	1
1	OLD FORGE BOROUGH COUNCIL
2	OLD FORGE, PENNSYLVANIA
3	
4	
5	IN RE: COUNCIL WORK SESSION
6	
7	
8	
9	JANUARY 10, 2017
10	7:00 P.M.
11	OLD FORGE MUNICIPAL BUILDING
12	314 SOUTH MAIN STREET
13	OLD FORGE, PENNSYLVANIA
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	COUNCIL MEMBERS:
19	OUNTER TEREST
20	ROBERT SEMENZA, PRESIDENT JOSEPH LENCESKI, VICE-PRESIDENT
21	JOSEPH FERRETT DEVON BROWN
22	LOUIS FEBBO RICK NOTARI
23	WILLIAM RINALDI, ESQUIRE, SOLICITOR MARYLYNN BARTOLETTI, BOROUGH MANAGER
24	
25	Mark Wozniak Official Court Reporter

1 MR. SEMENZA: Good evening, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to the January 10th 2 work session of the Old Forge Borough 3 Council. I'd like to start the meeting with 4 the Pledge of Allegiance. 5 (The Pledge of Allegiance was 6 7 recited.) MR. SEMENZA: Welcome, everyone. 8 9 The purpose of tonight's meeting is to set the agenda for the regular council meeting, 10 11 which will be January 24, 2017. Tonight we'll go to our department heads, see if 12 they have anything for the agenda. Roll 13 14 call, please, Marylynn. MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Brown? 15 MR. BROWN: Here. 16 MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Notari? 17 MR. NOTARI: 18 Present. MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Febbo? 19 20 MR. FEBBO: Here. MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Ferrett? 21 MR. FERRETT: Here. 22 MS, BARTOLETTI: Councilman Rinaldi 23 Councilman Lenceski? 24 is absent. 25 MR. LENCESKI: Here.

MS. BARTOLETTI: Councilman Semenza?

MR. SEMENZA: Here. I'm going to switch things up and do things a little different tonight. We have Fred Rinaldi here from the Old Forge Lions Little League. He's the president of the little league. He wants to present Old Forge Borough with something.

MR. FRED RINALDI: Tonight I'm here on behalf of Old Forge Little League. We're not here to ask for any donations that council generously gave us last year, but we are here, I guess, to celebrate. Pagnotti Enterprises has graciously entered into an agreement of sale to donate land adjacent to Pagnotti Park to Old Forge Little League. I'll show you. It includes the parking lot and an easement up to the parking lot from the public street.

MR. SEMENZA: Now, it's where it's darkly highlighted, kind of?

MR, FRED RINALDI: Yes.

MR. SEMENZA: Actually it starts at the end of the blacktop, right past that last --

MR. FRED RINALDI: Yes. There's a little confusion on Connell Street where the public street ends and where it's still private property. But as an organization, Old Forge Little League, our board strongly believes that this property should be in the hands of the community, therefore to the borough of Old Forge.

As part of the agreement we have to provide Pagnotti with an appraisal for a charitable donation, and Canton Realty, Appraisal has generously donated to provide to Old Forge Little League an appraisal of the property for free. Dave Johns of Greenman-Pedersen has graciously donated to provide the survey and legal description for free to Old Forge Little League, and the Old Forge Little League -- I'm going to make a personal donation to pay for the realty transfer tax as associated with the land transfer.

So we're here tonight really to present it. Our organization, like I said, strongly believes title should not be held by Old Forge Little League, Lions Little

League, Inc. We are a charitable organization, but title should be held by the community, therefore the borough of Old Forge.

MR. SEMENZA: I see on that sheet it says plus or minus six acres.

MR. FRED RINALDI: We don't know the exact -- they only have -- if you look on the second page they've provided some tax maps that they had. They never had a survey. Like, they have, I guess, the coal maps but not a formal survey. That's why we have to provide them with a survey of the acreage.

MR. FEBBO: Is that the access road coming from the bottom?

MR. FRED RINALDI: Yes. Because there's confusion, Pagnotti and Dave Johns over the years, where Connell Street ends, so they're willing to work with us on where the street ends.

MR. FEBBO: We've been actually going across Pagnotti's private road.

MR. FRED RINALDI: Yes.

MR. SEMENZA: We had an easement.

MR. FRED RINALDI: So you know, the parking lot, I believe the land extends into Ransom also. It's Old Forge and Ransom. So we have to get subdivision approval, land development approval.

MR. NOTARI: We were always led to believe that the fence line was the Ranson/Old Forge border.

