| 1 | OLD FORGE BOROUGH COUNCIL |
|----|---|
| 2 | OLD FORGE, PENNSYLVANIA |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | IN RE: REGULAR MEETING OF COUNCIL |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | JANUARY 26, 2016 |
| 10 | 7:00 P.M. |
| 11 | OLD FORGE MUNICIPAL BUILDING |
| 12 | 314 SOUTH MAIN STREET |
| 13 | OLD FORGE, PENNSYLVANIA |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | COUNCIL MEMBERS: |
| 18 | OUNCIE HENDERO. |
| 19 | ROBERT SEMENZA, PRESIDENT |
| 20 | JOSEPH LENCESKI, VICE-PRESIDENT JOSEPH FERRETT |
| 21 | RUSSEL RINALDI DEVON BROWN |
| 22 | LOUIS FEBBO JENN CORDIANO |
| 23 | WILLIAM RINALDI, ESQUIRE, SOLICITOR MARYLYNN BARTOLETTI, BOROUGH MANAGER |
| 24 | |
| 25 | Mark Wozniak |

Mark Wozniak

Official Court Reporter

MR. SEMENZA: Good evening, ladies and gentlemen. I would call the meeting to order with the Pledge of Allegiance.

(The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.)

MR. SEMENZA: Once again, good evening, everyone. Welcome to the January 26th meeting of the Old Forge Borough Council. The purpose of tonight's meeting is to go through some housekeeping, hear from our department heads, go down the table if any councilmen want to speak, and there's a public sign-in sheet. If anybody wants to address council we'll give you a few minutes at the end of the meeting. Roll call?

ATTY. RINALDI: Mr. Brown?

MR. BROWN: Yes.

ATTY. RINALDI: Ms. Cordiano?

MS, CORDIANO: Here.

ATTY, RINALDI: Mr. Febbo?

MR. FEBBO: Here.

ATTY, RINALDI: Mr. Ferrett?

MR. FERRETT: Here.

ATTY, RINALDI: Mr. Rinaldi?

| 1 | MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Here. |
|----|--|
| 2 | ATTY, RINALDI: Mr. Lenceski? |
| 3 | MR. LENCESKI: Here. |
| 4 | ATTY. RINALDI: Mr. Semenza? |
| 5 | MR. SEMENZA: Here. The chair would |
| 6 | call for a motion to approve the minutes |
| 7 | from the prior meeting. |
| 8 | MR. RUSSEL RINALDI: I'll make that |
| 9 | motion. |
| 10 | MR. SEMENZA: Motion by Councilman |
| 11 | Rinaldi. Call for a second. |
| 12 | MR. FERRETT: I'll second the |
| 13 | motion. |
| 14 | MR. SEMENZA: Second on the floor by |
| 15 | Councilman Ferret. Roll call? |
| 16 | ATTY. RINALDI: Mr. Brown? |
| 17 | MR. BROWN: Yes. |
| 18 | ATTY. RINALDI: Ms. Cordiano? |
| 19 | MS. CORDIANO: Yes. |
| 20 | ATTY. RINALDI: Mr. Febbo? |
| 21 | MR. FEBBO: Yes. |
| 22 | ATTY. RINALDI: Mr. Ferrett? |
| 23 | MR. FERRETT: Yes. |
| 24 | ATTY. RINALDI: Mr. Rinaldi? |
| 25 | MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Yes. |

| 1 | ATTY. RINALDI: Mr. Lenceski? |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. LENCESKI: Yes. |
| 3 | ATTY. RINALDI: Mr. Semenza? |
| 4 | MR. SEMENZA: Yes. The chair would |
| 5 | call for a motion to approve the treasurer's |
| 6 | report. Note that this does not include the |
| 7 | sewer accounts. |
| 8 | MR. FERRETT: I'll make a motion |
| 9 | that we approve the treasurer's report. |
| 10 | MR. SEMENZA: Motion by Councilman |
| 11 | Ferrett. Can I call for a second? |
| 12 | MS. CORDIANO: I'll second that. |
| 13 | MR. SEMENZA: Second by Councilwoman |
| 14 | Cordiano. On the question? Public input? |
| 15 | Roll call, please. |
| 16 | ATTY. RINALDI: Councilman Brown? |
| 17 | MR. BROWN: Yes. |
| 18 | ATTY. RINALDI: Councilwoman |
| 19 | Cordiano? |
| 20 | MS. CORDIANO: Yes. |
| 21 | ATTY, RINALDI: Councilman Febbo? |
| 22 | MR. FEBBO: Yes. |
| 23 | ATTY. RINALDI: Councilman Ferrett? |
| 24 | MR. FERRETT: Yes. |
| 25 | ATTY. RINALDI: Councilman Rinaldi? |

