	1
1	OLD FORGE BOROUGH COUNCIL
2	OLD FORGE, PENNSYLVANIA
3	
4	
5	IN RE: REGULAR MEETING OF COUNCIL
6	
7	
8	
9	JANUARY 24, 2017
10	7:00 P.M.
11	OLD FORGE MUNICIPAL BUILDING
12	314 SOUTH MAIN STREET
13	OLD FORGE, PENNSYLVANIA
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	COUNCIL MEMBERS:
19	ROBERT SEMENZA, PRESIDENT
20	JOSEPH LENCESKI, VICE-PRESIDENT JOSEPH FERRETT
21	RUSSELL RINALDI DEVON BROWN
22	LOUIS FEBBO RICK NOTARI
23	WILLIAM RINALDI, ESQUIRE, SOLICITOR MARYLYNN BARTOLETTI, BOROUGH MANAGER
24	HARTEINE DARTOLLITT, DOROGON HANAGER
25	Mark Wozniak Official Court Reporter

I

1	MR. SEMENZA: Good evening, ladies
2	and gentlemen. Welcome to the January 24th
3	Old Forge Council meeting. I'd like to
4	start the meeting with the Pledge of
5	Allegiance.
6	(The Pledge of Allegiance was
7	recited.)
8	MR. SEMENZA: Roll call, please,
9	Bill.
10	ATTY. RINALDI: Mr. Brown?
11	MR. BROWN: Here.
12	ATTY. RINALDI: Mr. Notari?
13	MR. NOTARI: Present.
14	ATTY. RINALDI: Mr. Febbo?
15	MR. FEBBO: Here.
16	ATTY. RINALDI: Mr. Ferrett?
17	MR. FERRETT: Here.
18	ATTY, RINALDI: Mr. Rinaldi?
19	ATTY, RINALDI: Mr. Rinaldi?
20	MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Here.
21	ATTY. RINALDI: Mr. Lenceski?
22	MR. LENCESKI: Here.
23	ATTY. RINALDI: Mr. Semenza?
24	MR. SEMENZA: Here. Once again,
25	good evening, ladies and gentlemen. The

1	call, please.
2	ATTY. RINALDI: Mr. Brown?
3	MR. BROWN: Yes.
4	ATTY. RINALDI: MR. Notari?
5	MR. NOTARI: Yes.
6	ATTY. RINALDI: Mr. Febbo?
7	MR. FEBBO: Yes.
8	ATTY. RINALDI: Mr. Ferrett?
9	MR. FERRETT: Yes.
10	ATTY. RINALDI: Mr. Rinaldi?
11	MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Yes.
12	ATTY. RINALDI: Mr. Lenceski?
13	MR. LENCESKI: Yes.
14	ATTY. RINALDI: Mr. Semenza?
15	MR. SEMENZA: Yes. The second
16	motion is a motion to approve the
17	treasurer's reports. Note this does not
18	include sewer accounts.
19	MR. LENCESKI: I'll make that
20	motion.
21	MR. SEMENZA: By Councilman
22	Lenceski. May I have a second?
23	MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: I'll second
24	it.
25	MR. SEMENZA: Seconded by Councilman

	· ·
1	Rinaldi. On the question? Public input?
2	Roll call, please.
3	ATTY, RINALDI: Mr. Brown?
4	MR. BROWN: Yes.
5	ATTY. RINALDI: Mr. Notari?
6	MR. NOTARI: Yes.
7	ATTY. RINALDI: Mr. Febbo?
8	MR. FEBBO: Yes.
9	ATTY. RINALDI: Mr. Ferrett?
10	MR. FERRETT: Yes.
11	ATTY. RINALDI: Mr. Rinaldi?
12	MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Yes.
13	ATTY, RINALDI: Mr. Lenceski?
14	MR. LENCESKI: Yes.
15	ATTY. RINALDI: Mr. Semenza?
16	MR. SEMENZA: Yes. The chair would
17	entertain a motion to approve invoices for
18	payment. Note that this does not include
19	sewer accounts.
20	MR. RINALDI: I'll make that motion.
21	MR. SEMENZA: By Councilman Rinaldi.
22	May I have a second?
23	MR. LENCESKI: I'll second that.
24	MR. SEMENZA: By Councilman
25	Lenceski. On the question? Public input?

1	Roll call, please.
2	ATTY. RINALDI: Mr. Brown?
3	MR. BROWN: Yes.
4	ATTY. RINALDI: Mr. Notari?
5	MR. NOTARI: Yes.
6	ATTY. RINALDI: Mr. Febbo?
7	MR. FEBBO: Yes.
8	ATTY. RINALDI: Mr. Ferrett?
9	MR. FERRETT: Yes.
10	ATTY. RINALDI: Mr. Rinaldi?
11	MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Yes.
12	ATTY. RINALDI: Mr. Lenceski?
13	MR. LENCESKI: Yes.
14	ATTY. RINALDI: Mr. Semenza?
15	MR. SEMENZA: Yes. The fourth
16	motion is a motion to approve sewer
17	department financial reports.
18	MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: I'll make that
19	motion.
20	MR. SEMENZA: By Councilman Rinaldi.
21	May I have a second?
22	MR. NOTARI: I'll second it.
23	MR. SEMENZA: Seconded by Councilman
24	Notari. On the question?
25	MR. NOTARI: I see Lucille is not

1	with us tonight. I just have a couple
2	questions on the bills for actually that
3	should be the next one. I'll wait. That
4	will be the next motion.
5	MR. SEMENZA: Public input? Roll
6	call, please.
7	ATTY. RINALDI: Mr. Brown?
8	MR. BROWN: Yes.
9	ATTY. RINALDI: Mr. Notari?
10	MR. NOTARI: Yes.
11	ATTY. RINALDI: Mr. Febbo?
12	MR. FEBBO: Yes.
13	ATTY. RINALDI: Mr. Ferrett?
14	MR. FERRETT: No.
15	ATTY. RINALDI: Mr. Rinaldi?
16	MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Yes.
17	ATTY. RINALDI: Mr. Lenceski?
18	MR. LENCESKI: Yes.
19	ATTY. RINALDI: Mr. Semenza?
20	MR. SEMENZA: Yes. The next motion
21	is a motion to approve the sewer department
22	invoices for payment.
23	MR. NOTARI: I'll make the motion.
24	MR. SEMENZA: By Councilman Notari.
	ll

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: I'll second

it, Mr. Chairman.

MR. SEMENZA: Seconded by Councilman Rinaldi. On the question?

MR. NOTARI: Does anybody have any idea about the bills for All American Rooter and Earthworks? They seem high for the month of January. Have we done any work? Paul, are you aware of anything?

MR. PAPI: Not that I can think of other than the normal stuff. We repaired -- if you look on Milwaukee Avenue, one of manholes was sinking. Blew a big hole in the side. Earthworks replaced that. MEFCO came and flushed all the lines out and we cameraed all the lines on Moosic Road. It's in my report.

MR. NOTARI: I saw that in your report. So you think that's what these bills are from?

MR. PAPI: It's the only thing I can think of right away. We had a blocked sewer on Amity Avenue we had to flush. Other than that, just the normal.

MR. NOTARI: These are obviously questions for Lucille.

MR. PAPI: We're not done with

Moosic Road. We actually uncovered a buried manhole in front of Salerno's. We went 900 feet between manholes. There's no way to clean. So we've been cameraing, and then after we cameraed we found the manhole.