MR. FRED RINALDI: It's close.

MR. NOTARI: Do we have to annex this property for it to be Old Forge?

MR. FRED RINALDI: I don't believe so.

MR. NOTARI: Because it's not Old Forge. Right?

MR. FRED RINALDI: The easement will be Old Forge. I think some of the parking lot will be Old Forge. The square of land adjacent is Ranson. And I'm not exactly sure on the division line between Ranson.

MR. FEBBO: That line runs at an angle from Ciuccio's back up to Piccolini, the Ransom/Old Forge line. It's not a straight line. It's more of an angle. So that means that back heel where they're

earlier. We said before we couldn't pay for that because it wasn't our road.

MR. NOTARI: Will we have access to the pole line trail, then, or cut off there?

MR. FRED RINALDI: Well, they're going to do the initial survey. Our engineer, Dave Johns, is going to sit down with Pagnotti, and if someone from the borough would like to be there just to come up with -- you know, my main concern was making sure the easement connects to the public street because there's confusion on where it ends and where it begins. Pagnotti has ownership of it, even though it may -- the public may have a right to the street.

MR. SEMENZA: Regardless, it will connect to the public street?

MR. FRED RINALDI: Yes. The one condition that Pagnotti has which will be part of the deed, hopefully, to the borough of Old Forge, there will be a reverter. As long as it's used for youth sports in the community. If the borough ever wanted to sell it it will revert back to Pagnotti. So basically for recreation purposes only.

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ATTY. RINALDI: So they're going to donate it to the borough, not little league.

MR. FRED RINALDI: Yes. That's where the attorneys for Pagnotti Enterprises, their intent is look, they made a donation to the little league, but our little league, which Pagnotti is aware of, we want to hand it to the community. belongs to the community. Dave Johns did a mockup. We would like to have two practice fields for baseball, not all fenced in. Just two straight fences. You could have soccer fields, football. Baseball only uses it -- we really don't use, say, Pagnotti in the fall. Only for games. So, really, it's used from, say, April until end of June, then there's no use. So soccer, football, all youth sports can use it.

MR. SEMENZA: So basically just a fence as the outline shows here, and then whatever is in between they do?

MR. FRED RINALDI: Yeah, we're thinking our goal is to put up two practice fields.

MR. SEMENZA: Now, typically off the

top of your head, being involved in the little league, would you know off the top of your head what the size of an average little league field would be acreage wise?

MR. FRED RINALDI: I don't have it with me. Dave Johns did a mockup which I can bring to the next meting. He had two practice fields and three soccer fields.

MR. SEMENZA: On six acres?

MR. FRED RINALDI: Yes. One large one for 12 and older, and 12 and under the two smaller fields. So two smaller soccer fields, one larger one.

MR. LENCESKI: Do you have a topographic map?

MR. FRED RINALDI: Yes. There's site work to be done. It's not something --we're right now in the midst of the lighting project. Our goal is to hopefully leverage this donation to -- it's called the Tomorrow Fund by major league baseball, to have a match. Typically the Tomorrow Fund, they require a 50 percent match. So we're hoping the appraisal -- we feel confident the appraisal would be a very good number. What

MR. FRED RINALDI: As long as -- I was trying to keep Marylynn informed of our projects, what we're going to try and start.

Our goal is to put in two practice fields.

That's the little league goal.

MR. SEMENZA: I understand what Fred's saying here because anybody that's involved in the youth little league knows that we are fighting over fields left and right.

MR. FRED RINALDI: You have 350 kids to put on two or three fields, it's difficult. High school uses it, little league.

MS. BARTOLETTI: Based on the plans
Mr. Johns put together where were you saying
that the practice field would possibly go?

MR. FRED RINALDI: Right adjacent to -- he had it laid out in the square part. We estimate that square is probably five and a half, six acres.

ATTY. RINALDI: Any idea how wide the easement is going to be?

MR. FRED RINALDI: Fifty feet. Dave said that was more than sufficient and the

engineer for Pagnotti.

MR. SEMENZA: See, one thing, the entranceway, I mean, I don't know if anybody has offhand what the footage is there now, how wide that is.

MR. FRED RINALDI: Dave Johns estimated probably 22 feet.

MR. SEMENZA: I know high school, the buses going up there.

ATTY. RINALDI: That's why I asked him. We can clear trees 50 feet wide.

You'd have plenty of room depending on how much you want to pave it or just gravel it.