| 1 | MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Yes. |
|----|--|
| 2 | ATTY. RINALDI: Councilman Lenceski? |
| 3 | MR. LENCESKI: Yes. |
| 4 | ATTY. RINALDI: Councilman Semenza? |
| 5 | MR. SEMENZA: Yes. The fourth |
| 6 | motion is a motion to approve invoices for |
| 7 | payment. Note this does not include the |
| 8 | sewer accounts. |
| 9 | MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: I'll make that |
| 10 | motion. |
| 11 | MR. SEMENZA: By Councilman Rinaldi. |
| 12 | Can I have a second? |
| 13 | MR. LENCESKI: I'll second that. |
| 14 | MR. SEMENZA: Second by Councilman |
| 15 | Lenceski. On the question? Public input? |
| 16 | Roll call, please. |
| 17 | ATTY. RINALDI: Councilman Brown? |
| 18 | MR. BROWN: Yes. |
| 19 | ATTY. RINALDI: Councilwoman |
| 20 | Cordiano? |
| 21 | MS. CORDIANO: Yes. |
| 22 | ATTY. RINALDI: Councilman Febbo? |
| 23 | MR. FEBBO: Yes. |
| 24 | ATTY. RINALDI: Councilman Ferrett? |
| 25 | MR. FERRETT: Yes. |

| - 11 | |
|------|---|
| 1 | ATTY. RINALDI: Councilman Rinaldi? |
| 2 | MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Yes. |
| 3 | ATTY. RINALDI: Councilman Lenceski? |
| 4 | MR. LENCESKI: Yes. |
| 5 | ATTY. RINALDI: Councilman Semenza? |
| 6 | MR. SEMENZA: Yes. The fifth motion |
| 7 | is a motion to approve the sewer department |
| 8 | financial reports. |
| 9 | MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: I'll also make |
| 10 | that motion. |
| 11 | MR. SEMENZA: By Councilman Rinaldi. |
| 12 | Can I call for a second? |
| 13 | MR. FERRETT: I'll second that. |
| 14 | MR. SEMENZA: Second by Councilman |
| 15 | Ferrett. On the question? Public input? |
| 16 | Roll call, please. |
| 17 | ATTY. RINALDI: Councilman Brown? |
| 18 | MR. BROWN: Yes. |
| 19 | ATTY. RINALDI: Councilwoman |
| 20 | Cordiano? |
| 21 | MS. CORDIANO: Yes. |
| 22 | ATTY, RINALDI: Councilman Febbo? |
| 23 | MR. FEBBO: Yes. |
| 24 | ATTY. RINALDI: Councilman Ferrett? |
| 25 | MR. FERRETT: Yes. |

| 1 | ATTY. RINALDI: Councilman Rinaldi? |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Yes. |
| 3 | ATTY. RINALDI: Councilman Lenceski? |
| 4 | MR. LENCESKI: Yes. |
| 5 | ATTY. RINALDI: Councilman Semenza? |
| 6 | MR. SEMENZA: Yes. The sixth motion |
| 7 | is a motion to approve sewer department |
| 8 | invoices for payment. |
| 9 | MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: I'll make that |
| 10 | motion. |
| 11 | MR. SEMENZA: By Councilman Rinaldi. |
| 12 | Can I have a second? |
| 13 | MS. CORDIANO: I'll second that. |
| 14 | MR. SEMENZA: Second by Councilwoman |
| 15 | Cordiano. On the question? Public input? |
| 16 | Roll call, please. |
| 17 | ATTY. RINALDI: Councilman Brown? |
| 18 | MR. BROWN: Yes. |
| 19 | ATTY. RINALDI: Councilwoman |
| 20 | Cordiano? |
| 21 | MS. CORDIANO: Yes. |
| 22 | ATTY. RINALDI: Councilman Febbo? |
| 23 | MR. FEBBO: Yes. |
| 24 | ATTY. RINALDI: Councilman Ferrett? |
| 25 | MR. FERRETT: Yes. |

| 1 | ATTY, RINALDI: Councilman Rinaldi? |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Yes. |
| 3 | ATTY. RINALDI: Councilman Lenceski? |
| 4 | MR. LENCESKI: Yes. |
| 5 | ATTY. RINALDI: Councilman Semenza? |
| 6 | MR. SEMENZA: Yes. Now we'll go |
| 7 | through our department heads. Paul? |
| 8 | (Mr. Papi read his report, which is |
| 9 | attached.) |
| 10 | MR. SEMENZA: Any questions for |
| 11 | Paul? Thanks, Paul. |
| 12 | Zoning code enforcement, Steve? |
| 13 | (Mr. Bieryla read his report, which |
| 14 | is attached.) |
| 15 | MR. SEMENZA: Any questions for |
| 16 | Steve? |
| 17 | MR. PAPI: You just mentioned a pave |
| 18 | cut on Smith Street? |
| 19 | MR. BIERYLA: Small. |
| 20 | MR. PAPI: I got a call today from |
| 21 | the contractor. He's going to do it in the |
| 22 | springtime. He can't get hot patch right |
| 23 | now. |
| 24 | MS. CORDIANO: Mr. Chairman, I don't |
| 25 | have any questions, but I just wanted to |

personally thank you and Paul for all of your help with Snow Forge and cleaning up after the event. You both were very instrumental. Thank you.