Then we cameraed again and we hit a brick.

We got the brick out and now we're waiting for a nice day to come back and finish. We think there's another buried manhole.

MR. FERRETT: Mr. Notari, the question that you asked, was that on the bill from Earthworks?

MR. NOTARI: I'm asking on the bill from All American Rooter and the bill from Earthworks.

MR. FERRETT: Paul, if you're saying that some of the work that was done was manholes and everything of this nature why is this going under the sewer authority?

That's storm water. That's not sanitary.

MR. PAPI: No, they're all manholes.

They're sewer manholes.

MR. FERRETT: That's not sanitary.

Why should it be coming under the sewer

authority? MR. SEMENZA: Joe, it is sewer 2 The manholes for the sewers. 3 4 Sanitary sewers. The manholes. 5 MR. FERRETT: Manholes. MR. PAPI: The sewer manholes. 6 MR. FERRETT: They don't collect 7 storm water? 8 MR. PAPI: No. They're sewer lines, 9 not storm lines. It's a sewer line. 10 MR. NOTARI: I'm good. 11 MR. SEMENZA: Public input? Roll 12 13 call, please. ATTY, RINALDI: Mr. Brown? 14 15 MR. BROWN: Yes. ATTY, RINALDI: Mr. Notari? 16 MR. NOTARI: Yes. 17 ATTY, RINALDI: Mr. Ferrett? 18 MR. FERRETT: Yes. 19 ATTY, RINALDI: Mr. Febbo? 20 21 MR. FEBBO: Yes. ATTY, RINALDI: Mr. Rinaldi? 22 MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Yes. 23 ATTY. RINALDI: Mr. Lenceski? 24 25 MR. LENCESKI: Yes.

ATTY. RINALDI: Mr. Semenza?

MR. SEMENZA: Yes. At this time I'm going to go to our department heads. Paul Papi, DPW?

(Mr. Papi read his report, which is attached.)

MR. FEBBO: Last night, with that snow and sleet that came down, I want to compliment Paul and the DPW guys for getting out when it started hitting pretty bad.

They stayed out all night to keep the roads open.

MR. NOTARI: I have a question.

There's a resident on East Grace that's been badgering me for a stop sign on East Grace and Fallon. He's been badgering the mayor as well. The mayor has told me the chief had done a study and we can't put a stop sign there. Is there a possibility we can put a watch children at play sign?

MR. PAPI: I just put a children at play sign on Fallon.

MR. NOTARI: At Alicia you put one.

CHIEF DUBERNAS: There's one on

Fallon.

MR. NOTARI: Can we either put a sign there or even lower, maybe at the corner --

MR. PAPI: I'll put a sign wherever you want.

MAYOR MULKERIN: Across from Mr. Stacchiotti's house.

MR. PAPI: Tell me where, I'll put it up.

MR. NOTARI: I think it should be at the V. That's First Street. Right?

CHIEF DUBERNAS: There's a stop sign on First.

MR. SEMENZA: Maxon Drive.

CHIEF DUBERNAS: At Maxon Drive there's a stop sign.

MR. NOTARI: There's a stop sign on East Grace, there's a stop sign on First, which is Ghigiarelli Drive. There's no stop sign coming down East Grace. Right? At the three way at the football field, where Anthony Cusumano lives. So coming up East Grace, towards Reviello's. Could we put a watch children at play sign there? Because to be honest, I was able to make the green

light from there to Main. I couldn't believe it. I never thought I was going to make it. I really wasn't speeding. I think people probably have the opportunity to speed there if they're trying to catch that green light.

MR. PAPI: So if I'm heading toward Main Street, that's the direction you want the sign facing?

MR. NOTARI: Correct.

MAYOR MULKERIN: One of the issues in addition to that is -- and it comes into play with complaints we received. The cars go down the wrong way, one way, constantly, and I've seen it myself.

MR. PAPI: We have a sign hanging on Main Street. Four of them.

MAYOR MULKERIN: They're there. I don't know what else to do.

MR. PAPI: They cut through Angelo's parking lot. It says no exit, but if you go out Angelo's parking lot and turn left.

Lots of people do that.

MAYOR MULKERIN: We've had a few stops there with the police, wrong way down

a one way. I've seen them go down.

MR. NOTARI: If there's a spot to put it, Paul. Wherever there's a convenient spot to put it in that block.

MR. PAPI: I'll try and get a nice big green one that says children at play.

MR. NOTARI: That's probably the perfect spot. Even that corner closest to the football field. There's always young kids in that area, too.

MR. SEMENZA: Anybody else?

MR. FERRETT: Paul, in your report and everything you said that we cleaned three storm drains on Main Street. Correct?

MR. PAPI: Yes.

MR. FERRETT: Storm drains are not sanitary.

MR. PAPI: No.

MR. FERRETT: Bill, is there a description on that?

MR. PAPI: Joe, we cleaned them.

Mike Ford didn't clean them, we cleaned

them. They were blocked with all leaves and
debris and we just cleaned them out.

MR. FERRETT: Where does the bill go

to?

MR. PAPI: There is no bill.

MR. FERRETT: Who pays for that?

MR. PAPI: We did it, the DPW.

MR. FERRETT: Okay, no problem.

MR. SEMENZA: Anybody else? Thanks,
Paul. Steve, zoning code enforcement
officer's report, please.

(Mr. Bieryla read his report, which is attached.)

MR. SEMENZA: The engineer's report on the second page of your thing of property one, which is 211 Oak Street, and property two, which is 222-224, reading through it what is the determination there?

MR. BIERYLA: Well, the determination on 211, that would be Sambo's, Mr. Aulisio --

MR. SEMENZA: No, 211 is Chelland's.

MR. BIERYLA: A letter had been sent. I tracked Mrs. Chelland down. She is at the Harrington House. I spoke with her and she seems to have some wit about her. She's not delusional. She knows what she's talking about. So I told her the letter was

going to be coming about the dangerous building and I attached the engineer's report. She received that on January 14th. That was a certified letter. I'm waiting.

MR. SEMENZA: I don't understand how, from reading this, this cannot be deemed, like, an unsafe property. Like, condemned. Tear it down. It says it right here in the report.

MR. BIERYLA: Once again, that goes through our solicitor.

MR. SEMENZA: "The trees and vegetation growing close to the foundation may also be compromising the foundation."

If your foundation is compromised the house should be condemned. The main thing of a house is your foundation.

MR. BIERYLA: Well, I believe
Mr. Rinaldi said you have to give them a
chance either to demo or fix it up.

ATTY. RINALDI: Was this on 211?

MR. SEMENZA: Bill, did you read
this?

ATTY. RINALDI: Let me give you a little background on 211. 211 is still

titled in Chelland's name although it's been foreclosed upon by a bank. I spoke to a lawyer at the bank, and there was a stay on the foreclosure and I believe there was a bankruptcy. So I haven't gotten to the bottom of it because it's not in the record, but there's a foreclosure action, final judgment. Hasn't gone to sheriff's sale for the bank to take it. So there's a big lien on that.

So who the right person is -- I was trying to figure out who the right person is to contact.

MR. SEMENZA: I understand that, but it's in this report. "We feel the primary house structure is at risk of becoming unstable in near term."

I mean, everything it says here is that you can see into the basement, they couldn't walk into the house, walking up the steps was a danger. They almost went through the steps. I mean, what if a kid walks up there and walks into that house and falls into the basement? What do we do then?