MR. SEMENZA: I think what we have to -- I don't know how far into that bank we can go because I think there's a telephone pole on the hillside, unless they moved it. On the left side going up.

MS. BARTOLETTI: I know one of the problems is always that huge tree. That was part of the reason you couldn't go any wider there.

MR. SEMENZA: Fred, from the borough's standpoint what do you need from us to do? Just sit back and wait or --

MR. FRED RINALDI: Well, I'll talk with your solicitor and maybe when they come up with -- I know they're trying to start the survey now while there's no snow. Once Dave Johns gets an initial survey, comes up with a sketch, then at least Pagnotti -- they've been more than gracious in donating the property. Then we can sit down with your engineer so everyone is in agreement.

MR. SEMENZA: All right. I think it's a wonderful thing.

MR. FRED RINALDI: Things are in motion, but we're here tonight because my board strongly believes it should be in the community. Dave drew up just backstops, no fencing. Anyone else can use it.

MR. NOTARI: We don't foresee any problems that it's not in Old Forge?

MR. SEMENZA: There shouldn't be any issues that it's not in the borough of Old Forge or Lackawanna County, either. I believe most of that is in Luzerne County.

MR. FRED RINALDI: It's all Lackawanna.

MR. SEMENZA: Is part of that road

thank you.

We'll go to our department heads.

Paul Papi, DPW manager?

 $\label{eq:MR.PAPI: I don't have anything.} \mbox{ I'm good.}$ 

MR. SEMENZA: Anybody have any questions for Paul? One thing from me, Paul, and I know I spoke with you earlier on this, but Christmas trees will start getting picked up?

MR. PAPI: We already started.

MR. FEBBO: Paul, make everybody aware of the Bozo.

MR. PAPI: Our compactor has been down about a week and a half. It's started smoking in the electrical panel box. They replaced most of the parts, and then when we turned it on it started smoking again. So they sent away for more parts. As soon as they he come in -- it only takes the guy ten minutes. It's only one box. As soon as the parts get back we'll be back in business.

We've been running the two trucks down to the recycling center and we had a problem with a truck. It was leaking

hydraulics. Then we had to take one for service and another one for inspection.

Everything hit at the wrong time. Plus we had the two busiest times of the year,

Christmas and New Year's, with all the cardboard and newspaper. But the group of guys we have now do a really good job. They have been staying over here and there to get caught up. So we're in good shape.

MR. SEMENZA: When did you say it's going to fixed by?

MR. PAPI: Couple more days. I call him every day.

MR. FEBBO: Who is working on it?

MR. PAPI: Bob Wagner.

MR. SEMENZA: He's the one who installed it?

MR. PAPI: Yes.

MR. SEMENZA: How are we doing -you said it's been a busy week with
recyclables.

MR. PAPI: Staying caught up. They didn't finish Tuesday's run today but we're close. We only have to do Bingo's area and then they'll finish tomorrow. Thursday and

	18
1	Friday they'll be working on taking down the
2	Christmas lights and working on Christmas
3	trees.
4	MR. SEMENZA: When do we take the
5	Christmas lights down?
6	MR. PAPI: Probably start Thursday
7	or Friday.
8	MR. SEMENZA: What's the time frame
9	for that?
10	MR. PAPI: It usually takes a day
11	and a half.
12	MR. SEMENZA: Anybody have any
13	questions for Paul? Thank you, Paul.
14	Steve, zoning code enforcement?
15	MR. BIERYLA: Nothing.
16	MR. SEMENZA: Anybody have any
17	questions for Steve?
18	MR. NOTARI: Any update on the
19	Winter Street wall?
20	MR. BIERYLA: Warrant out for an
21	arrest. That was sent out, I believe, the
22	6th or 7th, last week.
23	MR. BROWN: Mr. Lopatka, I know you
24	guys spoke last week about setting up a
25	meeting to inspect.

MR. BIERYLA: The report is in.

Dave gave it to me yesterday.

MR. NOTARI: So you inspected both of those properties --

MR. BIERYLA: Yes.

MR. BROWN: Just a little clarification. I don't have a report yet. Are we looking at handling both properties the same way or are we still looking --

MR. BIERYLA: No. The Sambo property is going to be buttoned up for safety. The Chelland property, that's up to council for recommendation off the engineer's report to which direction they want to proceed into condemning it, seeing if we can knock it down, or have the solicitor look into who owns it, is there any liens on it or what we can and cannot do on the ground.