MR. SEMENZA: Thanks, Steve. Lucille, sewer report?

MS. YEAGER: I'm going to be brief.

American Asphalt still hasn't paid All

American Rooter. There's trouble there

because we called All American Rooter, and I

believe the engineer was going to get in

touch with the people from American Asphalt.

Sooner or later I'm going to have to be

directed whether we're going to pay them or

if we're going to be able to have the

solicitor pursue it.

MR. LOPATKA: I will touch on that.

MS. YEAGER: Also, the sewer bills should be going out the middle of February for \$40 for the entire year. My question is when does the sewer agency or council or somebody want to get back to me -- maybe Russell, maybe you -- to let me know when you would like the due date to be. If you put it in March, Lower Lackawanna. If put

it in April you got taxes. But you then you got PPL.

I was thinking possibly around April 15th. Council could discuss it. If somebody from the sewer agency wants to get back to me. There will be a \$4 late fee. That's five percent to the \$40.

MR. FERRETT: I think it might be a good idea if the sewer committee would look into that matter and come back to council and give counsel's opinion and then carry it back to the sewer agency as to what council wants to do.

MR. SEMENZA: Yeah, we will -- Bill, is that something we have to put to the table for a vote, when the bill's going to go out, when the due date's going to be?

ATTY. RINALDI: I don't think so.

MS. YEAGER: You never did before.

Last year we made the due date in June

because of the confusion with the first

time, the one-year billing. People know

now.

MR. SEMENZA: When do you usually send them out?

MS. YEAGER: If I sent it out in February they'd be due in March. I give them a month and a few days. Last time we gave them until June. This time you can let me know so I'll know for future years. This is something new.

MR. SEMENZA: Would council be okay with the sewer committee's recommendation on what to do with that?

ATTY. RINALDI: Yeah. We didn't change the sewer fee this year. It should be the same as last year.

MR. SEMENZA: They want to extend the due date.

MS. YEAGER: I don't. I'm saying you may wish to. We did last time, then we waived the fee. So, I mean, this year if you want to go four months, three months, two months, I just want to be directed.

Then I'll know every year.

MR. SEMENZA: I'll have the sewer committee get together and talk about it, if council's all right with the sewer committee's recommendation, if we're going to extend the due date.

MS. YEAGER: The problem is -- well, I'll have to wait until the February meeting.

MR. SEMENZA: We can have a sewer committee meeting shortly, soon.

MR. FERRETT: Next Tuesday is the regular work session. You might just wait and take it at that point.

MR. SEMENZA: Any questions for Lucille?

MR. FERRETT: Not that I'm trying to put you on the spot, but I'm very curious because a lot of things bother me all the time. I notice that in your report and everything, which is a wonderful report and everything, but I don't ever see anything in here about delinquent accounts. How much is coming in, are we getting everybody in.

MS. YEAGER: I'd have to go through every account that's on the list.

MR. FERRETT: This is January. This is a new year. So effective now if the committee wants to do this or something, but I'm very much interested that I would like to know people that are delinquent, if

they're paid or not, because I went over one or two calls and I'll tell you something, I'm very, very dissatisfied, because in 2010, when I left, you know, there was a policy that was there and it's not being followed. That's bothering me. The policy that you were supposed to pay your bills and pay on top of that.

MS. YEAGER: Well, people are paying it back. Who is not paying is who is getting billed \$40. Who's late, who hasn't paid for two quarters.

MS. YEAGER: They are paying on the payment plan. I'm more concerned about who is late on the 40 bucks. That adds up.

They payment plan has to pay or get the water shut off in June. We have a high amount of payment plans, but we just got a \$4,000 check. One property closed. A lot of them are in foreclosure. I got another one today for 400-some from a foreclosure.

Sometimes at sheriff's sale they don't pay you, sometimes they do.

MR. FERRETT: Mr. Chairman, I think the best thing to do is let the sewer

committee get together and let them come up with a plan, how it should be done, and whatever recommendation one makes bring it before council for approval. Then the sewer committee can meet with the sewer agency that this is the policy that they're going to follow.

MR. SEMENZA: All right. Any other requests for Lucille? Thank you.

Joe McAndrew, recreation director's report?

(Mr. McAndrew read his report, which is attached.)

MR. SEMENZA: Any questions for Joe?

Dave, engineer's report?

MR. LOPATKA: Good evening. First I want to formally apologize to council. I like to have this in usually the Friday before but I was out of the office on Friday of last week and didn't get a chance to put it together until today. Again, I apologize for the late issuance of the report.

The Old Forge paving program, this month we met with the paving committee to review existing streets, reviewed the list,

showing approximately four additional streets that they would like to add. At this point they have been measured and we do have them included in the new documents.

