9:35 p.m., the meeting was concluded.)

## CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes taken by me on the hearing of the above cause and that this copy is a correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

JANET E. SMITH

(The foregoing certificate of this transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means unless under the direct control and/or supervision of the certifying reporter.)