But the Sambo property is going to be boarded up for right now to make it safe. Then when the weather gets better he's going to proceed on to fixing up the building a little bit better because we didn't have access to the inside right now. For the

sake of safety to the public board it up right now and then we'll continue on that property.

MR. BROWN: Do we have access to the inside of the Chelland property?

MR. BIERYLA: Yeah, they busted the lock off. It's very --

MR. LOPATKA: I guess you guys should read through the report. We can talk about it further. But those guys got into the property, didn't go real far because the floor didn't seem all that safe. There's some other issues. Once you read the report there's some issues with regard to storm water coming in and draining into the upstairs. They didn't get upstairs in the house. They didn't get farther than --

MR. BIERYLA: Ten feet.

MR. LOPATKA: -- going in the front door because of the fact it seems a little dangerous. A lot has to do with the fact that it's been sitting there so long. Holes in the roof and water coming into the house, it's starting to degrade a lot of the interior. The wood is starting to get

structurally unsound.

I think overall the outside of the house, it's not really tipping or there's no real issues with, like, it's leaning or something, but the longer it sits there, naturally the worse it's going to get.

But read the report and I kind of mentioned as to an agenda item, and we can talk about it in depth once everybody gets a chance to go through the report.

MR. FEBBO: You're saying internally it's structurally not sound?

MR. LOPATKA: They didn't get in there that far. This was one of my structural guys and Steve. They were a little concerned about walking around in there with the way the floor and everything was. So the report I think has recommendations. I don't know from a recommendation standpoint -- you know, there's issues with it, there's health and safety issues, I think, because of the animals and stuff living in there.

Structurally could somebody fix it?

Potentially, if it's the right person, I

guess. But if it continues to sit the way it is eventually it's going to --

MR. SEMENZA: When was the last time this place was occupied? Anybody have any idea?

MR. PAPI: I actually got the people out of there because I had called the county on aging. When I walked in there approximately eight, nine years ago there was feces everywhere. There was two old ladies living in there in horrible conditions.

MR. SEMENZA: So 2008 or 2009 was the last time somebody lived there?

MR. PAPI: Yes, maybe even sooner.

Maybe 2007.

MR. SEMENZA: The structural engineer that went with Steve --

MR. BIERYLA: Me, Paul and the structural engineer.

MR. SEMENZA: Wouldn't walk more than ten feet into the place because they felt unsafe. I'm looking back at the chief and the fire department. Is there any concern for you guys for a building like

that?

CHIEF TAGLIATERRA: Yes, always concerned.

MR. SEMENZA: Unoccupied for eight years, it's falling apart.

in there, all we're going to do is protect the exposure. I'm not sending anybody in there. Same with Sambo's. Protect the exposures. Defensive attack. Just by looking at the houses and from me living in the area and knowing that people haven't been in there, looking at the cracked windows, the roof, I'm not sending my guys in. Billy won't send any guys in either.

MR. FEBBO: Dave, if you're saying you can only get ten feet inside the place and you couldn't go anywhere else, that's not saying much about the rest of the building. Isn't that a basis for us to totally condemn it and recommend that we --

MR. SEMENZA: How about the outside of the structure, the foundation and stuff?

MR. LOPATKA: It's all starting to get compromised because you have trees

growing, starting to compromise the foundation. All that's in the report.

There's openings there, there's cracks.

There a lot of issues with the house. It's been sitting there for eight or ten years or whatever unoccupied. There's no upkeep.

MR. SEMENZA: Do you have their report yet?

MS. BARTOLETTI: No.

MR. BIERYLA: I sent it.

MR. SEMENZA: Can we get a copy this week in our mailbox or e-mail it to everybody so we can review this so we have it before we get our package.

MR. BROWN: Were both owners contacted and notified of the --

MR. BIERYLA: Harrington House is a PO box number. I have no idea if she picked it up. I can't send it certified to her so I can't say one way or the other. Lease, Mr. Ferrett had spoke to him, with George. Like I said, he wants to take and board it up and do what he has to do to it. He knows about it. Chelland's property I have no idea.

MR. RUSSICK: The expert has to have an opinion. If you're sitting in a court of law you need an expert witness. You have to go by what the expert witness has to say.

MR. SEMENZA: His opinion is in there, Dave?

MR. LOPATKA: Yeah, and I actually talked to him. I can see if he can come to the next meeting.

MR. SEMENZA: That would be great.

MR. LOPATKA: He can explain it better than me.