Discussed different approaches on bidding the project, since he's requesting an alternate bid to provide full width milling for each of the roads. Currently we have a plan that shows some of them getting full width milling and some of them getting just edge milling with tie-ins. It was recommended that we put an alternate into the bid this time to see what it would cost to do full width milling on all the streets.

Asked borough if they'd like to keep millings and have them placed and spread in different areas. The borough would like to keep the millings and use them in the following locations -- and this is subject to change -- the DPW building, the access road to the building from Sibley Avenue; the soccer field, which is across the street, there, that access road to the soccer field from Sibley Avenue; and Pagnotti Field and parking lot, which, again, I did receive

some calculations on that from Paul tonight just for sizing purposes.

Does anybody have any questions on the paving?

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: I wasn't on the roads committee last year. I am on it this year. Is there a way to give us either e-mail or send to the borough building the streets that were taken off compared to the ones that were put on? Or were there any taken off from last year, last project?

MR. LOPATKA: There really weren't any taken off.

MR. FERRETT: We added on.

MR. LOPATKA: We added a couple on.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: You did take some off but then you put them back on and then add them.

MR. LOPATKA: The second of Connell Street's getting paved. That was taken off.

MR. FERRETT: The meeting that we met Monday, that was a revised sheet that we put on there. One of the reasons for that, Russell, was the fact that in the bid process, as I discussed with you and the

paving committee, that's \$180,000 which I personally believe can be saved, as we discussed with Dave, and he said he would look into the matter and was one of the reason why we figured the added streets could be put on and so forth. It's not much of a problem.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: I was just curious. I was driving around a few of the streets throughout the week just on my own time, seeing what streets. I didn't know if we had streets off of that current list.

MR. LOPATKA: No, the list is still the same, although I think we added four streets.

MR. PAPI: How about Dunn Avenue?

Is that still alive?

MR. LOPATKA: Next on my list. The design is ongoing. With the holiday and everything else we kind of got a little bit behind. So we have the plan basically together. I talked with PennDOT and we will be getting to them relatively soon. So Dunn Avenue, yes, it is still open.

Rosemount Estates roadway

reclamation project, American Asphalt, I
think I had this on last time. They
addressed punch list items in early
November. American Asphalt submitted a
payment app requesting retainage released
being the punch list items have been
addressed. Has inspected requested punch
list items and concurs with American Asphalt
that the project has been completed in
accordance with contract documents and
retainage can be released.

Villa, Inc. has made final payment on American Asphalt invoice via check issued on 12/23/15 in the amount of \$33,824.92, which was the retainage amount as approved by payment application dated 11/2/15, which is attachment one, if you start flipping back through there. We approved it a while back, right after they did the work. I'm just explaining what the requirement is from the borough. What the agreement is, I guess. The borough reimbursing Villa 50 percent in accordance with the January 9, 2015 court-ordered stipulation.

Reuther-Bowen discussed with

American Asphalt with regard to an invoice that's attachment two from American Rooter for the cleaning of the manhole that was damaged during the reclamation process.

American, they dispute some of the video and excess cleaning that was completed as part of the work.

I stated to them that they're definitely responsible for a minimum half of the fee and asked them to prepare a letter and issue it to the borough so that we can review it and determine who owes what. Some of the work that was done there I know they were not aware of what had to happen, some of the video, and it wasn't in anybody's contract originally. I think when we went up there and they contacted them -- I contacted, I think, Paul that day. American Rooter just happened to be doing some work for the borough so they actually came over and did the clean out.

But I guess after that there were a couple dates where they went back and re-cameraed some stuff. Do I think that was in their contract? Probably not. But

definitely the clean out of the material and the manhole definitely should have been part of their responsibility. So they're supposed to be issuing a letter to the borough any day. I talked to them just the other day on this. When we get that I'll take a look at it and we can determine what the final outcome needs to be.

MS. YEAGER: They explained to me it took more than one day to solve the problem, then they ran out of daylight. There were other reasons. This is what Mike Ford told me. I suggested that Mike Ford should speak to American Rooter and explain it. I feel that that bill in full should be paid by American Asphalt, but I don't think that's going to happen.

MR. LOPATKA: Let them submit the letter, we can look at it and determine what the final outcome needs to be. Any questions on numbers?

Mountain Road land development project, Reuther-Bowen did a final inspection on the paving on Mountain Road and found that milling is not completed, as

American Asphalt. By not milling to the designated location it appears that puddles still form during rainstorms in the lane exiting Mountain Road onto Milwaukee Avenue. It's been brought to the attention of the owner and American Asphalt. Reuther-Bowen would like to set up a meeting with each to discuss the option for correcting the situation.

Villa, Inc. has previously paid

TSE -- and this the work with regards to
just Mountain Road on invoice 21512 in the
amount of \$33,729.84. On the remaining work
on Mountain Road, including the paving -see Reuther-Bowen letter dated today. That
letter basically is stating we agree that
the majority of their remaining work has
been completed, but we would recommend
withholding a certain amount of money until
we resolved the issue with regards to the
puddle coming out.