ATTY, RINALDI: It's not our --

MR. SEMENZA: I understand that, but something needs to be taken care of immediately with this. I don't know who we go to, how we get it done, but it needs to be done immediately. It even says it's a fire hazard.

MR. FEBBO: Bill, does that fall back on us as a council?

ATTY. RINALDI: No.

MR. SEMENZA: It doesn't matter if it falls back on us or not. If a child goes into that house and falls through -- I mean, I apologize for being upset, but it's uncalled for that a house like that, sitting there that many years and nobody has done nothing about it.

MAYOR MULKERIN: What's the next step, Steve? What happens now?

MR. BIERYLA: Dangerous building, ten days to reply back to us. Then after that council sets up that special hearing to warrant why they should fix it or tear it down.

MR. SEMENZA: Can't we just condemn

it? Can't we just go condemn it?

MR. BIERYLA: If you have the money to pay for it. That's what condemn means.

ATTY. RINALDI: She has no money.

MAYOR MULKERIN: Explain that.

MR. SEMENZA: You can't put a condemned sticker on it this building is condemned?

MR. BIERYLA: I had three unsafe for human habitation stickers on there. They've been torn off and my locks have been torn off the door three different times. Why? I don't know or who's going in or what. But when you condemn, you're condemning it so you're going to own it. So if you don't have the money to tear it down and she doesn't have the money to tear it down, you're saying you're going to tear it down.

MR. SEMENZA: Somebody has to tear it down.

MR. FERRETT: It's not a question of having the money to tear it down. That's not a problem. We get CDBG money that does that kind of work. So that's completely out of the order. You're the engineer. You had

your company check this out and everything and all the things that you wrote about, it's infested, the odor, the cats and so forth.

MR. LOPATKA: It's not in great shape. It's not going to fall over tomorrow.

MR. FERRETT: What would your opinion be, then? That this place should be condemned?

MAYOR MULKERIN: How about Thursday?

Not tomorrow, like Bobby said. If somebody

goes in there -- there's kids all over that

neighborhood.

MR. SEMENZA: I'm more worried about that issue happening. I'm upset. I'm not pointing fingers or blaming anybody for --

MAYOR MULKERIN: It could be a fire hazard.

MR. LOPATKA: I'm not disagreeing with you. We wrote the report. It's not in good shape. Could we as a firm say that it has to be torn down? I don't know if we can legally say that. You know, our opinion is it's unsafe. It's a fire hazard, there's

issues with it. They either need to do the repairs immediately or they need to be repaired -- or need to be torn down, but we can't --

MR. SEMENZA: We all know that house is unrepairable.

MR. FERRETT: What about a health hazard?

MR. LOPATKA: They're kind of saying that as part of it. The report kind of details out it's unsafe, it's --

MR. FERRETT: What could happen to the people next door with that odor and everything coming out of that building and everything? I think we should move and see whether we should get the health board come down here, condemn this place and move from there on in. I don't think you're going to be going anyplace.

ATTY. RINALDI: There's a legal and there's a practical. The practical is you can do whatever you want. We can send as many letters or we can do as many orders as we want. She's in a nursing home and doesn't have any money. There's a

foreclosure pending that's been stayed, and I can't find out why it's stayed yet. But the bank has stayed it and they have a judgment against it to take the house.

I mean, ideally once they take the house they have the wherewithal to tear it down. So that's what I'm trying to find out. Because right now there's so much money owed on that house between the bank and the back taxes, I mean, I'd hate to see the borough spend the money. You're never going to get your money back liening it. Put it that way. That foreclosure's been out there, I think, since 2006, so the interest is just racking up and the taxes are racking up.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Bill, what money are you saying we won't get back, the money we had to spend to pay to knock it down?

ATTY. RINALDI: Yeah.

MR. SEMENZA: Would we have to --

ATTY. RINALDI: She doesn't have the

money.

MR. SEMENZA: All these back taxes

and everything, would we be liable for all that stuff also?

ATTY. RINALDI: No, but it's not worth that much money that -- once it sells you're going to have the back taxes get paid first, then the mortgage. We're last on the list.

MR. SEMENZA: If the bank forecloses on it could we go after the bank for --

ATTY. RINALDI: That's why I wanted to find out why they foreclosed and they got a judgment two years ago and haven't acted on it. When I spoke to the council they back burnered it because there's a stay. I don't know if the stay's because she's in bankruptcy or because she's incompetent. I have to find out. That's what I'm trying to find out.

MR. RUSSICK: You have a hazardous building ordinance, don't we? It tells you exactly what to do.

MR. BIERYLA: That's the letter that's been sent.

MR. RUSSICK: It's council's responsibility to protect the heath, safety

and welfare of the citizens. You have to take precedence. In other words, what's happening here with the bankruptcy, et cetera, is secondary to the health, safety and welfare of the citizens.

MR. SEMENZA: I agree.

MR. NOTARI: I think we all agree on that point, but I think what attorney Rinaldi is saying is we need to go through that process, and process is going to be a waste of time. Is that what you're saying, Bill?

ATTY. RINALDI: I'm just saying she's not even going to show up, first of all.

MR. RUSSICK: You have an eminent danger situation here.

MR. NOTARI: What's the cost or what's the liability just condemning the property?

ATTY. RINALDI: The zoning officer can condemn it as unfit and post it and nobody's allowed in there, which he's done. But if you're talking about tearing it down --

owner of record, borough council can say it's got to come down and we're going to tear it down, we move to tear it down, we lien the property, you're not getting that money back.

MR. RUSSICK: The property's got to be worth money, so you place a lien on the property.

MR. RINALDI: Somewhere down the line we're going to recoup the money. I don't understand why we don't worry about -- and I shouldn't say -- I don't understand -- I'm sure everyone up here wants to do the same thing. So why don't we go ahead to try to move forward, use our own money, do the right procedure -- condemn it, do the right procedure, pay for it, knock it down, lien it with the right procedure.

If it gets sold, whenever it gets sold -- tomorrow, next year, ten years -- we get the money back. If it doesn't the building is knocked down -- it's condemned, it's knocked down, it's not a safety hazard anymore, it's not an eyesore anymore. I mean, then we took care of one problem. We

invested the money and it sat there. Maybe we'll get it back in five years, may ten years. Someone will buy it --

MR. NOTARI: The property's going to sell in an instant as soon as the house --

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: It's in the middle of one owner. One owner owns the corner, one owner owns that nice building. If that building comes down we'll have our money back in a month.

MR. LENCESKI: Well, let's make that motion tonight. Do it right now, tonight.

Keep moving on. We all know what we want to do, that's it.

MR. BIERYLA: The party that has that nice building wanted to buy that lot and the other lot and put another similar structure there a while ago. Maybe two, three years ago.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: My knowledge was that owner of that building wanted to put two more of those there.

MR. SEMENZA: Can we legally do this, go to work and tear this building down if we wanted to?

ATTY. RINALDI: You have to go through the process. I'm telling you there's like a hundred and some thousand dollars owed there. Is that lot worth more than \$150,000?

MR. NOTARI: No.

MR. SEMENZA: Most definitely not.

ATTY. RINALDI: Well, before you start spending 20 grand you better know what you're going to get.

MR. TAGLIAFERRI: Even if you get 80 percent of what's owed on it. I mean, I would think the bank's going to want to take something rather than nothing.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: There's no bank in their right mind in the world today, if they're owed \$140,000 on that property and someone came and said we'll give you 60, 70, and it's been sitting there for 20 years, they're owed 140, the bank will take 60, write the 80 off as a loss. That's common sense.