MR. BROWN: For council, I know some of us addressed or questioned last meeting pertaining to, like, how one property was being handled different from the other, and I'm just questioning -- it still seems that both properties were handled differently. One was notifications were sent to and we don't know at this time if they were ever received or whatnot. I'm glad that inspection was done and taken care of. There's a report that we will be getting. Some experts have offered their opinions pertaining to what should be done, but it

seems the other one is just going to be boarded up for the time being, and it seems that has been left vacant for the same amount of time, if not more, and we're just going to board up windows and whatnot.

MR. SEMENZA: Well, if the integrity of the structure is not compromised on one and it is on the other I can understand, if they're going to fix the place up, if they're just boarding it up temporary.

MR. BIERYLA: That's because it's got a good roof, solid foundation. A couple of the windows were broken, but the concern of the window is the board is on the inside and the window's broken on the outside. If they fall out and somebody's by it --

MR. LOPATKA: The big concern on that property is the front window. The big store front window. The sill is compromised. That really needs to be shored up.

MR. SEMENZA: Can we have them actually take that pane glass out?

MR. LOPATKA: They could. Take it out and board it up would be the safest

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

thing to do.

MR. NOTARI: We're not just letting him board it up and letting it go. Right? He's going to board it up, and then when spring comes --

Exactly, but for right MR. BIERYLA: now -- if you read the report, since the subject is brought up, the one structure, integrity-wise, is good. The other one is very deteriorated. You have windows broken up on top. Water still gets in from the top When we entered the building the floor was a little creaky. If you went any farther to go into the kitchen it started It wreaked of cats peeing on the creaking. inside. So it stops right there. You don't want to go any more. You're infested with fleas or something else. The structural engineer walked the perimeter of both buildings, and if you see the report you'll see what he has to say.

MR. LOPATKA: One issue with that Sambo property also there's a hole --

MR. BIERYLA: There's a hole on right hand side.

MR. LOPATKA: -- that needs to be addressed. I guess water's pouring in.

MR. BIERYLA: There's a hole. I have pictures. If you looked at the pictures you'd understand.

MR. LOPATKA: I'll try to make sure he attends the meeting with me next week.

MR. FEBBO: Frame of thought, are we leaning towards condemning this building?
What are our next steps, then? Chelland's building. Based on what we're hearing here is it going to get demolished, I guess is the word?

ATTY. RINALDI: You wouldn't jump down that path right away. You would notify them of the report and tell the owner what needs to be done on the property. If he doesn't do it, then you can take further steps like the Guida situation. We're going after him for fines. I mean, it's optional to tear down but there's expenses involved in that.

MR. LOPATKA: From our perspective we're not going to outright say it needs to be torn down unless, of course, it was a

major health and safety hazard. The outside structure of the building isn't in terrible, terrible shape. It can be fixed if somebody's willing to put some money into it.

MR. FEBBO: Who makes that decision as to whether or not it's feasibly going to be fixed? Sure the outside looks like it's presentable structure-wise.

ATTY. RINALDI: Ultimately the owner makes that decision. You can just keep chasing them and you can fine them and fine them and fine them and fine them and fine them. If it gets worse you can always revisit it and say okay, now we think it's beyond that.

MR. FEBBO: Procedure steps, where do we start? Everything we've been involved with so long, it's taken so long from the beginning to end and then we get accused of not doing anything. But it's that process that takes so long.

MR. SEMENZA: How did you get into it?

ATTY. RINALDI: The zoning officer can go on any property.

MR. BIERYLA: My lock got broken

MR. SEMENZA: So somebody's been

Somebody's been

My concern is a young child or somebody walking into that building, falling through the floor.

With these inspections of these so-called blighted properties, any way the fire department can

Absolutely. I'd actually like you to get involved.

Myself and Billy, we'd appreciate -- I work days. Billy has his own business but he's around most of the time. I mean, I'd love to get involved just to see what these properties are like so we can get a grasp in case we

MR. SEMENZA: Steve, you have both their contact information. After the meeting exchange contact information with

Dave also and I'd love you to be on every inspection that goes on.

ATTY. RINALDI: You're getting a little dicey there because you can't just go, borough officials -- in their case they're not even borough officials -- can't go on private property. The zoning officer can and bring with him his engineer.

Anybody else I'd have to go get a subpoena for them to view the property so they can go on.

MR. SEMENZA: Is that typically a Chelland-type property?

ATTY. RINALDI: You can look at it from the street, but to go inside is a different issue. A fire, yes. But a non-fire, that's a different situation.

MR. LOPATKA: Steve can also go in and photo document it.