Reuther-Bowen has reviewed deed descriptions and maps provided by Villa, Inc. and their attorney. The information

provided does not include enough information to allow Reuther-Bowen to complete a final review of the documents. The description on the map exhibit A leaves a number of questions as to ownership of adjacent remnant parcels and whether the map as shown creates subdivision of these remaining parcels of land.

Reuther-Bowen had a discussion with Solicitor Rinaldi, who agreed and issued a letter, which I'm sure he's going to issue to you also, that's not attached in four, here, to the owner's attorney requesting additional information. Reuther also contacted the owner's engineer. The engineer stated that he had a map from the EPA cleanup Superfund site which was further up Mountain Road which shows how the roadway entrance was re-aligned to provide for easier, safer access to the state road during the project.

This was way back when they were doing the Superfund cleanup. Reuther-Bowen should have those maps to review and determine if this map clarifies the current

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

document. At this point I haven't received the mapping from them, so I'm not sure what it shows, to be honest with you. Until I get it I really wouldn't know.

Owner's engineer also e-mailed copies of the closeout HOP permits for Mountain Road entrance. It's attachment five. Any question on item four?

Item five, Miles Street Park, Reuther-Bowen met with the contractor for finalizing overall project change order for submission to the borough prior to the next borough work session for discussion. change orders are paid we can close out the Miles Street Park project. I met with them and went over -- there were some change orders that were approved along the way that weren't documented just by e-mail, so I'm compiling all that information and I'll issue one overall change order to the borough for that project. The change orders are approved. It's not like we're making them up now. Any questions?

MR. SEMENZA: Any questions for Dave? Thanks, Dave.

Police report, Jason?

CHIEF DUBERNAS: Good evening. Just a few things. In the packet you guys should have the year-end report from last year.

You can see there's some different totals that went up from the year before, total calls, and then also attached should be just December's report. There's a year-end one and a monthly one for December. The only two things I have.

On the back of the year-end report you'll see a list of all of the officers that have to be reinstated. There are 32-hour officers and a part-time officer, then there's two notations on the two that aren't going to be reinstated and the reason why.

The final thing is a stop sign at the intersection of Kohler Avenue and Amity. It's going to be on the south side. If you're coming up Amity, traveling towards Winter Street, there's no stop sign there. I did a traffic study. With the new residence being constructed there there should be a three-way stop, not a two-way

stop due, to the high amount of traffic coming from the north side of Kohler Avenue. I addressed that with Paul and had that approved for a stop sign. It would be on the northwest corner, right in front of the new construction being built on Amity Avenue.

Other than that, that's all I have.

ATTY. RINALDI: Is that a new stop
sign?

CHIEF DUBERNAS: It will be a new stop sign.

MR. FERRETT: We brought up that in December that study was supposed to have been made.

MR. SEMENZA: Chief, you said about reinstated. Bill, do we have to do that?

ATTY. RINALDI: I would make a motion to rehire the part-time officers for 2016.

MR. SEMENZA: Do they have to be separate motions?

CHIEF DUBERNAS: No, I believe last year President Rinaldi just read them all off in order.

MR. SEMENZA: Make one motion but read everybody's name. This is for the part-time officers and the 32?

CHIEF DUBERNAS: They're classified as part-time, too.

MR. SEMENZA: Bill, would that be considered two different motions?

ATTY. RINALDI: I would do them each separate. This way we know who is on the roster and who's an officer when.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Not to go back and check the meeting, that's how we did it last year. We did it separately. One motion, read all the names, and then one motion on the second one, read all the names.

MR. SEMENZA: Any questions for Chief Dubernas?

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Chief, just a few questions. The officers noted on the bottom -- I'm not going to mention their names -- did those two officers that you stated, did they request work?

CHIEF DUBERNAS: One gave me one availability that only had two days and both

days are covered by full-timers, and the other one I haven't had availability since -- I think it states in there.

Neither one of them came to annual qualifications and updates.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: But at one point in time they did offer that they were available?

CHIEF DUBERNAS: Yes.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Whether we did have it for them or not they did offer?

CHIEF DUBERNAS: Right, but I haven't had availability. As a matter of fact, they'll be decertified by the state of Pennsylvania due to not having their annual firearm qualifications and MPOETC updates. They'll be taken off our roster as active.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Then, attorney Rinaldi, by that answer that he just gave, that they did request time, didn't get it because we didn't have any available, are we in the legal to take them off the roster?

ATTY. RINALDI: Yes, they're just part-time. There's a list of names you can keep on calling.

CHIEF DUBERNAS: One officer requested time, and it wasn't until -- August, September, October, November, nothing. December, two days, and the two days requested are covered by full time officers.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: I just want to make sure because if it's going to go to a vote before we vote I want to make sure.

CHIEF DUBERNAS: Also, if they were available she couldn't work or they couldn't work due to not doing the firearms and their updates annually. Firearms is in November.

MR. SEMENZA: So they're actually not eligible.