MR. LOPATKA: But if they do that they're the first lean holder. Whatever money comes out of that --

MR. SEMENZA: They'll get it first, we'll it last.

MR. LOPATKA: You guys might never get anything.

ATTY. RINALDI: That's what I'm saying. I'm not going to tell you you're going to get your money back because you might get zero.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: We did what was right for the town.

MR. FERRETT: In regards to what it cost us, if it costs us \$20,000 to knock it down, which we'll probably never see again, could we do that?

ATTY. RINALDI: That's why you guys need to have that discussion. You might not see a penny. Know that before you go into it. There is a legal and there's a practical.

MR. FEBBO: Do we have \$20,000 we'll never see again?

MR. FERRETT: Didn't we do the first property with CDBG money?

ATTY. RINALDI: The owner's already got a judgment against her.

24

25

MR. NOTARI: We're not going to be able to turn around and tear it down in a month, so why don't we continue the process of send them the dangerous building letter out, which you've done.

MAYOR MULKERIN: A hearing would be scheduled. She's not going to show.

MR. NOTARI: Schedule the hearing, go through the process --

MR. BIERYLA: And at that time you can determine what you want to do.

MR. NOTARI: Let Bill continue to research the property and see --

ATTY, RINALDI: You want to give the mortgage holder notice because you don't want him coming after the borough saying you tore down my collateral.

MR. SEMENZA: Bill, can you contact who you have to contact, try and get as much information as you want. Tell them borough council is looking to tear this structure down.

ATTY. RINALDI: I'm waiting for one call from a lawyer that represents her that put the stay in because he's a bankruptcy

attorney, too.

MR. SEMENZA: Do we schedule the hearing tonight?

MR. BIERYLA: Today is ten days since she received it.

MR. SEMENZA: We'll do that in new business.

MR. PAPI: If we did demolish the building who is responsible for the maintenance of the property after, when the grass grows all summer and the weeds?

MR. SEMENZA: They're still the owner of the property.

MR. PAPI: She's not going to do anything. We're back to the same thing with the maintenance of the property.

MR. FERRETT: You have to understand, like Bill was saying, if the bank is foreclosing on this property and everything goes through for the bank which we're totally unaware of, then what happens if we go to work and knock their building down and they didn't know nothing about this? They lose all their collateral stuff.

So the thing is, like Mr. Notari was

saying, maybe we're better off to let the process go through and let Bill keep at this thing to find out where we stand before we make any moves on this matter.

MR. FEBBO: That process is already in motion with Steve and Bill.

MR. NOTARI: You can condemn the building. Right? Or do you have to wait for the process to play out?

THE MAYOR: It's basically been condemned three times. They take the stickers and break the locks.

MR. BIERYLA: I put the unsafe for human habitation on it.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: It was never condemned.

MR. BIERYLA: I never put a condemned sticker. Like I said, if you own it you have to take it down.

MR. FEBBO: You sent a letter out, you said, ten days. That's today, now we go into the second phase.

MR. BIERYLA: It's up to council to schedule that hearing. I sent out the letter, as we did on the wall, and then

continue from there. Then at that meeting council can decide as to if nobody shows up or Bill gets a call from the lawyer as to repairs or demolish. If nobody shows up council makes a decision and we send the decision out to the party, whether it be the bank or the lien holder or whoever it is right now.

ATTY. RINALDI: Right now it's her problem and her liability. The bank is probably insuring it because she's not paying ten cents. I don't want to make it the borough's problem by saying we're going to tear it down and then find out you have to put it out to bid and on and on.

MR. BIERYLA: I spoke with her. I said action's going to have to be taken and she should get a lawyer. I said I recommend, if you have somebody, to show some representation for yourself. That's where it left off.

MR. SEMENZA: Is the building secured?

MR. BIERYLA: No, not yet.

MR. SEMENZA: Can we secure that

ASAP?

MR. BIERYLA: I'll put that on tomorrow. I got busy with a property owner on Henderson all last week. Just to inform council, it was a problem property. The son got put in Camp Hill. He was gone. The father got sick. Some of the son's friends were still staying there. They left two dogs there. The father went to the hospital. He was supposed to come out of the hospital. He fell on his way out of the hospital. They found out he had to get a pacemaker. He wound up in Riverside Rehab.

There was two dogs in the house plus a cat, which was his cat. I went up to the rehab and spoke to the social worker, got in contact with Griffin Animal. Officer
Bernardi and another police officer, we fed the animals for five days before they could come down just to make sure they had food and water in the house.

Then I went back up to Riverside

Rehab after the dogs were removed and I

spoke with him. There was a cat there which
was his cat. I tried to retain the cat. I

called up the vet, Blue Chip and Guardian
Angels for the pets, because they take care
of animals when pets get sick. In turn,
they got the cat in a home for him and they
pay half of the cost.

Went back up there to speak with him again for -- number one would be nobody's in the house. The house was cold. He tried to secure the plumber underneath his phone. I made a few phone calls and the plumber went up to see him, got the keys, went down and got the furnace back up.

Riverside Rehab came down again because he had said his son had a snake. Went over there at 6:00 at night with them. We walked through the house, we didn't find no snake. We went back again the second day, didn't find no snake. They determined that since the property was so cold that they either took the snake or the snake got out and died.

I was in the process of trying to speak to the social worker to see who's going to come and clean up the house because it's defecation all over the place because

the animals were left alone for so long. He went back in the hospital and I have yet -- I don't really know if he's back up at Riverside.

MR. SEMENZA: He left already. I was up there yesterday.

MR. BIERYLA: That means he's in the hospital. So he's still in the hospital.

MR. SEMENZA: Then he came back to Riverside and he left again.

MR. BIERYLA: The problem now is for him to come back home he needs to get somebody to take and clean the house for him, because he's not going to be able to do it himself. It really is a mess. So I was working on that the whole time.

MR. SEMENZA: All right. Anybody have any other questions?

MR. BIERYLA: Let me go back to Sambo's. Since then Mr. Aulisio has boarded it up. If you see, over the weekend he has fixed or boarded it up. He's going to either repair or demolish, but at the present time he's trying to weigh his odds. He kind of, like, complied to just take away

the danger of the Plexiglass and the animals to secure it there. He said it's kind of sentimental because back in 1897 his grandfather bought the property. The house was built in 1902, then with that Lease Cleaners' original shop right there. Then they moved down the street. So it has some sentimental value right now. He just wants to see whether the sentimental value is going to go away or if he wants to refurbish the building just because it's so historical, since it was built in 1927.

MR. SEMENZA: Anybody have any questions for Steve?

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: On that,
Steve, that building is leaning. Is that
correct? You told us a meeting ago it was
out of plumb.

MR. BIERYLA: The front is. So that's why his repair is -- he wants to see what he's going to do. The back is --

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: By putting up those three things it straightened the building out?

MR. BIERYLA: Well, no, it took away

the hazards.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: That's not what I'm saying. You told us the building was out of plumb, that it's leaning.

MR. BIERYLA: But you're asking from a standpoint --

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: No, I'm just asking if it's still out of plumb? Is it still leaning?

MR. BIERYLA: If I said it was leaning before, it must be leaning a little bit now.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: So, then, if it's leaning and it's out of plumb could it be left that way legally? Or no? Could three boards going up on the front stop it from being out of plumb? You said it puts it out of violation.