MR. FEBBO: I think that would be beneficial to the fire department if they had something to go by.

ATTY. RINALDI: I don't want to get you guys sued for trespassing. Then you guys are stuck defending the trespass suits,

where they can't do that to him. People have done that. They sued a DPW guy for going on and cutting the grass on his property.

MR. SEMENZA: Well, if not that, at least we can keep you informed, photographs and stuff, Steve, if we can get them on the property. Just so they know what would happen on a property like that.

MR. RUSSICK: Then you have to show cause to the owner that nothing was done in reference to that inspection. Then you've got to show cause to the owner of what's going to happen next, and if it doesn't transpire, then you take the appropriate action. But you have to notify the owner.

Bill's right. You can't go on a property, unless you have a search warrant, without the owner's permission.

MR. SEMENZA: Anybody have any questions for Steve?

MR. NOTARI: Any update on the Orchard property?

MR. BIERYLA: That one I'll let you know. I didn't go down there with the

planning with regard to Rosemount, so I'm going to pass what planning recommended and what the engineer's going to go back to. If everybody just looks at the blue ink and the hashmarks where the easements area is, we're going to suggest --

MR. LOPATKA: I guess also just to add on to that, I am going to respond in writing, so that will get submitted to the borough, too. Respond in writing to George Parker, his letter to the borough with regard to Ciuccio's -- the couple questions that he had. We did discuss them, like Bill said, at the planning commission meeting. I'll put a formal letter together and get it, hopefully, this week sometime.

ATTY. RINALDI: I just want to make sure nobody's going to object before he sends that out and says here's where we want the easement located.

MR. FERRETT: What purpose is that going to serve us?

ATTY. RINALDI: It's going to give you access both from Villa Drive, within the development, and Villa Drive if you ever

wanted to put a stream crossing to the baseball field.

MR. FERRETT: If you look at that picture it's everything on the other side of the creek, which is Villa Drive.

ATTY. RINALDI: He doesn't own anything on the other side.

MR. FERRETT: That's the big question you have to look into.

ATTY. RINALDI: That's why we're getting everything from the easement of Villa over.

MR. FERRETT: My point is what purpose is that going to serve the borough in any fashion because there's no place for parking. People are not going to come down and try to get to the park from that area. They're not going to be able to cross the creek to get over. That area right there doesn't seem to make sense.

MR. SEMENZA: Bill, let me ask you a question.

ATTY. RINALDI: It was pretty much vague on who wanted what and I wasn't involved in that discussion.

21

22

23

24

MR. SEMENZA: This easement that he's going to give us here --

ATTY. RINALDI: We're going to ask for that.

MR. SEMENZA: It's coming halfway across the road. That road bends like this.

ATTY. RINALDI: We can't change that because that's his subdivision plan that's recorded. So that lot one is on his original plan. We're asking for a strip along lot one that comes into that kind of cul-de-sac area where people used to drive across from Villa, there was a bridge, and I just said why don't we just make it down, straight across to where that Villa Drive was so if you ever wanted to replace it with a walking bridge or whatever you want to do --

MR. FERRETT: How are you going to get across the creek to get to that from Keyser Avenue?

ATTY. RINALDI: You're going to need a walking bridge.

MR. SEMENZA: This park is going to come down --

ATTY. RINALDI: Well, I don't know that you're going to want people driving in there to go to the park because that was an issue that came up before, people parking all on the streets in Rosemount to go to the park. You're going to have to address that down the road.

MR. FEBBO: What happens when we take over those roads and they become borough roads?

ATTY. RINALDI: It's up to you what you guys want to do with parking. It's all up to you. I just remember the neighbors coming down here and complaining. That's why you put the lock on the gate.

MR. NOTARI: The blue line is ours, what's going to be the easement?

ATTY. RINALDI: That's what we're going to ask for.

MR. LOPATKA: That gives you access to the gate, basically. The whole easement I don't think is accessible now because of the creek is there, a portion of it.

MR. FERRETT: You're not going to get across that no way whatsoever.

ATTY. RINALDI: If you ever want to put a bridge you own the land there. You can have parking and a footbridge across.

MR. LENCESKI: Are we going to get stuck into having to put a bridge in because now we own this property?

ATTY. RINALDI: No.

MR. FERRETT: You're not going to put a bridge there because there's no way possible. We've been through that how many years trying to get a bridge and we couldn't even do that. You know what I don't understand --

ATTY. RINALDI: I won't ask for it.