CHIEF DUBERNAS: No. They're going to be decertified when I do the list to MPOETC for the state.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: If you're recommending it and the solicitor is saying we're doing it legal, that's good enough for me.

MR. SEMENZA: Any questions? Thanks.

Bill, solicitor's report?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ATTY. RINALDI: One thing I am going ask you to vote on this evening is number seven on the agenda, the limited access and release agreement for the Lackawanna River Corridor Association. Their access agreement expires. This enables them to go over Connell Street, which the borough owns, in order to take water samples from the Old Forge bore hole. The agreement is going to hold the borough harmless, get the appropriate insurance so they're covered for insurance, and it just says that they have a right to go across there for a period of --I believe this goes for another two years, if I'm not mistaken. They give advanced notice to the borough to let them know -- it expires December 31, 2018.

Just on the wording of that motion, it would be a motion to reappoint the following, and you can relist part-time officers for 2016.

MR. SEMENZA: Any questions for Bill? Thanks, Bill. Marylynn is not here so I'll go down the table, see if council has anything. Mayor?

| - 1 | |
|-----|--|
| 1 | MAYOR MULKERIN: Nothing tonight. |
| 2 | MR. FEBBO: Nothing. |
| 3 | MR. BROWN: Yes. As chairman of |
| 4 | public safety, I just want to make sure to |
| 5 | set up a date. I'd like to do monthly |
| 6 | meetings. Includes everybody the chief, |
| 7 | the mayor, yourself, Mr. Rinaldi. |
| 8 | MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Would you like |
| 9 | to set up a monthly meeting on a certain day |
| 10 | every month? |
| 11 | MR. BROWN: No, we can reschedule |
| 12 | every month. |
| 13 | MR. SEMENZA: What were you |
| 14 | thinking? |
| 15 | MR. BROWN: I'm available during the |
| 16 | week whenever. So after 5:00 during the |
| 17 | week. You guys good on the first Monday? |
| 18 | Is 7:00 good? |
| 19 | MR. SEMENZA: 7:00 on Monday, the |
| 20 | 1st. Anything else? |
| 21 | MR. BROWN: No, that's it. |
| 22 | MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Sewer |
| 23 | committee meeting, Lucille, I would like you |
| 24 | to be there as well with me, Chairman |
| 25 | Semenza and Councilwoman Cordiano. If we |

can also get together sometime before our work session next week just so you can explain to us a little bit of what you said in the meeting, what you were looking for us to advise you or recommend you to do with the billing, whether the delinquents or granting again like we did -- I remember we granted the extension period last year as well. Just so the committee can meet along with you.

MS. YEAGER: Yes. Then every year I won't have to ask you. It will be established.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Again, I'm good, Mr. Chairman, whenever you're good and Councilwoman Cordiano. I'll make time whenever. Even if you want to do it an hour before the meeting next Tuesday.

MS. CORDIANO: Fine with me.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: 6:30?

MS. YEAGER: Sounds good to me.

MS. CORDIANO: I was appointed to the Abington COG committee, and Councilman Ferrett and Councilman Brown and myself, we got together on Sunday and we discussed this

committee. So I'm not really sure how much has actually been done with this committee so we're looking to bring some ideas to the table. Even getting together with maybe Moosic Council, Taylor Council, sharing some ideas. I also reached out to the Abington COG to see when their meetings are. I haven't heard back yet but Mr. Brown told me that I guess there's been some realignment there. So we would like to go to the meeting together, the three of us, and see what we can learn, see what we can bring here to the borough. We'll keep you up to date on the next meeting.

I was also appointed to the borough school board committee with Councilman Brown and Councilman Febbo, and we also met on Sunday. Also a relatively new committee, so I did reach out to the superintendent of the school. He did e-mail me back today and we are going to be setting up a meeting to get together to see how both the school district and borough council can unite and do what's best for the town, here, see what's in the best interest. We'll keep you up to date on

that as well. That's all I have.

MR. FEBBO: DPW grounds committee met, Joe Ferrett and myself, Joe Lenceski. We met with Paul Papi over at the DPW garage on Monday. Paul gave us an update on trucks, equipment and daily procedures and personnel.

At the same time we had a situation where the personnel committee had a problem. That's where we got involved with the personnel department and I advised you as to where we had to go and personnel took it over from there.

Also, Joe Lenceski and I had a meeting with the zoning officer, Steve Bieryla, on a regular basis. We're working on ideas on how to improve the zoning situation. We feel we're making a lot of progress and we'll be giving council an update on a regular basis.

MR. SEMENZA: Councilman Ferret?

MR. FERRETT: Yeah. The paving

committee met -- Mr. Semenza, Mr. Lenceski

and myself. We discussed this

million-dollar road paving program that was

brought to our attention from last year, and there are a lot of issues that we sat down with the engineer and discussed these things.