MR. BIERYLA: For the hazards.

MR. RUSSEL RINALDI: What about the out of plumb?

MR. BIERYLA: I think I repeated myself in saying he's going to repair or demolish that front part.

MR. BROWN: Last meeting that we had

I posed a question pertaining to these two properties, and the question was the process and the way that we were handling the two properties that seem to be structurally unsafe. Now, I'm no engineer. I cannot classify that. But we were handling two properties that are basically across the street from each other in two different manners.

MR. BIERYLA: Did you read the structural report?

MR. BROWN: Yeah, I totally get that. One we're trying to condemn, which I'm all for, and the other one we were going through the process of talking with them. I think, in my opinion -- and I don't know about anybody else here -- maybe we should have sense to use the property letter just so we can have on record the time frame for legal reasons and for legal recourse if the owner doesn't go through with either demolishing a property or rectifying a property. What's the recourse we have?

MR. BIERYLA: A sent Mr. Aulisio the letter November 23rd, and I have it filed if

you wish to see it.

MR. BROWN: But we never set up a hearing then which I was saying then for the nuisance property. For the ten-day hearing back in November we never set up a hearing.

MR. BIERYLA: Right, and I laid it in council's lap to set up the hearing and then council never set up the hearing.

MR. BROWN: So we're able to set up a hearing for that property -- for the two Oak Street properties if we want. I don't know if that's everyone else's opinion on here but we can. I mean, Aulisio is verbally telling the code enforcement that he's either going to demolish it or fix it, but if we don't have anything in writing we don't have anybody coming to us. Then how are we going to be able to go after them in the future?

MR. SEMENZA: Councilman Brown, in my opinion these two properties are two totally different -- if you read the report, two totally different situations.

MR. BROWN: I did.

MR. SEMENZA: One is definitely --

MR. BROWN: They're both, as Mr. Rinaldi said, out of plumb. The property is leaning.

MR. SEMENZA: That doesn't matter.

Out of plumb --

 $\label{eq:MR.BROWN:} \textbf{MR. BROWN:} \quad \textbf{The structure is} \\ \textbf{leaning.}$

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: You told us out of plumb means --

MR. SEMENZA: Listen, it says in the report it appears to be sound and intact.

"The rear masonry portion of it appears to be sound and intact."

MR. BROWN: But they weren't allowed inside, whereas they went inside of this property. So if they were allowed inside would that differ?

MR. SEMENZA: You weren't allowed inside Sambo's?

MR. BIERYLA: At that time

Mr. Aulisio wasn't available when the

structural engineer came around. From what

I understand from the contractor that he had

the portion of the steps going downstairs

need to be repaired, but I guess they have

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

some pressing machines. The beams are two by 12, doubled up, 12 inches on center. So the floor was pretty secure.

MR. LOPATKA: I think the biggest issue with that property is there's a void, a hole opening up on the right-hand side of the property where storm water is draining The property itself, in talking to into. Mark, who did the inspection, it could be stabilized. They could rebuild the front of It's not something that that building. needs -- right now it's not in great shape. It's boarded up or did they secure it. think it's okay. But the biggest issue was still the void on the right-hand side of the building where the water was going in. That, in turn, is going into the structure. So, I mean, that's where our concern was, filling that void right now.

MR. SEMENZA: In my opinion -- and my opinion might not matter, but it seems like, in the reports, two different situations totally. I'm just saying, when I said about being plumb, if anybody ever put a level on an old home --

MR. LOPATKA: Very few.

MR. SEMENZA: -- how many are plumb?

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Well, no, he made the statement that it's tilted. He said it's out of plumb and he said it has to be fixed.

MR. NOTARI: I think we've demonstrated already that we're going on a case by case basis and Mr. Aulisio is working with Mr. Bieryla, so we're giving him the opportunity to fix the property before we go --

MR. BROWN: And I totally agree with that. It's just that --

MR. NOTARI: Kind of like what we've done on Orchard with Mr. Perone.

MR. BROWN: Something in writing in case nothing gets done we have legal recourse.

MR. NOTARI: Well, Steve said we do have something in writing.

MR. BROWN: He sent a letter. He sent a nuisance property letter. Last meeting we could have put on the agenda to have a ten-day hearing, is what I was

saying, but we didn't, like, do anything with that. Mr. Bieryla was in contact verbally with Mr. Aulisio. I have nothing against Mr. Aulisio or anything. I'm just saying for a legal standpoint nothing gets done it's going to be on us because we sent a letter and it was up to council to have a hearing, which we never did. So right now we can't go after for Mr. Aulisio for anything because we didn't set the hearing.

MR. NOTARI: You're right.

MR. BROWN: So we have the opportunity tonight to put both on the agenda.

MR. SEMENZA: So you want to set up a hearing just in case?

MR. BROWN: Well, just for legal recourse.

MR. TAGLIAFERRI: I think you need to give people time to fix up their property but you need to give them a specific time.

When are you going to figure that out, five years from now?

MR. SEMENZA: We wouldn't give him that kind of time.

MR. TAGLIAFERRI: I live across the street. I got really mad when I saw this guy put a couple boards up on the property. Oh, my God, that's what they're going to do. There's a very nice property right next to it. Nobody was allowed inside. How do you know if there's infestation there as well as health issues. It doesn't look good. I can tell you that.

That's the other thing. We can make a good determination by entering the property. They live right down the street.

MR. NOTARI: Can we have Steve revisit the property, take a walk through?

MR. BROWN: Steve, you were there with the property owner. Correct?

MR. BIERYLA: I was with the property owner at Lease's Cleaners. I wasn't with the property owner on site.

MAYOR MULKERIN: You were with the engineer.

MR. BIERYLA: I was with the engineer on site. Once again, we weren't inside. The contractor was inside and that's what he had told me. He said that

void on the side needs to be shored up, filled in. The steps coming down that side, I guess the landing is somewhere there.

That's in the void. He said they're a little shaky. But other than that, he said the floor was pretty solid.

MR. NOTARI: So you sent the nuisance property letter.

MR. BIERYLA: Yes.

MR. NOTARI: He responded to that letter by boarding up the building.

MR. BIERYLA: Right, because I showed him pictures of what needed to be taken care of.

MR. NOTARI: But we haven't sent a dangerous buildings letter. Do we consider the building dangerous, Dave?

MR. LOPATKA: The front portion of it, yes, where the window is.

MR. NOTARI: But the window's been covered up now with a board.

MR. LOPATKA: That was the worst portion because the sill was kind of giving way.

MR. BIERYLA: Would council care to

see before and after? Because I do have the pictures.

MR. SEMENZA: Please.

MR. NOTARI: That void we're talking about, does that make it a dangerous property or a dangerous building?

MR. LOPATKA: The void itself is dangerous. You don't want that staying the way it is. You want it filled in. I mean, somebody can fall in the whole.

MR. NOTARI: So to be uniform, are we supposed to have Steve issue a dangerous building letter? To be uniform, as Mr. Brown is saying.

MR. BROWN: Well, if we're going off the nuisance property, the letter's been sent. We were able to set up the hearing back in November but we didn't.

MR. NOTARI: No, the hearing's after dangerous buildings. We sent a nuisance property letter.

MR. BROWN: The hearing is after the nuisance property or dangerous building?

MR. BIERYLA: Dangerous building.

MR. BROWN: But the letter -- which

letter was sent, dangerous building or nuisance?