I thought you guys wanted --

MR. FERRETT: My understanding is that from years back that down the road we're going straight through. That's where we're getting the easement.

ATTY. RINALDI: You can put the bridge anywhere you want.

MR. FERRETT: I'm talking about the easement. Now the easement is down on the bottom, on the creek. Before it was down --

ATTY. RINALDI: I don't have that

information.

MR. FEBBO: Lot three, lot two, lot one.

ATTY. RINALDI: All I have was he was giving you access. He didn't say he was giving you a lot. I don't have anywhere in my documentation he was giving you a lot.

MR. FERRETT: I didn't say that. I said from what I understand from in the past, when they talked about it, we were getting an easement down the road to go right into the park. I didn't say that we're getting a lot or nothing whatsoever.

ATTY. RINALDI: Down what road?

MR. FERRETT: What's the first one?

Oakwood. Down Oakwood, supposed to have an easement go right straight through that lot.

MR. NOTARI: Joe, in your mind what is the difference between the easement through one of his lots or the easement at the bottom of the park? What's the difference? In your mind what's the difference? Mr. Ferrett, I'm asking you what's the difference if we get an easement through one of the lots or get an easement

at the bottom of the --

MR. FERRETT: Because of the fact that you go straight through and everything rather than going through the bottom. If you had to go with a bus to take the ballplayers in or something you go straight through --

MR. NOTARI: You're not going to be able to go straight through with a vehicle.

MR. LOPATKA: It's not a vehicle access, this is a walking access. It's not to drive in there.

MR. NOTARI: There's nowhere to go anyway if you did.

MR. FEBBO: What we're looking at is something potentially down the road if we ever decided to get a bridge put in there.

That's the only way we can have access. So we're fighting for something in the future because right now as it actually exists there's no place to park.

MR. NOTARI: There's places to park.

You can park on the street like when people
park for football games and little league
games. They park on the street.

ATTY. RINALDI: Once they're your roads. I know people came down in the past. They didn't like the parking up there. So you're going to make that call. You have the access that you can get in there if you need an emergency vehicle to go in.

MR. LOPATKA: This is purely for access. It's not for driving access, it's for pedestrian access.

MR. FEBBO: Make everybody aware of where we are, what's to come and what potentially can happen. Potentially in the future we will have access to that. Is it a viable use right now? No. Now, again, when we take the roads over, then it's up to us to decide whether we allow parking on those roads. It's like Rick said --

MR. FERRETT: Mr. Notari, let me ask you a question, if I can. You talk about the easements and everything, you talk about the people going to the park. Would you say that would be a better way for people to go to the park or would it be a better way -- we have seven acres of land which we can develop over there and put a walking bridge

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

right to the park from our parking area where people can park all the cars they want with no problems whatsoever.

MR. NOTARI: My answer, being lazy most of the time, it's more convenient if the easement was at first base. I don't know if we have that opportunity. But in terms of convenience for people -- and to me, people park wherever they want to park now, so parking to me isn't an issue unless we're going to enforce it all over town.

So for convenience, then, yes, the easement at first base is the best place. In terms of where the borough is probably best, then I personally think it's at the bottom, where the entrance is at the bottom, because there's no -- it gets some parking away from the houses, and if we were able to put a walking bridge in, then yes. you're saying is that land we own on Villa Drive, that could be a parking lot, then, yeah, borough-wise that's the best place.

MR. FEBBO: I believe I said that before.

> MR. NOTARI: But, you know,

convenience-wise for everybody, people just love to park -- they're doing it now. They cut holes in the fence. They park there. They cut holes in the fence, they walk in that way. They walk through the property already. Nobody's stopping them. Once in a while Ciuccio comes and puts a rock in front of the hole or sews up the fence or we sew up the fence.

Yeah, in the grand scheme of things if everything worked out and we had the money to do it, yeah, we'd use our parking lot at Villa and create an entrance into Pagnotti Park from there.

MR. FERRETT: That's the best way to go.

MR. FEBBO: So as everything stands and exists presently, right now, everything that Bill has done is as far as we can go with it. If you gain access to the gate at the bottom of the hill, where the bridge is washed out, in the future we have a couple options. One is to take over the roads and allow parking in the park or Rosemount or whatever.

The other option is if we acquire some money or whatever to put a crossing bridge in, a walking bridge, then we have the option of using Villa Drive and opening up that parking lot and put the crossing bridge. Those are future plans. As we stand right now I think Bill gave us all the options that have.