One of the things that was very important was the fact that I'm very strong on the idea of full road milling because I think this is the right way to go. And I've discussed this with both my colleagues and they seem to agree basically because of the fact that in the bid form that was put out there's a line item of \$180,000 which is dealing with construction of the road, and I didn't think that would be necessary because when you mill across the indentures that are in the road they are going to be taken out.

In other words, when you take an inch and a half off the road a lot of those marks are going to be taken off the road.

This is one of the basic reasons why milling across the road, I think, is so important.

Cost-wise, I'm not worried about cost-wise because if you do 34 streets by doing gutter milling and you have to go to work and reduce those numbers by saying

1

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you're going to do 29 streets to get it done the right way, the object is to do it right the first time and you're not going to have any problems thereafter.

So I'm not going to put a motion on the floor right now because I'll wait until We talked to the engineer and new business. he told us that in about three weeks that he could have the bid forms put together and everything, and I'm going to make a motion after while that we authorize him to go ahead and put the bid forms together so at the next meeting, the 16th of February, that he would present to us the bid forms. Then council could either approve it or disapprove it or whatever direction council wants to go, whether it's full width milling or whether it's just strictly gutter milling. That's our point of view.

The money that's going to be paid for this is on a loan that's coming from the PennDOT, which is supposed to be approximately 1.5. I'm not quite sure if that's the accurate interest rate, but there was an interest rate that was being kicked

around.

ATTY. RINALDI: I thought it was one percent or one and a half.

MR. FERRETT: Whatever the case, but until the time comes we'll have -- but the most important thing is to prepare the bid form, and then council can approve the bid form at the next meeting and everything.

Then we can move forward. Delay of time is not the answer to the question. The thing is try and get it out there so we can get paving done maybe in the latter part of May or early part of June. But we'll have more information on that when the engineer gives us his report and we'll move forward at that point. That's it.

MR. SEMENZA: Councilman Lenceski?

MR. LENCESKI: As chairman of the

finance committee, I would like to schedule
a meeting next Tuesday, the 2nd, 9:00 in the
morning.

MR. SEMENZA: Ask Marylynn. We'll go for Tuesday, the 2nd.

MR. LENCESKI: As soon as Marylynn's available.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: I'm good anytime after 8:30.

MR. LENCESKI: That's all I have.

MR. SEMENZA: I have nothing, so we will go into public input. Joe Russick?

MR. RUSSICK: Good evening. Joe Russick, 642 Clark Street. In regard to lighting, I don't know if you went through Pittston recently. On Main Street they converted to LEDs. As of January 1st of this year PPL customers may request to convert from existing high pressure sodium and mercury vapor streetlights with more efficient LED.

Everybody knows LEDs draw a heck of a lot less power than regular, conventional lighting. So this is something we should be looking at. You can see the difference in the electric bill.

MR. SEMENZA: Do you see the savings?

MR. RUSSICK: Without a doubt. Plus the maintenance. These things last a long, long time. This is something I pulled off the website.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Joe, the borough's required to contact PPL to tell them that they would be interested in having the lights switched over?

MR. RUSSICK: I believe so. Don't you have a contract with PPL for streetlights? And there's timeframes, too. After ten years I believe it's free. Something to explore.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Looks a lot brighter, too.

MR. RUSSICK: In reference to energy savings, you might have heard of compressed natural gas, commonly known as CNG. A lot of commercial vehicles are using CNG. have a CNG station right down the street here at LT Verrastro. That's an unattended self-service. If you have a card you can go in there and purchase CNG. Now, there's a large refueling station being installed up by Pilot. Waste Management is converting all of their packmasters to CNG. Penn Argyl has a large facility up there. Waste Management in Dunmore is also putting a CNG station in.

MR. SEMENZA: Duryea Borough has a truck that runs on one.

MR. RUSSICK: When you're looking at vehicles to purchase you might want to take a look at CNG fuel. From what I understand, these trucking outfits are getting a guaranteed price between ten and 15 years. There's also benefits from the government, too, from what I understand.

Lastly, is Old Forge a member of the Pennsylvania State Association of Boroughs?

MR. SEMENZA: Yes.

MR. RUSSICK: There's various training programs that are available.

MR. SEMENZA: Actually a couple councilmen just attended one.

MR RUSSICK: Again, there's information I took off the internet. Also the Governor's Center for Local Government Services is an excellent source of information.

MAYOR MULKERIN: The center you're talked about, they touched that at that boot camp.

MR. RUSSICK: Several years ago

there was a representative here and actually the borough at that time did send a letter in requesting to be part of that, but I don't think it was ever followed up with.

MR. SEMENZA: Joe, to go back to the LED lights, actually Marylynn, the borough manager, is already working with PPL on getting the LED lights.

MS. BARTOLETTI: Yes. We have tons of accounts with PPL and we started working on trying to get them done a little bit at a time.

MR. SEMENZA: Didn't we do something a couple years back, energy efficient fixtures?

MR. BIERYLA: Yes.

MR. PAPI: The whole DPW was done over, too.