MR. BIERYLA: Nuisance on him, because right now the property was a nuisance until we had an engineer's report, so I couldn't confirm that.

ATTY. RINALDI: So since he got the letter he secured the building, boarded it up. Right?

MR. BIERYLA: He has a steel plate over the void.

ATTY. RINALDI: So he's got a steel plate over the void? Then it's secure.

MR. LOPATKA: Other than the hole that needs to be filled in.

MR. BIERYLA: He's got a steel plate over it.

MR. LOPATKA: You're still going to get water in there.

MR. BIERYLA: For right now the void, somebody falling in, is gone. So when Victor has some time he's going to come back and he's going to put something on the wall. He's going to let me know how he has to take care of that structurally on the inside to

secure that.

MR. NOTARI: Aesthetically we can't force him to do anything.

ATTY. RINALDI: Right. That's not a dangerous building. It's boarded up and it's secure.

MR. BIERYLA: The biggest problem is with the windows. The front was Plexiglass, the back was windows. The windows were up high, in the back the windows were up high. Glass was broken. If a piece of that glass broke off and somebody was coming down and they got hit. Now there's board on the inside and the outside so it can't happen.

MR. PAPI: We have a maintenance ordinance that you have to take care of your property.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Steve, what if the Chelland owners or whoever is responsible for the property came and cut the vegetation, boarded up the windows, the doors --

MR. BIERYLA: That wouldn't fly.

Not with the engineer's report.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Why not? Why

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

wouldn't it?

MR. BIERYLA: Because the inside is gone. There's no way that you can physically do that to that property by the engineer's report.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Then you'd have to get inside this building?

ATTY. RINALDI: Is it falling down inside?

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: They don't know. They didn't get inside.

MR. LOPATKA: You're talking Sambo's.

MR. BIERYLA: The contractor told me the only instability inside of it was the steps going downstairs.

> ATTY, RINALDI: On which one? MR. BIERYLA: Sambo's.

ATTY, RINALDI: You asked him about Chelland's. You said if they boarded it up --

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: If they board up Chelland's would it make it okay? said no because they were in there and it's structurally, inside, not sound or

repairable. But my question is then they need to get into Sambo's. You didn't get into Sambo's.

MR. BIERYLA: No, I went by what the contractor told me when he was in there.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: You went by what the contractor said?

MR. BIERYLA: Yes. He said the steps were the ones where the insecurities were --

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Did you get in Sambo's?

MR. BIERYLA: No, I did not.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: That's the one we have to get in, then. Maybe Sambo's is the same as the inside of Chelland's, and then we can take the same course of action against both properties, the same exact steps once we get inside Sambo's and see.

MR. BIERYLA: We'll make arrangements to get inside of Sambo's. I was going off the contractor. I know him. I know he's not going to pull your leg.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: He didn't go in Sambo's.

MR. BIERYLA: He did. He said he had to see what the void was on the side.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Excuse me.

The contractor went in Sambo's and you took
his word for it?

MR. BIERYLA: Yes.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: But you didn't go in Sambo's? You're the zoning officer.

You didn't go in Sambo's?

MR. BIERYLA: No, I did not.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: No offense to the contractor, I'm not saying the contractor is lying, not saying he's telling the truth. I don't know. But the contractor goes into a building and you just took his world for it? You didn't go in specifically and do it like you're supposed to?

MR. BIERYLA: I did not as of yet.

Like I just explained to council, I got tied up all last week with Henderson Street. So I will.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Okay. So -- all right. I thought we -- first we didn't get in there, then the contractor got in

MR. SEMENZA: Anybody have any questions for Dave?

MR. LOPATKA: I mean, there's a couple items that I will go over. I didn't put it in the report because most of these items were in January that I've been working on. Anything on the report quick? Okay.

Just for FYI for council, we did have a meeting set up for the building master plan but Marylynn took sick, so that was cancelled. Try to get it rescheduled. It was scheduled for Monday morning. We'll reschedule it.

I talked to Bill today. We're actually putting a plan together after last meeting with regard to the RACP funding we're submitting the application for. We're putting a plan together and we'll put a cost estimate together, have that for Bill. That may go in by, I believe, the end of next week, February 4th.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.\ \operatorname{NOTARI}:\ \operatorname{Is}\ \operatorname{that}\ \operatorname{for}\ \operatorname{the}$ building --

MR. LOPATKA: No, the RACP is for the street scape project.

ATTY. RINALDI: Otherwise you're going to wait until next year.

MR. LOPATKA: So that's in the works. We're putting that plan together now.

MR. FEBBO: Are we going to have it together by then?

MR. LOPATKA: We'll have it, yeah. We're putting the letter together. We just have to get a cost estimate. It's an online application. Whatever we provide map-wise and cost estimate-wise, I think it's a PDF you can attach to the application. We'll have that.

Bill sent me some deeds with regard to the housing authority. I did review it. They don't seem to have enough information for me to really determine what they're dedicating to the borough. So I did send them a response with regards to that. I have to get in touch with the county to get more information so we know exactly what we'd be taking over from the housing authority road wise.

You guys may have gotten the letter.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I sent it to Marylynn. I put together a letter addressing comments from George Parker with regard to Rosemount. That letter, there was, like, six items, I believe, on the letter that he had questioned. I sent responses back to him on that. I believe, Bill, you got the letter also.

MR. FEBBO: Will we be getting a copy of that?

I sent it to Marylynn. MR. LOPATKA: I thought it would be in your information. I can get copies. I sent it to her. sure she distributes it to you guys. Basically what I said to them is -- a couple questions that he had. They talked about the ponding in front of the driveway. I went over there after it rained one day. actually met with Paul there. We went and looked at that other property. But there is a puddle that forms there, whether they But I have photos of acknowledge it or not. it and I attached them to the letter so they can see exactly what I saw when I was out I need to actually get over and mark there.

out where they need shoulders. The road was wet so I didn't get over there yet. I will.

MR. NOTARI: Where are we at on that? We're three months since that hearing.

MR. LOPATKA: We got his letter. We thought he was addressing the issues. Now the map, I believe, we all came to terms with. He came back to the planning commission, showed us the map. We made recommendations, he revised that. So the map that we're talking about from a recording standpoint, dedication standpoint, it's been taken care of. There were a few issues still out there. One was the puddle. They claim there isn't a puddle, I still claim there is. I took pictures of it.

The other one was with regard to the one property down Stone Hill, water flowing into the guy's driveway. I told them, I believe, from the beginning that it was their responsibility to fix it. We came up with a solution, he questioned who was supposed to pay for it. I responded back and told him until the roads are

dedicated --

MR. NOTARI: Didn't we all agree on what was going to happen at that hearing?

Wasn't there an agreement made exactly what was going to happen, eight or nine steps?

MR. LOPATKA: There were, and what his question back --

MR. NOTARI: Bill, how can they continually question what was agreed upon?

ATTY. RINALDI: I don't know that the questions were for us or more for the developer. But the paperwork part of it is probably 90 percent complete. The only issue is if work can't be done right now because it's winter --

MR. LOPATKA: But some of the questions kind of were asking who is going to pay for it, and the response always has been until they're dedicated they're the responsibility of the developer.

ATTY. RINALDI: And the easement issue had to be concluded before the plans are final, which I think everybody --

MR. NOTARI: I just want to make sure we're on track to getting this done.

to Mr. Aulisio first thing in the morning.

MR. LOPATKA: I'll try and find out who I can get down there.