MR. SEMENZA: My personal opinion, the access easement at the bottom of the thing down there is useless. I think now, then, whenever, that's a useless easement for Old Forge Borough.

MR. FEBBO: What option do you have?

MR. SEMENZA: If we're going to put
a walking bridge in we don't need an
easement from Louis Ciuccio. We go right to
our gate. If he's going to give us an
easement -- initially said he was going to
give us an easement, which is lot three,
then that's accessible because we can put a
double gate there. God forbid an ambulance
had to get in the place quick or whatever.
That's just my personal opinion. I don't
think at the bottom of the road, there, that

easement is going to do anything for anybody. I know that area down there.

There's not room for more than two or three cars to park, especially when they develop that other part of his property. When you come down Park that's going to butt right into it. That's going to be a nightmare.

MR. FERRETT: Bill, I got to ask you a question. Villa Drive, from Milwaukee Avenue down to the creek, my understanding is from what I'm hearing and everything -- I don't know how true it is -- that he has a quitclaim on that piece of the land, which means that nobody else could get anything or travel it.

ATTY. RINALDI: You have an easement for it.

MR. FERRETT: So if we have an easement for it there's no problem for us to go to work, and those acres of land that we have, to make a park there for people and put a bridge across the thing to the park.

MR. SEMENZA: We own all that land right down to the creek. Correct?

MR. NOTARI: Across the creek.

ATTY. RINALDI: You own the land up against that road, so you can do whatever you want on your land. It's Project 70 land.

MR. FERRETT: You need to get to it.

ATTY. RINALDI: That's your easement to get to it.

MR. SEMENZA: We have six acres there?

ATTY. RINALDI: Something like that.

MR. FERRETT: Why is it, from what I'm hearing, that Dunbar had a lot of problems with Ciuccio to finally use that road as an access area?

ATTY. RINALDI: He can use it as an access area. There was a sewer issue. He wanted to start running sewer and stuff down it. Spano was using that.

MR. FERRETT: I wasn't sure the way things were saying.

ATTY. RINALDI: Because of the HOP Louis wouldn't sign it. As a landowner he has to sign it. He wouldn't sign it.

MR. FERRETT: I don't understand that we're having a problem like that, that

they play baseball, you got people from out of town coming. Why is it that we don't look into this, that it can't be that costly to go ahead and knock those trees down and build a park there and try to do something. Every year we're doing paving. There's no reason why we -- and you can look into putting a bridge across the thing to get to the park and have a gate to get into the park for the people to come in.

MR. SEMENZA: In the spring, next couple months we'll maybe go down there and see what it will entail to put a bridge in, a walk bridge.

MR. FEBBO: It is what it is right now because Ciuccio isn't going to bend on anything, give us anything else. We have to accept what we have now. In the future, if we take the roads over, then we can talk about opening up parking if you want to.

MR. FERRETT: Why can't we do that now?

MR. FEBBO: Because we don't own the roads, Joe.

MR. FERRETT: What roads?

MR. FEBBO: Ciuccio's development.
MR. FERRETT: We're not talking

about Ciuccio's, we're talking on this side of the creek.

MR. FEBBO: No, no.

MR. FERRETT: We got seven acres of land up there.

MR. FEBBO: Right. That's a future proposal. If down the road we decide to put a walking bridge in, then we have access to that.

MR. FERRETT: Everything -- you sit at this council table, everything, put it on the back burner. Look at it now. Now is the time you want to do something. Why do you want to be waiting?

MR. SEMENZA: Next coming month or so we'll go down with Dave and take a look at it.

MR. FERRETT: You got an engineer.

He can figure this out. You got an attorney
to get the things that's necessary. There's
no reason in the world why you can't do it.

MR. SEMENZA: If the dimensions and everything is right we possibly might have a

ATTY. RINALDI: I finished up with him.

MS. BARTOLETTI: Have you seen anything in regard to, in the Ciuccio development, the Kisolovich property? He's the guy who has the water problem.

MR. LOPATKA: That's part of this response that we're going back to. One of his questions was who's to pay for that. I think from the beginning we said being we don't own the roads yet it's still his responsibility.

ATTY. RINALDI: Another thing, the RCAP applications can go in. All you need from him is a sketch plan and a proposed budget, and the application Marylynn and I can do. If you want to do the street scape project. That's not a big issue to put a cost estimate together. You have to tell him to do it. The deadline is coming up quick. Right?

MR. LOPATKA: You have to let me know.

MR. FEBBO: Can we get on that?

MS. BARTOLETTI: That's what we're