MR. SEMENZA: We're coming out of the caveman days, Joe. Before I go to the next public input we'll go back to Councilman Lenceski's question about a finance meeting, Marylynn. February 2nd, early in the day would be fine? Nine o'clock all right?

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

recommendation on that?

The contract work for MR. LOPATKA: the reclamation project has been completed. The majority of the work on Mountain Road at this point has been completed other than that one issue that we still have outstanding that we'll get resolved. It was up to you guys to talk about the PennDOT invoices. I have them written up on a letter dated October 6th or something like that that they were paid, and I think at this time you guys disagreed whether you were going to pay the PennDOT invoice. That's not up to me, I guess. I made the recommendation and said that they were paid.

MR. RUSSELL RINALDI: Lou, we don't have them in front of us. I know I would like to know. Could you read those off again?

MR. LENCESKI: They're in the packet.

MR. SEMENZA: I only have one.

MR. RUSSEL RINALDI: I only see one as well from TSE, \$33,729.84. That's the only one I have.

MR. CIUCCIO: That's American

TSE was an invoice, it wasn't a payment application. Payment application should be in your packets. That was issued on November 2nd. Actually, our date on it was 12/15. The payment application was issued to us on November I think the check was finally paid and we agreed with it once we got receipt of the

ATTY. RINALDI: Did we get that I don't have a copy of it.

MR. LOPATKA: That should be in what I just gave you guys tonight. It's one of

MR. LENCESKI: Third page.

MR. SEMENZA: So American Asphalt is

The PennDOT invoice is \$2,867.58. Since that's been paid we've been issued the HOP permit.

Where is the invoice? Dave, is that what you Do you recommend that we pay \$10,700,

reduced from 33 --

MR. LOPATKA: That's on that letter I issued today, that we would hold ten-seven. So if you look down there's a calculation there that shows what the half would be of the overall number.

ATTY. RINALDI: Maybe council would consider tabling this because this is the last payment that's going to be owed.

MR. CIUCCIO: There's more invoices coming.

ATTY. RINALDI: I'm talking about American Asphalt, there's no more invoices there. Is there another TSE one?

MR. LOPATKA: The letter that I have, attachment four in here, we're going to withhold, like, ten-seven to make sure we address that issue at the entrance.

ATTY. RINALDI: I just want to make sure we get the deeds before we make the final payment on all those roads up there because we don't have them yet.

MR. LOPATKA: There's definitely some conflict with regards to them. It comes out to \$11,514.92.

ATTY. RINALDI: I think the payment was made on December 29th. At least a copy of the American Asphalt check that I got. I did not get the TSE. I don't have that one. That was for the work --

MR. LOPATKA: That was paid actually quite a while ago. We had another letter out there that we withheld that money because we had to go back and he had to redo the road. So I think it was paid in full initially.

MR. CIUCCIO: Yes.

MR. LOPATKA: Then we withheld paying out on that because we had to go back and redo the road.

ATTY. RINALDI: Is this after your calculation on how far up that payment is for? In other words, you did a calculation. We're only paying for so many -- I think it's 300 feet or something like that. This is a bill for the whole thing, isn't it, the invoice? I know you had a calculation you did where you calculated how much --

MR. LOPATKA: I thought the discussion for the longest time was 475

1 feet. 2 ATTY. RINALDI: Whatever it was. Ι 3 know there was a calculation done. 4 MR. LOPATKA: The calculation I did 5 was, I think, based off of TSE's invoice. ATTY. RINALDI: The whole invoice. 6 7 Right? MR. LOPATKA: Yes. 8 9 ATTY. RINALDI: So this \$33,729 has 10 to be adjusted somewhat. 11 MR. LOPATKA: I guess we have to get 12 the overall dimensions. 13 ATTY. RINALDI: I know you had a 14 spreadsheet on how that was calculated. 15 MR. LOPATKA: I can pull that up, 16 redo it that way. 17 MR. SEMENZA: Dave, your 18 recommendation is to hold or reduce \$10,700 19 off of that? 20 MR. LOPATKA: Well, withhold that 21 for now until that work is completed 22 properly or you come to some agreement. 23 MR. CIUCCIO: Dave, you estimate --24 what you're saying is \$10,000 to fix it? 25 MR. LOPATKA: I submitted somewhere

in that range just to make sure we had enough money left over.

MR. FERRETT: Bill, what's your recommendation?

ATTY. RINALDI: I'd like the borough to get the roads before we make a final payment.

MR. CIUCCIO: There's more payments to be done here. There's more invoices coming in. There's for fencing --

ATTY. RINALDI: We're not paying for fencing. We're only paying for American Asphalt, TSE. These are the last two payments to those. Okay? Those are the last payments.

MR. CIUCCIO: You're not paying for the HOP. Is that correct?

ATTY. RINALDI: We're not paying for the HOP either. Only Mountain Road and Villa. I sent a letter to your attorney. Go over it with him. You have to come back in to planning so we can get Mountain Road, a final approval on it. We have to record that plan. I think there were some questions on that.