MR. SEMENZA: Anybody have any questions for Dave? Thank's, Dave. Chief, police report?

CHIEF DUBERNAS: You all have the end-of-the-year report. That was given out the second week of January. I put it in all of your boxes. If there's any questions on that. Other than that, that's it.

I have a couple things. Councilman Brown, February 7th, public safety meeting, I won't be here. I'm going to school for two days. I'm going to leadership training.

MR. SEMENZA: Is Monday okay with you? The 6th.

CHIEF DUBERNAS: Yes.

MAYOR MULKERIN: Yes.

MR. SEMENZA: Councilman Brown, will you send a text out to -- or ask the office to send a message out and see if everybody's available for that. Thanks for bringing that up.

ATTY. RINALDI: Chief, do you want

to reappoint any rosters?

CHIEF DUBERNAS: It's on there. roster's attached. I want to sit down and have a public safety meeting because I have a vacant 32-hour position. I'm not sure what you guys want to do -- personnel. Sorry. Officer Demming, he resigned. He took a position in Waverly. So I'm not sure what you guys want to do. Do you want to fill it or --

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Don't we have to by contract?

CHIEF DUBERNAS: It's still in negotiation.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: We're still using the old contract. Then we have to fill it, Bill?

ATTY, RINALDI: You have to have that complement. I don't know what the rush is to fill it.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: In the contract it says it has to be filled within 30 days.

MAYOR MULKERIN: Reasonable amount of time.

20 21 22

24

25

23

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: The contract 1 doesn't say 30 days? 2 MR. SEMENZA: Why don't we set a 3 personnel meeting for --4 MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: The contract 5 doesn't say 30 days? 6 CHIEF DUBERNAS: It says reasonable 7 amount of time. 8 MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Every other 9 time before we did it we always said --10 CHIEF DUBERNAS: I haven't gotten 11 12 the paperwork yet from the union to file a 13 grievance. MR. SEMENZA: Let personnel sit down 14 and talk about this soon. 15 MAYOR MULKERIN: Bill, the previous 16 32-hour positions were done just by the 17 chief making a recommendation to council. 18 ATTY. RINALDI: 19 Has anybody even 20 applied for the job? CHIEF DUBERNAS: I haven't posted 21 So the process is I send out an e-mail. 22 it. According to the contract all 32-hour 23 positions can be changed at any given time. 24 I'm going to interview for all four of them, 25

of time.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Because within 30 days, 30 days is next Tuesday. He left 1/1.

ATTY. RINALDI: There are 32 and part-time?

CHIEF DUBERNAS: But they're still classified as part-time police officers.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Is there a reason why we would go for all new 32 -- ask for new four 32-hour instead of just one?

CHIEF DUBERNAS: That's personnel.

I don't want to speak about that at a public meeting. I'll discuss that personnel issue with you.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: If the chairman would like, can we speak about it after the meeting in an executive? If we have a problem going on in the police department I think we need to know ASAP.

CHIEF DUBERNAS: It's not a problem, but I just think it's time for a change to move forward.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Well, if it's not able to be spoken about at a public

meeting, to me it sounds serious. So I'd like to talk about it.

MR. SEMENZA: Chief, do you know where that is, that section?

MR. NOTARI: Twenty-three, maybe.

CHIEF DUBERNAS: It's under part-time officer II.

MR. SEMENZA: Anything else, Chief? CHIEF DUBERNAS: No, that's it.

MR. SEMENZA: Anybody have any requests for the chief?

MR. NOTARI: Couple things. First of all, thank you for great work the past year, along with your force. I definitely see an increased presence in town, and officers that I've dealt with are very respectful, very friendly to the public, and I think that's a reflection of you and the mayor and your leadership. Thank you for that.

On the report, the vehicle makes and mileage, is that current or was that --

CHIEF DUBERNAS: That was as of

January 1st. Officer Bernardi gives them to

me at the end of each month.

MR. NOTARI: So is that the mileage on each car going into the new year?

CHIEF DUBERNAS: I'm not sure which

one you're looking at.

MR. NOTARI: The third page.

CHIEF DUBERNAS: That's what the mileage was as of January 1st for the entire year.

MR. NOTARI: On each vehicle?

CHIEF DUBERNAS: Right, but then he gives me a breakdown of how many miles -- right now, January 1st, when you get to the sheet for the February meeting it will have the monthly mileage. That was for the end of the year.

MR. NOTARI: Approximately 500 more calls than last year. Any one specific area?

CHIEF DUBERNAS: No.

MR. NOTARI: Any one specific area in town? Nothing like that?

CHIEF DUBERNAS: Before we used to have nicknames for places we used to go to all the time. It's not like that anymore. It's just the entire town. That can be an

EMS call or --

MR. NOTARI: Right. DUI and drug arrests, is that more than last year?

CHIEF DUBERNAS: I would have to look back. Right now, the 24 days into January, I think we're at 13 or 14 DUIs and 18 drug arrests already. I had two in two days on second shift.

MRL NOTARI: That's almost 20 percent of that number.

Last thing. Two officers certified as car seat technicians, can we publicize that?

and we tell them. Back, I think, September or October I said at a meeting that we had two officers going. It was Kimball and Kim. It's through us applying for a grant.

There's a grant that comes out twice a year. You have to have a certified seatbelt technician and we didn't have one. I didn't know you had to be a certified seatbelt technician to install a car seat. We can apply for the grant but we would have had to make sure that one of the troopers that was

certified was able to come down on that day.

They had hosted a class and we wound up sending two of them. So you can have 20 officers working as long as you have one technician.

MR. NOTARI: Do we think we should have a day, like maybe twice a year, offer --

CHIEF DUBERNAS: When the grant comes out it will be a Saturday. Like a six-week time frame, always on a Saturday. Then it's usually nine to one. We'll advertise that. We get a lot of calls for that.

MR. NOTARI: Appreciate your work.

MR. SEMENZA: Anybody else? Thanks,

Chief. Bill, solicitor's report, please.

you have the Lawrence Street change back to two-way. So you're deleting it from a one-way street. You have the amendment on the pave cut ordinance, so that it's going to be a -- in bold you'll see the changes. It's 25 linear feet, which is an increase, two or more transverse openings made within

25 linear feet, and a single pave cut, the cut should be made the width of the required trench, restoration minimum one-foot cutback to be provided on all sides of the initial cut. That was the borough engineer's recommendation. We're going to amend it to make those changes to the pave cut ordinance. That's on the agenda.

Also, the increase in tax collector's salary, increasing his salary to \$6,300 effective for next year. So it would be after the municipal election of 2017, whoever comes into office 2018.

Another item that isn't in my report is the satisfaction. I'm going to ask for council to make a motion to authorize me to satisfy a mortgage that was placed on a property in 1992. It was part of the Housing Rehabilitation Assistance Loan Program with CDBG money. The owner is Lillian Kreseski. She took out a loan for \$7,000, made her improvements to the property. That loan was to be satisfied after ten years, which would be January of 2003, provided she did the work and she did

not move out of the residence. So I believe her property is being sold now. It's 726

Oak Street.

MR. SEMENZA: Authorizing you to satisfy the mortgage --

ATTY. RINALDI: It's easier because I have the notary in my office instead of leaving it here, running around to get notarized. Just a motion to authorize the solicitor to satisfy the Kreseski mortgage.

Then the fifth item, finally I got an e-mail this week from Hutchinson's lawyer, so I'd ask for a motion to approve payment to the Hutchinsons of \$12,600 to resolve their sewer backup damages. They'll provide us with a lease prior to them getting the check in their hand. Those are the five items I have for this evening.

Next week there's a trial. Marylynn is going to be there with me on the Doe versus Old Forge matter.

The stop sign, what I'm going to have, when I went back to the stop signs, none of your stop signs are in the ordinances. So we have to really kind of

update that with the chief. But for purposes of if you want to do it now just ask council make a resolution to authorize DPW to place a stop sign at Madison-Monroe. Then we'll try and straighten out all the stop signs in the future.

MR. SEMENZA: Okay. Anybody have any questions for Bill?

ATTY. RINALDI: The RFP will be back for the work session.

MR. SEMENZA: Thanks, Bill. Borough manager is not here tonight. Councilman Notari, I haven't seen you on the other side of the table in quite some time. Anything at the county going on that you want to bring to light?

MR. NOTARI: Currently nothing. I have sent in information to Marylynn about different grants and things of that nature that we might want to pursue. Off the top of my head, I think there's a winter golf clinic that's going to happen starting mid to late February. So I'll send that information down and have Marylynn e-mail it to everybody. That's the easiest way to get

it to you. That's it.

MR. SEMENZA: At this time I'm going to go down the table and see if any council members have anything to report.

MAYOR MULKERIN: Nothing.

MR. BROWN: Nothing.

MR. NOTARI: Nothing.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Nothing to report, but I would like to set up a sewer committee meeting. I know we tried last month.

MR. NOTARI: Monday is the 30th, 5:00.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: That's good.

MR. FERRETT: The only thing I'd like to report is the fact that I believe at the work session I brought it to council's attention that going through with a paving program in the coming year and put it on the agenda at the regular meeting that we can vote on as to whether council wants to approve going for a paving program. When we go into new business I'll want to put a motion to the floor in reference to that.

MR. SEMENZA: Do we have a list of

streets?

MR. FERRETT: I have a list of streets, but there's some changes being made because of a couple different things that have to take place and everything like this here. If council approves the paving program we'll get a listing of the streets and everything and any changes that are made, every councilman will have a copy of the sheet.

MR. SEMENZA: Do we have a dollar amount figure on what we're going to do?

MR. FERRETT: Well, I think we're looking at \$500,000 to \$600,000 because we have enough money to carry us over for the coming year, too. We're going to discuss this. We were supposed to sit down with Marylynn but Marylynn was sick and everything, so consequently we didn't make any decisions as to just what direction we're going.

So at the present time I think it's best that when the council really is interested in going through and putting a paving program this year, and if so we can

always come back and discuss and approve what amount we want to go with. The paving committee will make the recommendation.

MR. FERRETT: You're going to address that under new business?

MR. FERRETT: I'm going to address it, whether council wants to go through with a paving program, then we're going to sit down with Marylynn and sit down with the rest of the committee to find out to what extent we want to extend the paving program. I don't want to just go to work and just pull figures out of my own head.

MR. FEBBO: Are you looking for an answer tonight about going forward with it?

MR. FERRETT: All I'm asking is -looking for approval as to whether or not
we're going to go through with a paving
program or not. As far as to what extent,
we'll come back to council and council will
approve whether or not we want to go to such
extent. The idea is that we want to
continue paving the streets because I think
it's a big issue in the town, and as long as
the money is there there's no reason why we

can't continue it.

MR. SEMENZA: Is that all?

MR. FERRETT: The only other thing is Councilman Notari and Councilman Rinaldi, I gave them two phone numbers in reference to the sewer agency, and I don't know whether they've made any contact or whether they discussed anything with you, Mr. Chairman --

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Are you talking about Ron, the computer --

MR. FERRETT: Yeah, the computer --

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Yeah, I called them. That's why I was going to speak with them on Monday, when we have a committee meeting.

MR. NOTARI: I've tried twice, he's called me back twice. We've been playing phone tag.

MR. FERRETT: Bobby, they worked on the sewer authority and everything. You can probably check with them. That's where I got most of that information from. That's who they deal with.

MR. NOTARI: Appreciate your help,

Councilman Ferrett.

MR. SEMENZA: I know Ron. The computer guy.

MR. FEBBO: Well, talk about the sewer authority, we got these handouts about Lower Lackawanna Valley Sewer Authority and overflows. In reading through it, are we responsible -- are they trying to say we're responsible for all these drain inlets in the borough?

MR. LENCESKI: Was it discharge?

MR. FEBBO: The whole thing. New connections and how many we have. Also the ones that we don't have, I guess. It cost a lot of money, the separation that Joe talks about, the sewer and the water runoff. But are they telling us that we need to do this?

MR. FERRETT: What's that? I have one.

MR. FEBBO: I'll let this go. I just need an answer on where we're going with this, where they want us to go with it.

MR. SEMENZA: I'll talk to --

MR. FEBBO: See what we are responsible for. That's it.

MR. LENCESKI: I think the finance committee should set up a meeting and discuss how much money we're willing to spend on the paving project so Councilman Ferrett can have a better idea on how much he can spend. So when Marylynn gets back in the office we'll have her set up a meeting.

MR. SEMENZA: Me and Councilman
Rinaldi discussed it the other day and we
talked to you on the phone about it to set
up for financial committee anyway. So when
she's back in the office have her set that
up.

I only have one thing. In your packet there's a letter, on the top it says Marylynn Bartoletti. It's from Fred Rinaldi. We gifted the Old Forge Lions Little League \$10,000 for the lighting project down at Miles Street park. There was a payment due to Musko for \$10,000 which was there was a request sent to the borough for first payment.

Bill, could we okay this invoice for payment right now, tonight, so it could be sent ASAP? I believe that first payment is

due by February 6th or February 5th.

ATTY. RINALDI: Yes, you can just authorize Marylynn and she'll handle it.
You want to authorize it tonight.

MR. SEMENZA: Everybody has the invoice in their packet. Just okay the invoice for payment. Does everybody see that invoice? Okay.

ATTY. RINALDI: Can I ask you for one more motion since Marylynn's not here?

Because that RCAP is due February 4th.

We're not going to meet again before that.

Can I ask that you make a motion to authorize Marylynn to submit the RCAP application to the state for a street scape project. It has to be for a minimum of a million dollars.

MR. FEBBO: Russ, that's what we were talking about, street scape?

MR RINALDI: Yes.

MR. FEBBO: Russel and I, along with the long-range planning commission, talked about that, and we mentioned it with Devon.

Basically what we want to do is take a look at Main Street from the old doughnut shop up

to the present doughnut shop to Reviello's. Being that the streets were paved, we're looking at putting wiring underground, streetlights like they did in Pittston, sidewalks and curbing. Just to bring everybody up to date on what that's all about.

MR. NOTARI: Can we have a phased plan? Maybe not this time, but maybe your plan is from --

ATTY. RINALDI: You can phase it.

MR. NOTARI: From Oak Street to
Grace Street, and maybe in the future we can
go from Grace to Drakes Lane or Oak to --

MR. FEBBO: That's what we're doing.

To be realistic, it's not doable. That's why we said from the doughnut shop here to the business section right now, and then from there perhaps going north to Taylor.

MR. NOTARI: I just don't want some of our businesses to feel left our.

MR. FEBBO: Good point, well taken.

We don't know how much money is available.

ATTY. RINALDI: This kind of came about because of the deadline is the 4th.